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THE INTRODUCTION that follows is reproduced in its entirety from the first edition of the Thieves of Riyadh.

I make no apology for this. The situation in the Middle East has not altered one bit — I am afraid the conspiracy against Islam is now even more venomous.
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INTRODUCTION

THE MIDDLE EAST continues to be the most unstable region in the world. With the collapse of the Soviet Union and the East European, states U.S. Imperialism is the top dog.

The presence of the Zionist state is not the sole source of the instability on which it and its U.S. Imperialist ally depend. An equally lethal enemy of the Arab world is to be found in the Arab enemy within. If there were no traitors and collaborators like some members of the AI Saud family, the Muslim World will be in a much stronger position to defeat, if not seriously undermine its enemies.

That is why for the next decade, at least, the Arab, if not the Muslim World, will have to concentrate on destroying the Arab agents of U.S. imperialism and Zionism.

This becomes an urgent and holy imperative after the bombing of Iraqi babies and Iraq into the stone age by the combined might of Western Imperialism, Zionism and the Arab Quislings.

This book dwells at some length on the personalities of the Quislings, their private and social lives, their serious intellectual limitations and their unlimited capacity for treachery and disloyalty. It is our belief, whatever western or other 'objective' historians may say, political and economic policies, especially warped and twisted ones, like those formulated by some members of the Al Sauds in collaboration with their American masters can be better understood in the framework of a more intimate knowledge of their private lives, including their bedroom and extra curricular activities.

The disastrous and ruinous consequences not just for the Islamic world, but also for the developing nations, including many Muslim states, innocent victims of the greed, bestiality and barbarity of Zionism, U.S. Imperialism and some Arab traitors, primarily King Kong Fahd, is there for all to see.

The Bitchiest of all Battles lasted 42 days, one of the shortest in the history of animal or human warfare. That war turned the clock back in the continuing struggle against U.S. and Western imperialism. It enabled the Western nations once again, with the help of black and brown soldiers, to proclaim their white racist supremacy.

Equally importantly, the war saw billions of pounds move from the coffers of the Third World to the debt ridden United States. It was a cut price war. The U.S. imperialists allegedly footed the major part of
the total bill of $60 billion (60,000,000,000 dollars). As we shall see later, this was pure fiction for the money was also extorted out of other nations, primarily the Muslim nations of 'Saudi Arabia', Kuwait and the Emirates. Britain and France also contributed towards the petty cash. This was refunded by the Al Sauds and the Al Sabahs. The grand total, a large part of it stolen from the revenues of Makkah and Madina to which some of the poorest Muslims in the world contributed during the Haj pilgrimage over the years was $70 billions – approximately $1,150,000 for every minute of the war.

Over to Gordon Adams, the U.S. Defence Budget analyst, an outstanding exemplar of "everything for nothing" imperialist philosophy who boasted that his country would end up showing a profit:

Adams said that in the short term for the U.S. the war was financially zero – "that is to say, what it cost us was reimbursed with very little downside and few casualties.

None of the expenditures you might have expected to incur actually happened. We took probably a tenth of the original casualty estimate, for example. So the costs both human and financial for the U.S. were very low. Indeed, as Congress examines how much of the $60 billion was really an extra cost, there are signs that the U.S. may even end up in profit. Now the Congressional Budget Office, which is an analytical arm of the Congress has looked at these same costs and has estimated that we may be as low as $45 – $50 billion in actual incremental costs. If that is true, then perhaps the U.S. on the incremental side will clear 4 or 5 billion dollars more than the incremental costs of the war."

According to the British Guardian Newspaper of December 2, 1992, the Gulf war left the U.K. with a profit of £650 million.

The U.S., French, British and even Italian mass murderers dropped 100,000 tons of bombs on the innocent civilians of Iraq in 109,876 raids. Unlike Vietnam, the Americans and their allies got away with only a few dead and wounded. The Iraqi armed forces lost 150,000 men, many bombed and shot in the backs as they retreated. And in a space of one month the Iraqi civilian dead was over 200,000 with many more, especially children, dying from diseases caused by the poisoning of their water supplies by the bombers. For Iraq 30 years of development were wiped out with the country bombed 'into a pre-industrial age.'

While military targets were hit, the bombers also concentrated on civilian targets. They deliberately destroyed Baghdad's sewage system, a diabolically clever and highly successful form of 'germ warfare.'

Experts report raw sewage flooded into the streets of most Iraqi
cities. It is estimated that 15 million gallons of untreated sewage an hour flowed into the hitherto unpolluted Euphrates on whose banks once flourished the oldest civilisation known to men. It is reported that 100,000 more children will die as a result of this germ warfare.

Here is Jean Dreeze, author of Hunger and Poverty in Iraq on the achievements of the American, French and British:

“One part of our study was concerned with estimating the increase in mortality in children, and we conducted a large household survey which covered more than 9000 households and according to this survey the mortality level amongst children under 5 this year, 1992, is at least twice as large as in previous years, and perhaps even more than that. They are dying mostly from diseases which relate to basic deprivation of food and clean water, sanitation and so on, and things like gastroenteritis are particularly common – also diarrhoea, typhoid and in some cases even diseases like cholera.”

The war was also a show-case for U.S. arms, the sale of which to countries, where war is deliberately fomented by the Americans, brings hundreds of billions of dollars in profit to the American merchants of death, the real controllers of U.S. foreign policy. This money could have gone towards feeding, housing and clothing the wretchedly poor of the Third World but has been appropriated by the blood-thirsty parasites of U.S. imperialism.

Yet we hear nauseatingly self-righteous condemnations of the international cocaine, crack and marijuana industry by the Western nations. In comparison with Western imperialist leaders, the drug merchants are ministering angels. It will be interesting to see a table of comparison between the financial, social and economic wreckage of the illicit drugs industry and the much more lethal “legal” arms industry. There are of course some luke warm opponents of the death industry in the U.S. Congress.

This is what one of them, Congressman Lee Hamilton of the Middle East Sub Committee of the U.S. Congress, had to say:

“The biggest arms buyers are the Saudis who will be getting about 14 billion dollars worth of American equipment. They did in fact want more but pressure from Congress meant that some weapons were crossed off their list. British and French manufacturers will be doing their best to make up the shortfall. You are talking about weapons today – conventional weapons – that can kill tens of thousands of people and you are talking about pumping them into a region of the world which is as volatile as any region of the world. I think the interests of peace have to
override the interest of any particular profit and loss statement of a particular country or even particular jobs."

At the time, Yemen, one of the poorest Arab countries, was the only Arab nation on the U.N. Security Council. It was the first to condemn Saddam, but as a true believer in Arab – Muslim brotherhood, it was strongly opposed to the Western butchers slaughtering Arabs. Yemen bravely voted against the war. "That," said the thuggish American ambassador to the U.N., "was the most expensive vote you will ever cast." The vicious and cowardly American miscreants kept their word. They and their Arab Quislings very nearly bled Yemen to death.

In three months, over 800,000 Yemenis were, in a Nazi-style operation, expelled from their homes and jobs in neighbouring 'Saudi Arabia'. Some had lived there for twenty years or more. Women were said to have been raped as they fled, men tortured, and the sick turned out of hospital beds. The exodus was virtually unreported.

The Yemenis were unrepentant. At least they can have a clear conscience. Though they paid a terrible price for their principles, their heroic stand was fully consistent with the sacred teachings of Islam. Dr. Hassan Makki, Deputy Prime Minister of Yemen said:

"It is a war against those people who have an opinion. They did not interfere in the war, they occupied no land of others but we are punishing them because they have an opinion. The war is not a necessity to resolve the problem. I think this is unjust."

Dr. Abdul Aziz, Editor of the Yemen Times said:

"Because the position of Yemen was misunderstood, we had the major western countries placing Yemen under a sort of a boycott and the regional Arab countries which used to be the benefactors of Yemen – Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates etc. stopped their contribution of aid donations for Yemen. Our people returned to makeshift camps in the desert. Although Yemen has some oil, it is basically a land with few resources. The waves of manpower which came into Yemen have created a fantastic pressure on the Health Service, on the educational services, on services in water and drainage etc. – all services which the Government could not handle, so today we have a near breakdown of all these services. The Western diplomatic attitude is that 'Yemenis must suffer for their leaders' action and they are. Most of these men have swapped well paid work in 'Saudi Arabia' for destitution at home."

Yemen is the only Gulf country to embrace democracy, to which the West boasts it is committed. Stuart McNab, Unicef chief points out:
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“One just has to see the people, to realise that in all these political situations the shock waves spread right through the whole Middle East region and can impact on communities in the remotest parts of Yemen. The irony is that Yemen is the only Gulf country with clear plans for Western style democracy. For that to succeed, the economy needed to be in reasonable shape but this town is typical – everything they used to rely on has been disrupted.”

Some of the Al Saudis and the Al Sabahs who were so generous with their $70 billion donation to the fattest whore of imperialism, the U.S., have now turned their backs on the helplessly poor Yemenis, whose education and health service have been completely ruined by the ending of Kuwaiti and Saudi help.

The Al Sabahs enthusiastically followed the instruction of their U.S. masters to starve Yemen into submission and, in the process, teach a lesson to any other nation tempted to defy imperialism.

A vindictive Badar Al Humaidi, Director of the Kuwait Development Fund declared:

“Countries who have supported the aggression, I don’t think they will see, in the foreseeable future, any aid come either from the Government or the Kuwait Fund and unfortunately the biggest beneficiary from the Kuwait Fund up to the invasion were the countries who have supported Iraqi aggression.”

The undeclared economic war has also been savagely waged against other small nations who displeased American imperialism.

More than 4 million people have been displaced. Apart from Kurds dying in the mountains, most went to Jordan whose weak economy was burdened with nearly 900 thousand refugees. Among them are Palestinians whose remittances helped to maintain Jordan on the West Bank. Now they are unemployed. In contrast, Egypt and Turkey were treated most generously by the imperialists and the Arab traitors, a reward for their support for the butchering of Iraqi children. Bangladesh and Sri Lanka were poorer by 100 million dollars. Kerala and Gujerat in India, whose citizens work and trade in the Middle East, also suffered a huge financial loss. But the worst blow fell on 40 Third World countries whose economies, according to one observer, suffered a blow equivalent in the U.N. scale of disasters to a massive earthquake.

The innocent, the guilty, the non-aligned and the treacherous were also dragged in as the following list of damaged economies illustrates:

Egypt, Turkey, Syria, Sudan, Yemen, Jordan, Morocco, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Thailand, Philippines, Vietnam,
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South Korea, Ethiopia, Chad, Ghana, Tanzania, Mozambique, Lesotho, Ivory Coast, Botswana, Kenya, Rwanda, Mauritania, Madagascar, Jamaica, Paraguay, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and Costa Rica.

And when it came to winning the contracts to repair the war-damaged economy of Kuwait the Americans got the hogs’ share. Over to Herr Herman Simon, Manager, International Hotel, Kuwait:

“This is the eighth floor which was set on fire by the Iraqis on 22 February and you can see, there is not really much left in the room of the deluxe furniture. Since the war, businessmen from the first world have been competing for profits from rebuilding Kuwait, though the damage was not quite what they had expected.”

David Gump, the Editor of Gulf Reconstruction Report, is careful not to offend the American masters of the British. Like a dutiful servant he underplays the way in which the British have been marginalised:

“Many of the contracts are still commercial secrets but the British with over £400m worth so far probably have not done badly in relation to their share of the fighting. The nature of the damage means that the expected bonanza for big companies has not materialised but it is still the West which has profited.”

There is no honour among thieves, especially the reincarnations of hyenas, which most imperialist nations are. Over to the more candid Geoff Chandler, a graffitti cleaning specialist from East London, who produces this kind of circumspection:

“I think basically the Americans were here very early on – they were probably looking at working in Kuwait before the war started – they sent over a lot of equipment to Kuwait before the war started – they sent over a lot of equipment to Kuwait as the war ended and they were selling products whereas the British seemed to be selling catalogues and brochures.”

Over $20 billions will be spent by Kuwait and nearly all of this will go to white westeners, especially white Americans imperialists. Despite the fact that India is highly advanced in computers, construction and engineering technology and her services, labour and products are cheaper and even superior to most Western products, India will only get the crumbs from the master’s table. Some of the Kuwaitis may be of African descent, the blackness of their skins and the frizziness of their hair is despised by white Americans, most of them savages from the deep South, but some Kuwaiti slaves enjoy kissing the bottoms of their
INTRODUCTION

racist bosses.
Says David Gump:

"There has been a struggle between the coalition partners and their companies, and the rest of the Third World which has tried to underbid the coalition folks."

The black slaves of Kuwait, whose fellow Africans in the U.S. continue to be victims of judicial lynchings, police frame-ups and murders, always favour their white American bosses.

The environmental damage has been catastrophic. But as well known enemies of environmental protection, the Americans are deliberately ignoring the mess. Says Paul Horsman of Greenpeace International:

"The scale of the disaster if you actually live in the area is catastrophic – it will mark amongst the Chernobles and other disasters which have happened world-wide as a major environmental catastrophe. The official line is that this is a local problem not a global one so when black snow was reported in the Himalayas for instance, public discussion back in Washington was firmly stifled by the administration. One of the most serious problems we had with the performance of the U.S. government, came from the so called gag order issued to the U.S. agencies and contractors instructing them not to talk about potential global climatic impacts from the war. This memo was published in a very reputable American magazine – Scientific American – and it put a damper on discussions of findings from laboratories in Hawaii, Colorado etc."

The courageous Dr. Fatima Abdali, the Kuwaiti research scientist, is even more forthright. She says:

"We won the ground war, the air war but we lost the environmental war. The smoke really killed us for 9 months – it was very heavy. During those months, a lot of filters were introduced into the market to purify the air. Some of the chemicals that cause cancer have been analysed or detected on these filters.

The end of the fires is not the end of the danger. Vast oil lakes have been left behind. As they evaporate they will give off poisonous hydrocarbons as well. The latest tests by Greenpeace show irregular but sometimes dangerous levels of toxins in the air.

The types of long term effects we are probably looking at are generations of cancers in the population and the probability of an increase in birth defects and the rate of birth defects in children born over the next generation."
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In the economic hot war between the developed and the developing world, the developing world appears to be permanent losers. Take the con-trick on oil prices for instance. Oil Analyst, Humprey Harrison tells the squalid story:

“The brief period when the price of oil shot up, had a catastrophic effect on a lot of Third World countries. It is something which has not been reported adequately in the media but if you look around the globe from the Dominican Republic to Zambia to Pakistan it severely curtailed their economies reducing GNP by anything up to 5% in many cases and imposed substantial hardships on these economies which at the best of times were by no means vibrant.

The visible flurry of excitement was in the oil markets of the West. Prices went up dramatically not so much because there was a shortage but because there might be one.

Round 2 of the oil war was between producers and there have been some unexpected winners there too.

All the oil producing countries gained from the Gulf War simply because the price of oil went up so substantially and that was of course incremental, almost gratuitously extra revenue for them. Having said that, Saudi Arabia stands out because it was able to substantially increase its market share as much as its revenue and also perversely the Soviet Union gained because it focused attention on the political instability of the Middle East and the West became nervous about being so dependent on one region.

The Western oil companies’ disenchantment with the Middle East coincides with the oil producers’ greatest need for them and it is not just that which had given the companies a strong bargaining position. BP for instance are believed to have the only extant maps of where Kuwait oil is, dating back to the days when they used to run the fields. It is producing an extraordinary shift in the balance of power.”

Another oil consultant, Peter Odell, told the media:

“I think the Middle East producing countries are beginning to react to the dangers which now appear to be increasing – the dangers of less investment, of less oil being wanted, difficulties in finding enough cash to put into the expansion of the industry and thus they are becoming more positive towards the idea of investment by the companies they nationalised only 15 or 20 years ago and although they have not got around yet to the re-establishment of concessions, some of the new contracts that are
being offered by countries even like Iran are getting pretty close to the old type of arrangements in which the companies decided what was going to be done, how it was going to be done and the host country merely collected revenues."

Mossadeq, how right you were! That’s why U.S. and British imperialism overthrew you and put the traitor, Shah, in your place. But even those who so treacherously sided with the West and who are more obscenely rich will have their little difficulties. Says Humprey Harrison:

"It is quite conceivable that in the next couple of years as Kuwait and Iraqi production comes on stream to its full potential, we will see another glut in the oil market because Saudi Arabia will not make way for these new producers."

Peter Odell is even more perceptive:

"Going back to the idea of Saddam’s initial invasion of Kuwait to get a higher price for oil – if that is what he wanted, he certainly did not pick the best way to go about it. This is bad news for OPEC – the oil producing cartel – if the market is glutted, it is the West which will call the tune. For many that’s good news but there are those who argue that it is too simplistic to see falling prices as a great triumph for the West."

The winners usually take all. But were the Saudis and the Kuwaitis the winners despite the huge celebrations that followed the slaughter of nearly 350,000 Iraqi men, women and children? With the Soviet Union out of the way, Uncle White Sam is now boss and this is how he will smash up the developing world, including the Arab world, if that’s what suits him. In the skinhead phraseology of Paul Rogers of Bradford University:

"In the short term, you could argue that the Gulf War worked from the perception of American interests. It is a pointer to a kind of global security system which is based on confrontation rather than co-operation. Basically, if people get in the way of what we need, we sort them out by military means."

That’s the reality of Bush’s New World Order. He has been kicked out but there is no reason to believe it will be any different under Clinton because the ex-womaniser will still have the same masters, the powerful American arms industry, other multinationals and the Zionists who will call the shots.

Paul Rogers can be quite sensible:
"However much they might have disliked Saddam Hussein and what he did in invading Kuwait, the common view was that massive force was used at a very early stage in pursuit of the U.S. interest when there might have been an alternative way and this appears to suggest that the new world order is one that is dominated by the U.S. and its immediate allies. Now to the Western view, this might be the kind of new world order people want – but people in the West are an eighth of the world's population and that is a very narrow view."

As for democracy for "Saudi Arabia" and Kuwait that's a figment of a fevered imagination. Dr. Abdul Aziz of Yemen says:

"Before they had promised reform, more democracy, more liberalism. Yet today after they are comfortably in control and supported by Western forces they have gone against all their promises which were very minimal to start with."

Money is the name of the game: "Apart from the ecological, architectural and human disaster for Iraq, the war was a bottomless diamond mine for the West. It meant the transfer out of the Gulf and into the First World, a grand total of 68 billion dollars (68,000,000,000), three times the total amount lent by the World Bank in Aid projects last year or nearly 12 times the entire GNP of a country like Ethiopia. On top of that, the war has cost the poorer countries in the world another 12 billion dollars and left a trail of human suffering behind it – not just the hard pressed Iraqis, but Kurds, Jordanians and Palestinians and all those in the Third World whose "pockets are not deep and whose voices do not carry."

We are not pessimistic. Uncle Sam is not invincible. There are other rising stars on the horizon, principally India, China, and Japan who will eventually forge their own coalition to weaken if not destroy U.S. imperialism. It is our belief that those heroic Islamic patriots who are presently fighting imperialism will also contribute to the destruction of the U.S., the rest of the West, Fahd and Co. the most venomous coalition known to mankind since the rise of Adolf Hitler, Mussolini and Hirohito."
western commentators with their customary contempt for the truth refer to the American troops in Holy Hijaz and Najd as allies of ‘Saudi Arabia’. They are nothing of the kind, they are an occupation force whose main aim is to prop up the rotten and decaying Fahd dictatorship, and to put down uprisings by the people of the Hijaz and Najd against one of the most hated, corrupt and brutal regimes in the world.

The American Occupation is bitterly resented not only by the Hijaz and the Najd, but by the entire Muslim world. The international Islamic community is particularly outraged by reports of drinking, womanising, gambling, and the killing and eating of pigs by the kafir troops, some of whom are based near the Holy Shrines.

What has also embittered the entire Muslim world, and this includes countries like Egypt, Syria and ‘Saudi Arabia’ itself, is the treachery of Fahd in allying himself with a nation that has encouraged and helped the Zionist state, with massive financial subsidies and supplies of arms, to commit genocide in Palestine, and has backed the Zionist version of the Lebenstrau at the expense of Arab and Palestinian peoples.

While this is something we expect from the Fahd family, which has always sneakishly collaborated with the Zionists, and which is totally dependent for its very existence on the arms, technology and intelligence for which it pays billions of dollars annually to the Great Satan, we are no less surprised by the grovelling behaviour of Bush’s personal manservant, Mubarak of Egypt. It is, however, disappointing to see Syria associating itself with the criminal actions of Fahd and the Americans.

The proud Syrian people were shocked by their government’s betrayal, and made no secret of their disapproval of their leader’s identification with Satan and the Thieves of Riyadh. Until now Syria has firmly stood her ground as a powerful enemy of the Zionist state, and a friend and defender of the Palestinian peoples. But presently the picture is distressingly different.

A few months ago, a so-called expert on the Middle East wrote that the entire Arab world was in disarray. He dismissed them as an impotent force, no match for what he described as the might of the Zionist state.

The Arabs were written off as a quarrelsome, divided and selfish people incapable of either changing the situation, or exercising any influence on developments in the Middle East. They were, in this man’s view, passive and helpless spectators. This was a view shared by many in the West.
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The West could therefore blithely continue to back the crimes of the Zionist state, turn a blind eye to the massacre of the Palestinians of the Intifada, and persist in the fiction that the hooligan state is a responsible and law-abiding nation, and should never be isolated or boycotted. Those who now wax eloquent about respect for the sovereignty of national states and demand the reinstatement of discredited feudal rulers, were silent as the night owl about the continuing genocide and occupation of the West Bank, the Golan Height and Jerusalem.

The picture has now changed radically. Where before in the West we rarely heard of any condemnation or exposure of the anti-democratic crimes of the feudal rulers, we are actually being treated to editorials and Senatorial pronouncements on the wicked, wicked ways of the Al-Sabah family. Even the crooked Fahds have come in for a tongue lashing.

The crimes of the Zionist entity, too, are being condemned by many influential people once mortally afraid of even a whisper of disapproval of its appalling violation of human rights. There is growing support in the West for the view that the Zionist entity must mend its ways, withdraw its occupation and sit down at the negotiating table with the real representatives of the Palestinian peoples.

In Britain, both the Tory Party and the Labour Party have been nothing but shameless lap dogs for Zionism. But while the Labour Party continues to cringe and whimper at the feet of its Zionist manipulators, the Tory Party, no doubt worried by the change in the balance of power in the Middle East, now tilting towards the Arabs, is singing a different tune. Douglas Hurd, the British Foreign Secretary, astonished the world, when in a public berating of the Zionists he said on Friday, October 5, 1990:

‘Anyone with a sense of humanity must sympathise with the Palestinians. Their lands are occupied. They have no political rights and they are daily the victims of a misguided policy which believes that the security of Israel must rest on closed schools, illegitimate settlements and even collective punishments.’

Wonders never cease! But don’t for one moment believe that the perfidious, and unscrupulous British have turned over a new leaf. These habitual criminals against international law have been quick to understand the wider implications of the developments in the Arab Muslim Homelands, particularly the inevitability of the fall of their friends, the Mafia-style Families of ‘Saudi Arabia’ and the other Gulf states.

The British, therefore, are anxious not to be seen as the enemy of Arab nationalism. The American right-wing, congenitally anti-semitic, is also now voicing its concern in strident terms about the wily way in which the all-powerful Jewish lobby is exploiting the Gulf crisis, and its utter indifference to the possibility of young Americans of Aryan blood dying for
a discredited cause.

There is now open hostility in the U.S. to Bush’s war policy for cheap oil and super profits for multi-national gangsters. No one talked before about the free ride the big American Western cartels were having on the gravy trains hijacked from the Arab, African, Asian and Latin American peoples. On Thursday, September 27, 1990, a most influential senior American congressman, trenchantly condemned George Bush’s decision to send troops to the Middle East.

Bob Traxler is a member of the College of Cardinals, the title of the powerful chairpersons of the 13 sub-committees of the American Congress’ House Appropriations Committee. Traxler announced that he was unalterably opposed to the grandiloquently named ‘Operation Desert Shield,’ the euphemism for the occupation of the Holy Land of Islam.

What was even more astonishing for a nation which was supposed to be solidly behind Bush was that Traxler’s local paper revealed that a survey of voters showed that 63 per cent supported Traxler’s condemnation of Bush.

The Guardian newspaper of September 28, 1990, reported:

‘The support for Mr. Traxler is one of several indications that public opinion is increasingly ambivalent about the prospect of war in the Gulf. Significantly, the foreign affairs committee of the lower House of Congress yesterday decided not to vote on a draft resolution which would endorse President Bush’s actions so far.’

The Fahd and the al-Sabah Families on the other hand were insistent that American boys die in their thousands to ‘liberate’ Kuwait. Both have forked out billions of dollars for what they hoped would be an American offensive against Iraq. And fully aware that without the American presence the entire Fahd family will be executed for its monstrous crimes against Islam, the Fahds once again dipped their thieving fingers into the coffers of Islam and squandered nearly 20 billion dollars on the purchase of American fighter planes, missiles etc. They also contributed over 12 billion dollars to uncle Sam’s kitty for the murder and rape of Muslims of the Gulf. They are now in the process of buying millions of dollars worth of arms from German war merchants in order to turn the Holy land of Islam into an ocean of blood.

With America now a bankrupt nation, and in debt to its former ‘prisoner of war, Japan, and even to its neo-colonies South Korea and Taiwan, the Bush administration has compelled the Saudis to pay the huge costs of the biggest military build-up since the Vietnam war. Children in many Arab countries starve and die because of a shortage of foods and medicines while the proud Palestinian peoples live in the most wretched conditions in their
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camps, but the Saudis who have now cut off every single penny of even the miserly amount they allotted for the Palestinians, continue to misuse the revenues from Muslim pilgrims to Makka and Madina and the oil wells, the possession of the entire Muslim peoples.

The sensible thing for ‘King’ Fahd and his spineless cronies would be to flee the country for they are doomed. But they not only persist in deluding themselves that they can cling to power with the help of the American mercenaries, but continue to plunder with criminal recklessness, the wealth of the Muslim peoples to subsidise the American Occupation. The British have also demanded huge payments from the Saudis and have made it a condition of their military assistance that the Saudis purchase billions more arms from their merchants of death.

One of the few honest white journalists, Robert Fisk, a very perceptive and shrewd analyst of Middle East developments, and who does not toe the propaganda line of American, British and Saudi Foreign Offices, wrote in the Independent newspaper of September 26, 1990:

‘...anybody who truly believes that the Americans have merely come to the Gulf for a limited duration has little grasp of history.’ Fisk quotes Saddam Hussein’s assessment of Saudi servility and American arrogance in an address to Iraq’s ambassadors in Western Europe and Japan as far back as June 12, 1975: ‘Oil for the United States is no longer a question of desire for self-sufficiency and the safeguarding of a strategic material. It has become a decisive element in American global policies with particular effect on its relations with Western Europe and Japan. Hence the United States will not be content with the present ‘status quo’....America and some European states will have the lion’s share of the joint oil of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and other countries....the question of what the future will bring is one in which the will of the people plays a crucial part.

‘It may be that the future will favour the United States for some time, but in the longer term, the future will favour the people....It has become evident that America’s involvement in an arena of conflict as a party hostile to the will of the peoples...will turn this will towards an anti-American stand, regardless of the strong grip of America’s logical allies, the reactionary regimes.’

The reactionary regimes of ‘Saudi Arabia’ and the Gulf States are no longer strong except financially. They have been gravely weakened, discredited and damaged by the assertion of the will of the Arab peoples. Their days are most definitely numbered, but like all cornered beasts they still feel they can escape their executioners. So the Kuwaitis and the Saudis will continue to pour billions into the bottomless pockets of the Great Satan.

Robert Fisk in the same despatch to the Independent shows how the
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Noose is tightening around the necks of the al-Sabahs:

"If the Americans retake Kuwait and restore the Sabah family to power, how will they deal with political opposition to the rulers inside Kuwait? It is fast emerging that a proportion of the tiny Kuwaiti resistance movement is Shi‘ite Muslim, with no love for the Sabahs. Are the Americans going to take sides in a civil war within Kuwait once they have driven the Iraqis out?"

"In reality, and the Sabahs know this, an Iraqi defeat in Kuwait will mean that Kuwait will become an American protectorate. It will have to be occupied by at least two divisions of US troops who will have to be supplied and defended by a continuing armada costing billions of dollars. Mr. Bush will then have to justify a whole new set of American goals, most of which - an elected Kuwaiti leadership, for example - will be anathema to the rulers of Kuwait."

This may be a far-fetched scenario based on a doubtful premise, that war will break out and lead to an American victory, but let us for argument’s sake assume that this is what will happen. If you have an elected government in Kuwait, how long before you have an elected government in Saudi Arabia?

The Middle East remains unstable and will continue to be so until the question of Palestine and the restoration of democracy remain mere points for discussion, debate and empty rhetoric. The Arab struggle has been gravely hampered, indeed sabotaged until now, by the feudal ruling families of ‘Saudi Arabia’ and the Gulf States. For them a Palestinian victory is anathema, for what will logically follow is the overthrow of their own regimes. In a book published in May, 1987, by the Muslim Chronicle I made the following observation:

"It is significant that late in the 20th century the unholy alliance of Britain, Italy, West Germany, France and America continues its domination of large parts of the Arab world, Latin America, Africa and Asia through their black and brown surrogates. There must be a lesson in this for the victims of racist and economic exploitation - they must unite under a single banner and show the same unity and strength the imperialists show, in their own fight to usher in a free society based on justice and equality of opportunity and free of racism."

Three years later in 1990, there is concrete evidence that history is marching in this direction. As we near this final and decisive phase of world history, one fact becomes very clear, that it is not morality that shapes our world but power, mainly military. The late, great Afro-American
writer, James Baldwin put it well when he said:

'The only time I have heard white men talk of the virtues of passive resistance is when they see black men with guns.'

Suddenly the air is filled with pained condemnation of chemical weapons because some parts of the Third World are determined to use such weapons in defence of their freedoms against western imperialism. What is conveniently ignored is that the West and the now reformed, but deformed Soviet Union, are the possessors of the largest stockpiles of atomic, bacteriological and chemical weapons in the world.

There can be no argument against getting rid of these dreadful weapons, but this can only be done without racial discrimination. The same applies to nuclear weapons. But as long as the white world insists on keeping its own formidable arsenal in readiness for unleashing against Arabs, Asians and Africans who threaten its so-called vital interests, we must not only keep our powder dry but must also work towards the acquisition of nuclear weapons of our own.

This is not a pleasant prospect, but if we are to take seriously the British and American right-wing contention that the balance of terror, that is the possession of nuclear weapons of devastating power by both the Americans and the Russians, kept the peace in Europe for over 40 years, there is no reason why such a doctrine should not apply to the present conflict between the Arab world and the western world.

With the ignominious collapse of the old socialist order, treacherously engineered by that great friend of Thatcher, Michael Gorbachev, it was popularly assumed that the West had won and that was the end of history. The end of history meant the triumph of white, racist market forces under the whip of that godless creed capitalism.

The Western victory, totally and contemptuously ignored the Arab and Third Worlds, because it was idiotically assumed that the possession of unrivalled military power by the American Superpower would deter economically enslaved nations in the Arab world from challenging the imperialist ordained status quo. There was too the arrogant belief that the Arab world will remain permanently weak and powerless, that the Zionist terrorist entity could always be unleashed against the Muslim Arabs if they got out of hand, and that cheap oil will continue to flow plentifully to make the world safe and secure for ever after for multi-national capitalism.

It was to be for the white western world, or rather a certain thieving and parasitical class, the Golden Age of Rolls Royces, caviar and champagne. The Third World, particularly the Arab Muslim world, was to finance this artificial prosperity to the last drop of oil. It was axiomatic that the Third World would remain backward, diseased and famine ridden and permanently poverty-stricken. Third World peoples simply did
not count as human beings. The scenario of exploitation was to continue without change. The Arabs and the Third World would continue to supply raw materials on the cheap or for virtually nothing, with prices manipulated by the bloodsucking multi-nationals of the West and that honorary white nation, Japan.

The bankers from those so-called advanced nations will continue to get, especially from the poorest countries, oceans of dollars in interest. The rich nations also have the right to dump manufactured goods on these countries, again at prices fixed by the west so that there is no correlation between the prices of raw materials and the prices of manufactured goods with, obviously, the sharks of imperialism getting profits in the region of 800-1,000 per cent.

Should the developing countries get above themselves and stage an anti-imperialist revolution, they would be starved out by the drastic slashing of prices for their one or two raw material products, loans would be cut off, or if this doesn’t bite, destabilisation in alliance with reactionary black or brown servants of imperialism will be effected through a coup d’etat.

The arms manufacturers, the wealthiest sons of bitches in the world, as a former President of the U.S. once described them, cannot also be denied. So we see the stirring up of civil wars and conflicts with neighbouring states, and given the stupidity of some tribalists, communists and religious fanatics, this is child’s play.

Thus is created an insatiable demand for the most advanced weaponry, while at the same time millions perish for want of food and medicines.

The so-called Gulf crisis tells its own story of the unbridled greed of the arms suppliers who are so powerful that they can topple their own governments, and quite a few governments in the Third World too. They are the biggest beneficiaries of the phoney war, pocketing nearly 40 billion dollars from supplies of weapons, which in many cases are too advanced for the cretins of the Saudi army.

However, the rulers of the reactionary states, hated and despised by their own peoples, can only be kept alive with a powerful military repressive force. Of course there is another side to these military deals: the leading members of the ruling families get millions in kick-backs from corrupt western governments.

In most Third World countries where multi-nationals operate with the co-operation and complicity of a small, unrepresentative and corrupt class which owes its power base to its imperialist masters, they even decide what crops those countries have to produce. These countries must not produce anything that conflicts with multinational interests, even though this be against the will and interests of the people. Hence the absurd situation where formerly fertile and rich agricultural countries capable of feeding themselves and also of producing a surplus for export, are now actually importers of grains and other foods; most parts of the Third World still
use the techniques and tools of Noah’s time, but under no circumstances must modern technology be supplied to them; in some circumstances the West dumps outmoded technology, such as IBM tried to do in India twenty years ago. The Indian government gave them marching orders, but not many countries are in a position to do this, and some refuse to do so because of dishonest advisers and leaders who are in the pockets of the West.

The international division of labour must always be maintained. With the retreat of socialism, the new class war is being fought globally. The peoples of the Third World are the new peasantry and proletariat, the workers and the producers and they are naturally paid starvation wages. And they cannot produce what they like. The division means that they will have to stick to simple things like textiles and tourist trinkets and they must not manufacture goods which will compete with the all-powerful West. That, in essence, is the meaning of free competition as understood by the capitalist system in its relations with the Third World, including even the rich Arab countries.

Is it any wonder that large parts of the Arab world are so desperately poor? Is it any wonder that some of them like the Sudan and Somalia are victims of famine? Is it any wonder that illiteracy is so appallingly high even in the wealthier countries like the Gulf States and Saudi Arabia? Why is it that in Kuwait and these countries the hospitals, factories and oil fields are under the control of western white technicians, paid inflated salaries, while the menial work is done by Palestinians, Filipinos, Indians, Bangladeshis and Pakistanis? How many scientists, engineers and technology have these countries produced or for that matter how many university graduates? Education is only for the privileged, the belief being that an educated population cannot be duped and deceived but will become awkward, rebellious and even demand free and fair elections.

The economic, political and social distortions that result from neocolonialist practices by imperialism and its allies like the al-Sabahs and the al-Sauds are designed to perpetuate feudal rule and the continuing exploitation of the God-given resources of the Arab world. It is not only in respect of the Palestinian Resistance and the denial of democracy that the Saudis and the other feudaldal tyrants stand in the way of progress. But most important they hold back the Arab world culturally educationally, scientifically and technically at a time when the West is light years ahead, and is selflessly using its scientific power to maintain a barbarous status quo. The future not only belongs to those who are politically emancipated, and therefore democratically and scientifically creative, but on those who can compete with the Japanese and the Germans on an equal level.

That can be done, but it cannot be done under feudalism for feudalism is the very enemy of scientific progress. All over the Islamic world there
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is a new-found pride, a consciousness that the wrongs of the past must be righted, that history in fact belongs to us. It is a serious cause for concern that Islam which was one of the earliest scientific pioneers at a time when the Japanese and the Germans were enslaved by superstition, ignorance and charlatanism, is today so totally dependent on such peoples for even simple consumer goods like radio and tv sets.

Were we not the people who gave to the world, algebra and other elements of mathematics, architecture, electricity and medicine?. Were not some of the finest and best centres of learning in Alexandria, Baghdad and Damascus? The Great Age of Islamic Civilization - between the seventh and fourteenth centuries when experiments, research and learning of a very high order produced some wonderful gains not just for Muslims but for mankind, a reminder that the true Islamic scholar serves not just people of our faith but also others even of an inferior kind.

Once it was widely believed, because of the lying and deceitful ingenuity of western white propaganda that Pascal was the man who worked out what has come to be known as Pascal's Triangle. The truth is that this was the work of Al-Khwarizmi, a famous Muslim mathematician, one of the greatest the world has seen. And let us not forget The key to arithmetic, regarded as the most authoritative work in mathematics was by Al Kashani, the man who found solutions to the Binomial theorem, not Newton, as claimed by the Europeans.

There is also al-Tusi (1201 AD - 1274 AD) a giant of an intellectual who added greater refinement to the Binomial Theorem. Al Tusi bequeathed to Muslim scholarship over 140 treatises and letters in Persian and Arabia. He dominated the world mathematics stage long before Pascal and Western mathematics, which of course, as has been demonstrated, not only borrowed from, but shamelessly plagiarised the discoveries of al-Tusi and other Muslim scholars. Al-Tusi was also a great linguist with an outstanding command of Arabic, Persian and Turkish. His book, Memorial of Astronomy, is a Magnum Opus.

Al-Tusi left no ground untouched in arithmetic, geometry, trigonometry and astronomy and he reinvestigated the 5th postulate of Euclid, including the parallel-line theorem, the very essence of Euclidean geometry.

Al-Tusi profoundly affected and influenced Muslim and European scholarship. Two of his major works were translated into Latin. It is believed that Blaise Pascal learned the Binomial theorem through other early European scholars. These people on discovering the vast treasures of Muslim mathematics, invited themselves to various parts of the Muslim world, where in the generous Muslim tradition which regards knowledge as the property of all mankind, they were given full and unhindered access to the storehouse of mathematical treasures.

Pioneering Muslim mathematicians related geometry to algebra, as they sought geometric solutions for algebraic problems. These cerebral giants
also applied many of its findings to architecture, and it is noteworthy that the Muslims of Arabia were among the first scientific architects in the world - that is to say, building to certain specifications and plans which took into account the law of gravity and other natural laws.

For a long time the world blindly accepted that the greatest and ablest minds in mathematics were Europeans, but research now demonstrates the falsity of this claim. Some leading European scholars now acknowledge their indebtedness to the Muslim front-runners, a sorely needed corrective after 700 years of Stalinistic-style re-writing of history to portray mathematics as a western monopoly, indeed a western creation. It was Abu Hanifa, in Madina who developed the sphericity of the earth surface, 800 years before Copernicus. Today this discovery is still attributed to Copernicus.

Let us also not forget the Golden Age of Muslim science dominated by al-Biruni and al-Kindi the first of the world’s physicists, pioneers of astronomy and telescopes. The first cartographers were Muslims, indeed the first known map of Africa, used unfortunately to Africa’s ruin by the colonial plunderers, was the work of a Muslim geographer. There are also many illustrious names in medicine, geography and sociology.

Let us reiterate an important principle, particularly to refute atheists and other godless creatures, who insist that science and religion are irreconcilable. All the great Muslim scientists and thinkers got their inspiration from their religion which enjoins on them independence and a creative spirit. Galileo may have been persecuted by a superstitious and intolerant religion, but Islam has always accorded a high place, and given the greatest encouragement to the free-thinking scientist and scholar in pursuit of knowledge.

It is this spirit that produced the Golden Age of Science and also the glorious attainments of Islam from the 7th to the 14th centuries.

It is also the same spirit that has to triumph once again if we are to recapture the glories of the past, with Islam in the ascendant, militarily, politically, economically and scientifically. But the greatest obstacle to this is the House of Al Saud in the Hijaz and the Najd who presently insult and trample our faith by accommodating over 70,000 unwashed, unclean, disease-ridden, alcohol and drug abusing and pig-eating kafars on our soil, and who call all this God’s will.

But insulting and degrading our faith and the Muslim peoples all over the world is nothing new to some of the members of the House of al Saud. As we write, the press reports the massacre of 21 Arabs and the wounding of scores of others near the Western Wall and the Dome of the Rock in the Old City of Jerusalem. The massacre, to our eternal shame, took place on Monday, October 8, 1990. The Zionist experts in genocide are not alone in this and other acts of criminality which have so far claimed nearly a thousand lives and the maiming of thousands of Palestinian
children of the Intifada.

The massacres of Deir Yassin, the genocide of Shatilla and Sabra, the bloodbath in Lebanon, and the vandalisation and destruction of Beirut, once one of the most beautiful cities in the world, and the arson, looting and desecration of the Al Aqsa mosque in Jerusalem by the Zionists, were made possible by the cowardly silence and the treacherous indifference of the House of Al Saud which has always sided with the enemies of Islam against Islam, against the Ummah. They have supported the oppressor with millions of barrels of oil. The Guilty Men are the Fahds and their friends and accomplices in the Bush administration.

The October 8, 1990 massacre of the Palestinians by the Zionist equivalents of Hitler and Himmler provoked a swift response from the Palestinians and other Arab patriots who promised vengeance. But the Saudis with the most powerful arms in the Middle East were merely reduced to mumbling incoherently and insincerely about the ‘killings.’ Even if they had such a desire, they would not be able to offer their weapons to the Palestinian freedom fighters, least of all use them against the Zionists, for their one-sided, blackmailing agreement with their American, British and French arms suppliers, expressly forbids direct or indirect offensive or defensive action against the Zionist Nazis.

The young Palestinians who were gunned down by the Zionists were protecting the site on the Temple Mount of Islam’s third holiest shrines. They were unarmed, but they were determined to prevent a threatened desecration by Zionist racists. That should have been the duty of the Al Fahds, the so called Khadimal Haramin, Sharifein etc.- self-appointed custodians of the two other holiest shrines of our faith, but these scoundrels were at the very moment of the massacre, desperately urging the patrons and paymasters of the Zionist state to ‘liberate’ Kuwait when the paramount duty of every self-respecting Muslim was to defend the third holiest shrine in Jerusalem.

Some of the members of the House of al Saud have a lot of innocent Arab blood on their hands, they have to answer for many outrageus and barbarous crimes of theft, blasphemy and butchery before the Great Islamic Tribunal, but even the most dreadful punishments will not be sufficient for their complicity in the massacre of the 20 devout, devoted, heroic and noble defenders of the Islamic faith on the Temple Mount. They have already been received as martyrs by our Creator, a reminder that while we are marching inexorably in the direction of the Greatest Islamic Victory ever, the time is also rapidly advancing for vengeance against the friends and financiers of our enemies.

Without the Al Fahds the desecration of the sacred soil of Makka and Madina would never have taken place. To our eternal shame and dishonour, brought upon us by the loathesome and vile al Saud, our sacred
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soil is not only occupied, but more shamefully, occupied by the very people who support the Zionist reign of bloody terror in Palestine.

The House of Al Saud is totally indifferent to the massacre. They have already privately assured the Zionist entity that they too regard the ‘killings’ as a carefully planned provocation to draw attention away from the occupation of Kuwait, and they have committed themselves to maximum underhand diplomatic and financial support for America’s continuing molly-coddling of the Zionist state. All the al Fahds want in return is the return of the al-Sabahs to Kuwait, the destruction of Iraq and a permanent American and Western presence to guarantee the survival of their very own Third Reich for at least a thousand years. If, as part of this filthy deal they have to acquiesce in the continued occupation of Jerusalem, the West Bank and the Golan heights and the South African-style maltreatment and oppression of the Arabs in the Zionist state, they will cheerfully do so.

However the Saudis are nervous and jittery. The entire Arab world is united in its opposition to the American occupation of Saudi Arabia, and the Great Satan’s blatant interference in Arab affairs. Every Muslim, apart from a handful of anti-Islamic traitors, blasphemers and rogues, cries for revenge. The Saudi reaction is to hurry the Americans into a cataclysmic upheaval from which they hope they will emerge much stronger. The Americans, normally a stupid and bloodthirsty race of scoundrels, are displaying greater sobriety, fully aware of the calamity in store. Vietnam will be a garden party compared with what will happen in a shooting war in the Gulf. The Americans, for the moment, are not interested in the Saudi mumbo-jumbo about ‘an act of God,’ their term for what they fervently hope will be a war to make the Middle East permanently safe for their ilk.

The Saudis, gripped by panic and paranoia, see enemies everywhere. Their security forces are busy picking up innocent Arabs, including many Saudis, and locking them up on suspicions of spying and assassination. There is no doubt that large numbers of intelligence gatherers on the side of the anti-Fahd movement have already penetrated the country. Equally encouraging is the ease with which expertly trained patriotic assassination squads have entered the country, and are biding their time for the opportune moment.

True Islamic patriots, not the feudal scum represented by the al-Sabahs and the al-Fahds, have every right to defend themselves, and also to launch an offensive against the allies of those who shoot unarmed men, women and children in cold blood. We have to reply in the language of an eye for an eye. But the really guilty men are not the Zionists who are destined for a terrible defeat, but some of the members of the the Al Saud. They are the people who have brought death, dishonour and destruction to the Arab fatherland. They are the people who have shamed and
humiliated us. They are the people who have helped to turn Palestine into a vast graveyard, filled to overflowing with the corpses of our beloved children, and our beloved brothers and sisters.

The Fahds must be taken alive, tried and punished. There is no time to be lost, American Occupation or no American Occupation. Their crimes against Islam and the Arab nation are more bestial, humiliating and degrading than that of any previous occupying power. Our people cry out for vengeance, we must never let them down. History will never forgive us.
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KHADIMAL HARAMEEAH ACCUSED OF TORTURE AND MURDER OF INNOCENT MUSLIMS.

Bad enough, the thieving House of Al Saud have taken over the Holy Land of the Muslim Ummah by force, fraud and genocide worst still they continue to run a terrorist, homicidal state where the heroic opponents of the doomed dictatorship, are captured and detained without trial in solitary confinement. They are often murdered by the dreaded secret police said to be one of the most vicious and venomous than Hitler's Gestapo.

Because the bloodthirsty Fahd is a traitor, a renegade and a shoe-shine boy of Western imperialism, his horrendous violation of human rights, make even Ceausescu look like an inoffensive eunuch. However the human right organisation Amnesty International has had the courage to compile a damning indictment of the atrocities committed by one of the world's most barbarous and brutal regimes. Below we print an abridged version of the Amnesty International report.

Hundreds of suspected political opponents including prisoners of conscience, have been arrested and detained without trial in recent years in Saudi Arabia. Most have been Muslims from the Eastern Province. At least 100 such detainees were held in 1989 alone.

In Saudi Arabia political parties and trade unions are banned. Over the years a clear pattern has emerged whereby individuals suspected of being members or sympathizers of opposition groups have been
arrested by al-Mabahith al-‘Amma (General Intelligence).


In all cases known to Amnesty International, political detainees have not been informed of the reasons for their arrest and have been held for prolonged periods without trial, and in some cases for over two years. During the first few days or weeks detainees are usually held in solitary confinement, are routinely tortured or ill-treated and are denied access to family or legal counsel. Generally, access to family members is permitted after interrogation has been completed and the detainees have been transferred to communal cells.

In 1982 Amnesty International learned about the cases of over 120 untried political detainees, some of whom the organization feared might be prisoners of conscience. Among those detained were Fawzia al-Bakr, lecturer of the sociology department of the University of Riyadh; Salih al-‘Azzaz, Editor-in-chief of al-Yawm newspaper and a number of journalists, teachers and students.

During 1983 Amnesty International was aware of the names of over 120 untried political detainees. They included Widad al-Qumri ‘Abdul Munim, a 42 year old Palestinian school teacher and member of the executive Committee of the General Union of Palestinian Women.

In 1984, between August and December, 62 people were detained in connection with an attempt to organize a political party. During 1985 over 100 alleged political opponents of the government were arrested and held in untried detention. Many of the arrests were reported to have taken place on or after Yawm al-‘Ashura (10 Muharram/25 September) when participants in the processions commemorating the death of Imam Hussein, grandson of the prophet Muhammad, demonstrated for the release of untried political prisoners.

The demonstrations were broken up by riot police and the National Guard and many arrests followed. Most of the arrests were reported to have taken place in Saihat, al-Ihsa‘, al-Qatif and Safwa in the Eastern Province. Among them were students, teachers, religious scholars, merchants, engineers, doctors and employees at oil installations.
They included Alawi Muhammas Makki, a 32-year-old Saudi Arabian international swimmer who was detained while taking part in the Haj (holy pilgrimage). In 1986 over 140 alleged political opponents or critics of the government were detained without trial. Among them were Makiyya 'Abdullah Hamdan who was reportedly arrested after midnight at her home on 24 July after trying to discover the whereabouts of her husband who had been detained in May.

Throughout 1987 Muslims suspected of opposing the government were reportedly arrested and held in untried detention. Most reports related to arrests which had taken place in the Eastern Province after clashes in Mecca between Iranian pilgrims and Saudi Arabian security forces. The Saudi Arabian authorities publicly denied holding any political prisoners.

In April 1988 following explosions at oil installations in Jubail in the Eastern Province, suspected political opponents of the government were arrested and held in untried detention. All were Shi'a Muslims and were arrested in the Eastern Province towns of Saihat, Safwa, al-Rabi'iyaa, al-Qatif, Taroot and Senabes.
Among them were religious scholars, teachers, students and engineers. They included Nasser ‘Abdullah al-Yusuf, a 26-year-old former employee of the Ministry of Education, and Sheikh ‘Abdul-Karim al-Hubail from al-Rabi‘iyaa. Nasser ‘Abdullah al-Yusuf had previously been detained without charge or trial in 1985 while a student at King Saud University in Riyadh and was released at the end of 1986.

Over the years Amnesty International has raised with the Saudi Arabian authorities the issue of detention without trial of suspected political opponents, stating that this practice has led to violations of fundamental human rights standards enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. These include freedom from being subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention and the right to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal.

The organization has received no response from the Saudi Arabian authorities to any communication on this issue.

According to detainees who had refused to acknowledge the substance of their ‘confessions’, or who had claimed that these had been extracted under torture, they were once more returned to solitary confinement and subjected to repeated interrogation and torture until they relented.

One such case was that of a 25-year-old suspected political opponent from Taroot, who was detained in solitary confinement for 81 days in al-Mabahith al-‘Amma Prison in al-Dammam in 1987. He told Amnesty International:

“I was interrogated continuously for 25 days and was forced to confess to having carried out anti-government religious activities. Late at night the interrogators who had been involved in questioning me, and a fourth person asked me to certify my confession. I said it had been extracted under torture, and showed him the traces on my body. He accused me of lying and ordered that I be interrogated again.

I was held once more in solitary confinement for 18 days, and then interrogated continuously for 36 days. During this period I was severely tortured in order to force me to certify my confession, I was brought before the same persons again on the 79th day of my detention, whereupon I certified my confession, which included a statement to the effect that I had not been tortured. I was released two days later.”

Another case was that of Yassir ‘Ali Muhammad Al Faraj, a 22-year-old maintenance worker at King Fahd airport near Dhahran, who was arrested in July 1988 and released in the first half of December.
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The only crime 'Khalid Muhallal had committed was that he fell in love with a so called Saudi Princess for which he lost literally lost his head. The so called cruel justice was meted out in a car park Bab Alsharif Square. The swordman who beheaded Khalid was not a public executioner. The five stokes taken to perform the task to sever the head indicated a lack of professionalism.
1988. According to his testimony to Amnesty International, he was brought before a committee on two separate occasions before he had finally agreed to certify the confession.

Such practices contravene Article 14(3)g of the ICCPR which states that a detainee may not "... be compelled to testify against himself or to confess guilt".

In September 1989, Amnesty International learned of the arrest of another alleged member or sympathizer of PGH Hizbullah fil Hijaz. Sayyid ‘Adnan Sayyid Muhammad al – Yusuf, 27 years old, was arrested at the beginning of July 1989 in al – Ihsa‘ where he is currently being held. He had previously been arrested on two separate occasions between 1982 and December 1983 and between 1986 and 1987, and held without charge or trial. Prior to his last arrest, he was living in exile in Damascus and is reported to have returned to the country after receiving assurances from the Saudi Arabian embassy there as to his safety.

More recently, three other suspected members or sympathizers of PGH were detained in October 1989. They are Sheikl Ibrahim al – Battat, a 48 – year – old religious scholar from al – Ihsa‘, Hussein Mansur ‘Abbas, an employee of Saudi Electricity Company, from Saihat, and Sayyid Yasin al – Sayigh, a religious scholar from al – Qatif. All the 20 detainees from this group were held incommunicado before and during their interrogation.
ahd, the so-called ‘King’ of the Holy Land of the Muslims, stolen from our people by his brigandish family, and now illegally occupied by him and his family, concubines and murderers and torturers, and other contemptible hangers-on, is one of the most loathsome tyrants in the world.

On another page we publish extracts from an Amnesty International Report the methods Fahd uses to keep his nasty regime alive. Thanks to his powerful Western friends and the expensively bought silence of their media, we hear very little about the atrocities of Fahd’s thuggishy security service.

There is no doubt that a sizeable part of the population of the Holy Land hates the dictator Fahd and wants to overthrow his regime and bring him to justice. Fahd knows this and is filled with fear and foreboding about what will happen to his fat and empty head when the revolutionaries seize power. The punishment he has so often meted out to his opponents, beheading, will be his when the pro-Islamic revolutionaries who cannot forgive his crimes against Islam, his theft of their wealth, and his collusion with the criminals of Western imperialism in the economic and political enslavement of other Muslim countries and the developing world, get hold of him.

Like all tyrants, Fahd misguided beliefs that repression, torture and even genocide of his own people can stay the hour of his fall and execution. That is why he squanders billions of pounds on an over-manned security service and an army that will make war against its own people when they eventually stage their own revolution.

The avaricious West which is virtually bankrupt and has been economically and industrially overtaken by the JapaneSE will be in an even worst state if it were not for two bottomless wells of wealth – the first of course is the blood-sucking interest on loans from the developing
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countries, which runs into billions, and which is the cause of malnutrition, disease, illiteracy and famine in the developing countries, and the armaments industry which battens on ideological, tribal, communal and religious divisions.

Like the late and un lamented Shah, who was also much loved by the American imperialists, albeit temporarily, Fahd spends untold billions of dollars of the Holy Land's wealth on expensive aircraft, bombs, bullets, tanks and missiles, most of them produced by the omnipotent American arms industry which, as everybody except the Man in the Moon knows, is the invisible government of America. Like the Shah, too, Fahd believes that he can stay in power through continued violence and brutality against his people.

That is why his own security service modelled on the lines of the Gestapo and Savak is equipped with the most sophisticated spying and bugging gadgets and enjoys a limitless budget. The question that Fahd has not yet asked himself is what did all this megalomaniac extravagance and waste achieve for the occupant of the Peacock throne?

The Shah managed to escape the wrath of his people and pathetically hoped that his American 'friends' would give him asylum, but having no more use for him, they gave him what they call the 'bum's rush'. The moral of the story is that tyrants do not have real friends they can count on.

The bootlicking Fahds and Shahs of the world have their uses for Western imperialism - as long as they do what they are told and bring in juicy orders for missiles, tanks and other death-dealing instruments for their armaments industry, they will be most cordially received and patronised by their masters in the White House. When their own people give them the grand order of the boot, they will be treated like they were carriers of Aids. Fahd should seek some advice from the ghost of the Shah.

But perhaps Fahd might be spared the Shah's humiliation. He may not have time to escape when the revolutionaries storm his hated palace.

Although the tyrant does not deserve it, we hope he will get a fair trial!
Khadimal Harameeah
The King of Decadence.

Fahd is one of the world’s richest men. He is also or claims to be a Muslim. His family not only control the entire oil wealth of Islam but also pocket the rich pickings of the Haj pilgrimage to Makka which some of the poorest Muslims from all over the world visit annually, often spending savings of a lifetime to wash their sins and kiss the Kaaba. But recently, Fahd had used his American guns on innocent pilgrims - men, women and children who have come as guests of Allah Soobhan wa Taalah, on the Holy Land of the Holy Prophet (PBOH). Some were murdered in cold blood, others were maimed for life. Even the old, kindly and religious wife of Ayatoollah Khomeini was badly hit, bleeding profusely from her head wounds. The Holy Land became a river of blood, where innocent pilgrims were being slaughtered at the hands of Kadeem al Harameeah-King Kong Fahd on the order of his American masters.

How can Fahd, a gambler, a notorious womaniser who spent millions in the white flesh pots of Europe and a great lover of Scotch Whiskey, claim to be the Holy protector, the guardian, the custodian of Makka and Madina.

Recently, our ‘dear’ Uncle Fahd paid a quick visit to the French Riviera with an entourage of 150. They came in private jets for a 2-week stay during which they spent one million pounds shopping, wining, dining and entertaining in a fashion totally alien to Islamic Principles.

Fahd has his own Private Boeing 747 jet and a similar one for his wife.
£75 million floating palace

The bill for decorating was £15 million. The yacht consists of marble floors, ebony cabinets, gold taps and gold legs on the King's bed. There are 4 main decks, pools, gym and Jacuzzi, armoured-plated windows, a helipad - and a missile detecting system. At the touch of a button, ten feet of water drains away and the swimming pool converts to become a ball room dance floor in seven minutes. The carpet in the king's bedroom costs £1,000 a square metre. The 60 guest rooms, each has two double beds, 26 inch colour TV and plush pile carpets.
They cost £78 million pounds each and both have £60 million pounds of extras. His 150 staff who accompanied him on his 2-week debauchery had 3 slightly smaller planes.

Fahd took up residence in his dream waterside villa 7 miles from Nice. A journalist, one of the few outsiders to gain access to this mini-palace, which would surely have excited the envy of the whoring, guzzling French Monarch, prior to the French Revolution which ended the lives of Louis XVI and the degenerate and haughty Marie Antoinette, gives this description:

‘Its walls are decorated with pure gold leaf. A pure silk Bedouin tent fills one enormous room. A computer centre is linked up to the world’s business centres and there are an aircraft and missile radar system too. Everywhere you look there are carved ivory pillars, marble floors and mountains of antique furniture. The gardens are half a mile long, stocked with trees and flowers from all over the world.’

Fahd probably finding that he had no excuse to go on a spending spree, promptly found one. He decided he did not like his living room furniture so with his fleet of 40 limousines he paid a surprise call to the local antique dealer who was told to get the best of the fabulously expensive furniture. The dealer was so excited that he nearly got a heart attack - he could not believe that someone could spend so much in such a short space of time.

Next Fahd, his 15 year old son, one grandson and two nephews, all thoroughly spoilt brats who even at this early age know more about Western decadence than the Islamic faith “invaded” a toy shop, again to the ecstatic delight of the owner who told the press:

‘They all swept through the store in less than 15 minutes, barely examining the toys, followed by three secretaries who simply bought everything that children had touched, including everything from £50 horror masks to £400 toy polar bears and 500 bikes, whilst next door in the Sudan the so called Muslim brothers have to feed on grass and leaves because of lack of food. Geldoff had to collect £50 million to feed Muslims in their sacred soil, while Fahd and Co. have stolen the entire wealth of Islam to put at the disposal of their own family and the disposal of their American masters.

Never mind the fact that at that very moment Muslim children were dying of hunger in the Sudan, Somalia and in other parts of the world. Never mind the fate of the wretched Palestinians who live in poverty, misery and humiliation, and who will probably welcome a small fraction of the vast fortune squandered by Fahd and his obscenely greedy family, who sometimes in their arrogance behave like Kaffirs.'
After that, according to a French journalist, they moved on to a local sweet shop selling extremely expensive chocolates. All the kids were overweight - and no wonder. They practically bought up the whole place, spending £20,000. And hundreds of pounds of chocolates were ordered to be shipped back home. The chocolates are of course made of animal fat which could probably be pork fat. The owner of an exclusive boutique was temporarily reduced to speechlessness when one of Fahd’s assistants walked into his shop and looked at 6 types of the most expensive shirts and said: “Give me 200 of each.” The boutique owner said: “I was just flabbergasted when I got a cheque for £80,000.

Outside Fahd’s Riviera home was docked Fahd’s newest acquisition in which he would sail back to his country - the 470ft. yacht, Abdul Aziz, literally a floating palace. What goes on inside when the world is fast asleep is anybody’s guess.

This is how French writer Francoise Marot describes it: “It has a ballroom, a sauna, two swimming pools, a gym, Jacuzzi - it even has a mosque.”

If you think it is profane and anti-Islamic to have a western type-ballroom in which men and women clung together in pretence at dancing while really preparing each other for more strenuous activities or forms of extra curricular activities, what do you make of Prince Turki indulging in the worst forms of perversion in an exclusive London Hotel last summer after locking them up German girls in his bedroom. Fahd’s floating palace is nothing but a whore house where some of the most expensive prostitutes get laid. It is a modern floating den of lechery.

Apparently the Saudi Royal household does not seem over-anxious about catching AIDS from prostitutes, who high-class though they may be, go from one unclean man to another, as long as the fee is right. That is why Fahd and his ilk gave a sum of 2 million pounds to an institute in France for AIDS research. They need not worry about the fees from Fahd and other members of his family. The whores don’t only get huge sums of French francs, but also get some of the most expensive designer jewellery ever made. The material well being of whores who are discarded like so many paper tissues, is apparently of greater concern to Fahd than the plight of the Palestinians and other poor Muslims all over the world.

Marot tells us more about the floating whore-house: “All the floors are made of marble, the cabins are ebony, the chandeliers are cut crystals, the bath taps are solid gold, all the door handles are in silver and paintings by Picasso hang everywhere. That yacht must be a fortune.”

The last sentiment must constitute one of the greatest understatements of the century. Note of course, that the palace, jet and yacht decor are
Holiday home
Waterside villa near Nice, bought many years ago. Spent over £15 million transforming it into a palace, complete with a computer centre, radar, wallpaper of gold leaf and mountains of antiques. £50 m

Swish Swiss place
Palace set in four acres, bristling with its lights and security camera. £45 million

Mayfair mansion
An elegant Georgian town house, floodlit, in London’s posh part. £37 m

Antiques
A truckload of Louis XIV furnishings - including six chairs, a dining-table, desk and a portrait of Louis. £750,000

Toys
Spending spree in a toy shop in Nice - bikes, horror masks the lot. £100,000

Sweeties
Blitz on a nearby exclusive chocolate shop. £30,000

Clothes
Collaring 1,200 shirts in a French boutique. £80,000

Tip
Gowing away gift to thank the people of Nice for their warm hospitality. £100,000
Western, in true infidel style. Picasso was a communist and an atheist but it would seem that Fahd loves him more than he does contemporary or past Arab artists. Why can't Fahd show a little more pride in Arab Muslim artists and decorators? He is obviously ashamed of them and is really a Caucasian culturally. Some members of the House of Al Saud have the nasty and dirty habit of calling our beloved Pakistani and Bangladeshi Brothers, Sudanese and Egyptian Brothers by the term "Miskin" (A derogatory term meaning poverty stricken). They have very conveniently forgotten the days, when the Hajis, those going on pilgrimage used to provide a livelihood for the entire House of Saud, before Allah Soobhan wa Taalah, made them a Hydrocarbon society. Ordinary inhabitants of the Holy Land, in the Hijaz and the Najd, are not being blamed, but only certain members of the House of Al-Saud, haughty, vain, and disgusting beyond contempt. They even refer to the pilgrims from the Muslim World as "GOATS" who would have done better to stay at home. Recently they decided to murder pilgrims like flies in order to illustrate this point. Of Fahd it can be said, "He is a man who knows the price everything but the value of nothing."

After Fahd left the Riviera to a send off reserved for Royalty, there were not many dry eyes on the dock. The calculating and cunning French were not weeping out of sorrow for the departure of the King of Decadence but sadness over the fact that the big spender had only stayed with them for two weeks. If the King of Decadence had spent a mere million in
two weeks what would he have spent if he had stayed a month or 6 weeks for that matter. So you could not really blame the French for crying all the way to the bank. Imagine, the French who have murdered thousands of Algerian Arabs during the Algerian revolution by the most heinous torture and who are still treating Arabs like dirt in France shedding tears for Uncle Fahd! They were crying for “FOULOUS” not for Uncle Fahd. They were crying for ‘paisa’, they were crying for “Roupia”, They were shedding crocodiles’ tears.

The French love Arab money but actually hate Arabs as a people, forgetting that Arabs gave the world Algebra, Medicine and Mathematics. They have a Nazi-like habit of calling Muslims as “infidels”. It is a shame to witness Fahd and his ilk creeping and crawling to them. What can one expect from Fahd, the wellknown shoe-shine boy of America!

But even as he left, the King Kong of decadence showed his appreciation to the Riviera. The deliriously happy local mayor Pierre Merli told reporters in his best French accent “La visite de sa Majeste est excellente pour le commerce”

“King Fahd's visits are excellent for business.” Before he sailed he left £100,000 to help pay for lighting around the port area in appreciation of his welcome. The mayor added: “Fahd is more than welcome here, anytime and all the time.” The cunning Frenchman could not have put it better. His people, because they are white, and because they have a generous supply of whores are to be given cash grants. In contrast, of course, Fahd orders that not a single penny should go to the wretched Muslims of Somalia, Sudan and other parts of the Arab Muslim World. Fahd ensures that NOT a single Riyal go to the defenceless young Palestinians who are daily being killed, maimed, tortured, imprisoned, victimised on their sacred soil - not a penny to the Arabs and other Muslim students who have to work as petrol pump attendants, waiters and mini cab drivers in order to pay for the exorbitant university and college fees, in this country.
FAHD IS AN ALCOHOLIC
SADAT WAS A DOPE ADDICT

WHAT THE CIA SPOOKS SAW
THROUGH THE ARAB KEYHOLE

The C.I.A. is deeply grateful to its Arab shoe-shine boys but it is
also very suspicious of them so much so that it spies on them. The
dossiers of scandal with all the dirt on Fahd and Sadat are a close-
ly guarded secret, but thanks to Bob Woodward's book, Veil, we
can reveal some juicy items.

According to Clausewitz, war is an extension of politics - that is to say
one country can achieve certain political and economic objectives,
such as domination and plunder of another country's wealth human
resources, by military conquest and subjugation.

While wars are not out of date - all over the world we still have large
wars and small wars, conventional wars and guerrilla wars - there are other
ways of achieving some of the above-named political and economic
objectives.

These are subtle but no less crude, and were first perfected by the British
through what they call 'intelligence' activity which includes spying on other
people, infiltrating their governments, sabotaging their economy, play-
ing off one tribe or religious group against another, assassinating leaders
and patriots who stand in the way of their objectives, planting agent pro-
vocateurs, and cultivating stooges through bribery, blackmail and sex.
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After the fall of colonialism, when direct domination was no longer possible or acceptable, and was in many cases prohibitively expensive, most Western European nations resorted to these tactics in the territories they once occupied and enslaved.

A classic example of Western skullduggery was the overthrow of the Mossadeq regime in Iran by the British MI6 and the American Central Intelligence Agency. Mossadeq nationalised the oil fields of his country. It was stolen by the British and the Americans. With the assistance of treacherous elements in Iran, particularly the Shah, and other disloyal elements, Mossadeq was overthrown.

The second example of neo-colonial mischief was the destruction of the legally elected government of the Congo under the Prime Ministership of Patrice Lumumba. One of the few leaders of integrity produced by post-colonial Africa, Lumumba was arrested, tortured and eventually murdered with the help of the United Nations and the Belgian, French, British, West German and American intelligence services. Even by the criminal standards of the lawless West, this was a Satanic act without parallel.

The new Prime Minister declared that he was nobody’s stooge, that his people would enjoy genuine independence and freedom, and that his country’s vast mineral wealth would at long last be used not by Brussels, Paris, Washington or London, but by the Congolese people themselves to develop their country, to modernise their agriculture, to eradicate poverty, disease and illiteracy and to give his brutally exploited people who had lost 30 million of their brothers and sisters in the execrable slave trade, at long last a life of security and dignity.

The Belgian occupiers of the country had killed and maimed another 20 million Congolese in the exploitation of the Congo’s rubber wealth. Lumumba appealed to the United Nations to protect his country’s independence when the Belgians invaded with the aim of overthrowing his government. The UN responded but under the instructions of the British, Belgians, French and Americans Hammarsköeld joined the conspiracy against Lumumba. The C.I.A. played a large part in the bloody and murderous events that followed.

The C.I.A. which once played second fiddle to British intelligence, now calls the shots. The C.I.A. even operates in Britain, spying and checking on all politicians, particularly from the Labour party, which it suspects of harbouring communists. Reagan and Bush and the C.I.A. itself were mighty displeased when in the last election Neil Kinnock threatened to
throw out American bases in Britain in pursuance of unilateral nuclear disarmament.

Today the C.I.A. is so expertly geared, structured and financed as to enable it to subvert and destroy not just legitimate governments in many parts of the Third World and Latin America, but also in Western Europe. Of course there is no need any longer for them to continue their efforts to destabilize East Europe, that has been done by Mr. Gorbachev himself.

In his book, VEIL: THE SECRET WARS OF THE C.I.A. 1981-1987, the brilliant investigative journalist, Bob Woodward, one of the chaps whose sensational revelations toppled the burglar-politician, Richard Nixon, confirms that even the Mafia comes a poor second to the C.I.A. in criminal activity.

The American ethic has been shaped by fraud, mass murder and wholesale theft as the few remaining Indians of that country will tell you. Senator John C. Stennis is an unashamed defender of the outrageous immorality of the C.I.A.: 'Spying is spying. You have to make up your mind that you are going to have an intelligence agency and protect it as such, and shut your eyes and take what is coming.'

In Veil, which should be compulsory reading for students of politics, diplomacy and war, and also for aspiring politicians, Woodward reveals that it is not only the 'enemies' of the U.S.A., but even its friends are not safe from its sinister attention.

Not difficult to name the chief objects of C.I.A. hatred - Fidel Castro of Cuba, Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini of Iran and Muammar Quaddafi of Libya.

It is no secret that the C.I.A. spared no money or effort to bump off all three, but it confesses that it can't make any progress in destabilising Cuba, Iran and Libya because of the absence of a 'viable political opposition in all countries.'

Woodward says that Shah had been a loyal servant of the Americans, and that his bloody and murderous SAVAK, had been the C.I.A.'s 'main pipeline' in Iran.

Woodward says that a C.I.A. security operation in Egypt designed to provide Anwar Sadat with protection and warnings of coups and assassination plots, also gave the C.I.A. electronic and human access to Egypt's government, its society and its leader.

The C.I.A. soon found out that the self-righteous and aggressively moralizing Sadat smoked dope and had anxiety attacks - probably brought
FAHD IS AN ALCOHOLIC

- CASTRO AND ORTEGA: Fidel and Daniel high on the C.I.A murder list.

- SADAT: His anxiety problems stemmed from guilt over betrayal of the Nasserite revolution.

- SAVIMBI: Notorious shoe-shine boy for white Nazi South Africa and CIA

- BANDAR AND REAGAN: The fun-loving Saudi ambassador and crooked American President.
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on by guilt feelings of betraying the Nasserite revolution. Despite their lavish public praise of their puppet, the Americans regarded Sadat as just another ‘shifty’ Arab. Woodward confirms: ‘The C.I.A. was not likely to be surprised by Sadat or by events in Egypt. The place was wired.’

But the C.I.A. was not as omniscient or as omnipotent as it claims. Its officers got the shock of their lives when Sadat was executed. So much for their efficiency and sophisticated electronic gadgetry. The Egyptian patriots were much smarter.

The C.I.A. even penetrated the Kingdom of that other great friend of America, the then Clown (no mistake) Prince Fahd of Saudi Arabia, and discovered that the German and French whore-loving King Kong also has another most un-Islamic weakness - he was a heavy drinker. Crates of Scotland’s most aristocratic brands of whisky were flown to his palace by special jet.

Enter Prince Bandar. Prince who? you may rightly ask, but as the Americans would say, ‘he’s our sort of guy.’ Bandar, son of the powerful Saudi defence minister, was a former air force pilot who became his country’s ambassador to the Great Satan.

He was regarded as a kind of Arab Gatsby ‘who waved Cuban cigars around, laughed boisterously, and served his favourite McDonald’s Big Mac hamburgers to guests on sterling silver trays in his private office.’

Because of its excellent relations with Bandar and the Saudis, the State Department went to them for military or economic help when it found it difficult to get Congress approval for some of its more risky adventures. Thus the Saudis helped the enemies of the Marxist government in Ethiopia.

In 1970, the Saudis, on instructions from the C.I.A., provided the then Egyptian Vice President, Sadat, with a regular income.

When the C.I.A. asked the Saudis to finance the Contras against the Sandinistas of Nicaragua, the Saudis actually turned them down, showing a rare self-respect, which was however short-lived. In turning down its master, the Saudi Foreign office gave the following reasons: ‘Saudi foreign policy in Central America was at odds with the U.S.

The Sandanista government was basically pro-Arab, while the two U.S. backed regimes, Costa Rica and El Salvador, had recently engaged in unmistakable anti-Arab diplomacy and moved their embassies in Israel from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem.

However, it was not long before the cowardly Saudis caved in and gave the Contras 8m million dollars, even though Nicaragua, was, in the words of the Saudi Foreign Office, a friend of the Arab world.
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No wonder the Israelis believe that Uncle Sam has only to lift his little finger and the Saudis will come crawling.

In 1981 when the Libyans were accused of trying to murder Reagan and other American leaders, Muammar Quadaffi took the unprecedented step of speaking to the American nation direct on television. He dismissed the allegations as malicious and called Reagan a liar.

Qaddafii also revealed that the Americans while villifying him as an assassin had actually tried to kill him. ‘They tried to poison my food. They tried many other tricks to murder me. They are very silly.’ He advised the Americans to get rid of their president.

Who was responsible for the fiction about Gaddaffi’s hit squads? This information Woodward says, was traced to a shadowy figure employed by the Shah and the Israeli intelligence, Manuchar Ghorbanifar, a rich American arms salesman, and a secret C.I.A. source.

Clearly the lying and theiving Ghorbanifar had sold the C.I.A.a dead pup. According to Woodward he saw the initial hit-squad reports as an opportunity to make trouble for the Libyans and he singlehandedly kept the issue alive for several months. Later the C.I.A. officially and secretly declared Ghorbanifar a ‘fabricator.

White racist America may despise blacks, and even though it continues to treat its Afro-Americans as third class citizens, it loves foreign Blacks with rolling eyes and yes-massa mentality, especially those who support white supremacy.

That explains the excessive love of the C.I.A. and Bush for Jonas Savimbi, backing him with billions of dollars to set up a puppet state in Angola.

Even though the American senate, through its 1976 Clark amendment, banned aid to Savimbi the traitor still gets his weapons and money from the White Massa Uncle Sam. The C.I.A. is naturally a great admirer of the South African White intelligence service which, of course is more adept at torture and murder than the C.I.A.

The C.I.A. approved the murder of Steve Biko and hundreds of other freedom fighters. As far as they are concerned the White Nazis of South Africa know how to deal with uppity Niggers. The Americans are presently trying to woo Nelson Mandela. They hope very much that he will overlook as a trifling matter to do with his capture when he was the Black Pimpnernel it was a C.I.A. agent who betrayed him to the South African Police. Not difficult of course to name the biggest C.I.A. facility outside the U.S. - Mubarak’s Egypt. Admirers of the Muhajeddin will no doubt be pleased
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to hear that all American military and financial aid to the U.S. Pakistan backed anti-Afghan warriors comes from Egypt.

Beirut is one area where the C.I.A. has failed disastrously. Not long ago patriotic elements there captured a top C.I.A operative who they say was posing as a UN officer. However dark the present, the future is not without hope despite its massive resources the C.I.A. is not having a pleasant time except perhaps in Eastern Europe, and the indications are that as far as the developing nations are concerned it is going to suffer even greater humiliation than in the Lebanon.

- HOLY MAN DRINKING HOLY WATER

CHEERS!
HOW THE AL FAHD STOLE
THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

- More exciting than dynasty
- More gripping than Dallas
- More bloodcurdling than Dracula

THE CONTINUING SAGA OF THE SUDAIRI SEVEN

Not since the British imperialists stole entire countries, has there been theft of a land and the enslavement of its people on the scale of the Fahd family's criminality in the HIJAZ and the NAJID. Of course there have been equally heinous crimes, such as the genocide of the Indians of America and the indigenous inhabitants of Australia. We can even include Palestine, but let us not forget that the The House of Al Saud aided and abetted that crime and still do. What is particularly sickening about the theft of the Hijaz and the Najid is not only that the fabulous oil wealth so munificently bestowed by Allah on the Muslim Arab people is now in the pockets of a formerly nondescript family of rogues, liars and cheats, the Fahds, but that they have also the gall to claim Holy Makka and Madina as their own personal property.

UNSCRUPULOUS SHYLOCKS

The thieves add to their loot the billions in revenues from millions of devout Muslim pilgrims, for most of whom the deeply cherished dream was made possible by the most painful sacrifices, often involving the pawning of their property to unscrupulous Shylocks.
HOW THE AL FAHD STOLE THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

What kind of people are those who in collaboration with their Western puppet masters daily drown in blood the continuing revolution against their tyranny, brigandage and desecration of the holy soil that belongs not just to the inhabitants of the Holy Land but to Muslims the world over?

Can you believe it - the privatisation, Thatcher-style, by a bunch of hoodlums descended from the lowest of the low fraudulently claiming to possess royal blood. Islam knows no royalty, it only recognises the equality of all men. The only King in Islam is ALLAH.

The Al-Fahd faction, the Mafia of the Muslim world, belong to the Sudairi tribe. It is the Sudairi Seven, Fahd and his six brothers, who run Arabia as if it were their personal property. Some of the offsprings of innumerable concubines from one of the most overpopulated harems in the world have no say in the decision making process of the so called government of this House of Horror commonly called the House of Al Saud.

BLOODSTAINED HANDS OF THE SUDAIRIS

Saudi Arabia is a dictatorship of a single family. There are no elections, no free press, no freedom of speech or assembly and no government answerable or accountable to the people. All power is concentrated solely in the unholy, thieving, bloodstained hands of the Sudairi Seven.

All the billions of riyals, the most powerful currency in the world, from oil and the pilgrims of the Holy Makka and Madina, goes into the private bank accounts of the Sudairi Seven. Only a miniscule fraction, believed to be less than one percent, finds its way into administration and the provision of some paltry services.

HIGH MORTALITY RATE

Saudi Arabia, despite its phenomenal wealth, is still a backward, underdeveloped country with the highest illiteracy in the world. In contrast a small, poverty stricken state like Kerala in South India, through intelligent taxation and distribution of its modest wealth, enjoys ninety five per cent literacy. Kerala has no oil, and also thankfully, no tyrannical 'kings' and 'princes' like 'Saudi' Arabia. It however has a large Muslim population who probably enjoy greater freedom and material security than their brethren in 'Saudi' Arabia. The land stolen by the Al Fahd family has an unacceptably high infant mortality rate, and while the poor do not exactly starve, they live in the most wretched conditions.
THE SHAH:
Remember how he kissed American boots and what happened to him? The Americans refused him political asylum and killed him with malign neglect. The Americans are fair weather friends. Marcos, Papa Doc, Noriega, Numeri were all victims of American neglect and desertion when the chips were down.

Dancing the Ardha
HOW THE AL FAHDS STOLE THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

The haughties on at the court of the Al Fahds, merchants, industrialists and some academics, bootlickers of the most odious kind, are part of a small obscenely rich class, who in private make no secret of their contempt for the Family. No doubt when the inevitable revolution comes they will repudiate and betray the Al Fahds.

NEGLECTING PEOPLES’ WELFARE

The Saudis invest very little of the people’s fabulous wealth in the development of their country or in education. The result is that they have to depend for every aspect of their civil life, from the administration of their hospitals, nursing, medicine, civil engineering, town planning and the running of their few industries, to expatriates. The Whites are well paid and insolently deride the Saudis as lazy, unintelligent and stupid, in much the same way as did the white expatriates in Iran in the hey-day of their ‘friend’, the late and un lamented Shah.

Our Muslim Brothers are very badly paid and live in abject poverty and are called MISKIN, GOATS AND ZOBBOOL HAMMAR by the wealthy ‘Sauidis.’

‘Pakistanis, Syrians, Indonesians, Sudanese, and Eritreans want to be treated with a respect they believe is due to all Muslims’

‘The very notion of using Muslims and Arabs as “foreign workers” who are denied the rights enjoyed by some Saudi citizens, introduces a discrimination based on nationality that runs counter to basic Islamic principles proclaimed by the regime as the foundation of its legitimacy. This contradiction leaves the regime vulnerable to devastating criticism by potential domestic opposition and hostile outside powers, and the workers themselves receptive to agitation on those grounds.’ Nadav Safran in the Ceaseless Quest for security.

The Al Fahds have other investments of course but all intended to enrich themselves and their Western buddies. They spend astronomical sums on highly sophisticated weapons of war, including supersonic jet fighters costing billions, not for the defence of their country, but to suppress their own anti-Fahd revolution, and also to secure a hefty percentage of the lucrative ‘defence contracts’ in kickbacks. Outsiders like the notorious Adnan Khasoggi and scores of anonymous Western businessmen and politicians, and their sons including one notorious family in this country have become multi-millionaires in shady and crooked arms and other dealings masterminded by the Sudairi Seven.
YAMANI: Adopted and devoted son of King Faisal, sacked by the Al Fahds, because they were jealous of his international prestige. Fahd by nature is extremely insecure, slow and clumsy. In contrast, Yamani turned out to be the most important Saudi in the world. King Faisal needed Yamani because he was the best. He shone head and shoulders above his contemporaries. After 26 years of dedicated service Yamani was sacked by the Al Fahds.

TALLAL: One of the free Saudi Princes, expelled from his country for expressing views contrary to those held by the so called Royal family.
Revolutionary opponents of the Sudairi Seven say that when Allah blessed the holy soil of the Muslims with the substance they now call black gold, he actually intended that such wealth would be used not for the personal aggrandisement and enrichment of a bunch of megalomaniacs and murderers, but to create a modern, morally, spiritually, culturally and economically and scientifically developed state which would nobly and selflessly protect the interests of Muslims the world over.

It was no coincidence, the revolutionaries emphasise that Makka and Madina were also included in the territory.

It is appropriate at this stage to quote a popular Saudi joke. A patriot wakes up one morning and tells his wife to get him his hunting rifle. ‘That’s a bit too early, isn’t it,’ she asks. ‘The animals must still be asleep.’ ‘Not the animals I am after,’ he tells her. ‘They will be up and about doing their usual physical jerks in their harem.’ ‘Pray who are they?’ ‘The Sudairi Seven’, he tells his astonished wife, ‘They have ruined the country and betrayed our religion.’ Thereupon he departs for the monstrous Al Fahd palace.

He returns home late in the evening tired and disappointed. His wife asks him if he had accomplished his mission.

‘No,’ he tells her, ‘the queue of assassins wanting to finish off the hated Fahd are so long, I decided to give it up.’

**SUDAIRI SEVEN DO NOT TRUST EACH OTHER**

It is not surprising that the Sudairi Seven do not trust each other let alone the people who work for them. They are not interested in competent servants, they want them to be slavishly loyal. Woe betide anyone who is suspected of treachery. He will just disappear, probably ending up in a cement mixer after the usual decapitation.

The Mrs. Powerful of the Fahd clan was Hossa bint Ahmad al-Sudairi, the favourite wife of Ibn Saud. The man must have deeply loved the beauty for he married her twice.

There was no offspring from the first marriage. Ibn Saud desperately wanted some successors. When he divorced Hossa his brother promptly stepped in and married her. Hossa responded positively in bed this time and nine months later a little boy emerged from a very special womb.
HOW THE AL FAHD STOLE THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

IBN SAUD RE-MARRIED HOSSA BIN AHMED AL SUDARI

After this demonstration of fertility, Ibn Saud talked his brother into giving him back his former wife. He remarried her. Even Shakespeare so skilful at constructing problematic marital situations, could not have concocted a better double sex act.

And lo and behold, it not only rained but it poured. In their second blissfully wedded life, Ibn Saud and Hossa proved to be a very productive partnership. They produced seven sons and four daughters.

The eldest of these, born around 1920 or thereabouts, is Fahd. If Ibn Saud had realised what a treacherous creature he had brought into the world, he would probably have strangled the fat monster in his cradle. But Saud, a kindly fellow, pampered his boy and lavished on him priceless gifts.

Fahd proved to be a disloyal son. The moronic Fahd was awarded the post of ‘Minister of Education’ the portfolio should have been the Minister for MIS-education in the non-existent government of ‘Saudi’ Arabia.

KING OF BLUE-EYED BLONDES AND BRUNETTES

JANNATI KAUN?

He was a fun loving chap and he still is. He fancied himself as a great lover and went through an impressive collection of international whores with practically every country represented in this super sex-league, from the busty, long-legged, blonde Brunhildes of the Aryan German Fatherland to the delicate, juvenile virgins of Thailand.

Fahd’s inexhaustible sexual appetite is cravenly catered for by the industrialists and so-called statespersons of the West, who continue to supply him with the best tarts that money can buy. They say the way to a man’s heart is through his stomach, in the case of the lecherous Fahd, it is a little different.

Fahd’s other passion is gambling. The Sporting d’Ete casino in Monte Carlo should erect a monument to the ‘king’ for the millions he has lost at its tables.

When Fahd jetted into town, the gossip columnists of the world flocked to the casino action. It was bound to make sensational copy. The management of the Sporting d’Ete will never forget the night when Fahd very nearly bust the bank. Fahd strode in with his bodyguards at about 6 p.m.
HOW THE AL FAHD STOLE THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

FAHD KING OF THE CASINOS

By about 3 a.m. the energetic and wily fellow had won $3.2 million. But he became greedy and continued when wisdom dictated that he get back to his whores in his nearby palace. He ended up losing a mere $3.5m. The casino proved once again that you can never beat the bookies. They say Fahd does not gamble as heavily as before, enjoying the occasional flutter when he drops the paltry sum of half a million dollars or so.

What of the rest of the Sudairi Seven? Sultan who came into the very privileged world of thieves and cheats in 1927, and very quickly became one himself was appointed ‘Minister of Communications,’ (Heaven knows who he was communicating with) before going on to the even more taxing ‘Ministry of Defence,’ i.e the Ministry of Attack as his Ministry attacks defenceless pilgrims, men, women and children. He is, surprisingly, the richest of the clan and like all his brothers makes no distinction between his personal bank account and that of the nation’s.

Faizal MUSA'ID: The nephew who assassinated Faisal on the instruction of the Americans.
In the picture with his blonde American girl-friend.
KING FAISAL AND KISSINGER: Faisal, a greatly loved Muslim who travelled extensively throughout the Muslim World, with Kissinger the butcher of Vietnam.
HOW THE AL FAHHD STOLE THE SACRED MUSLIM SOIL

MINISTRY OF MURDER

But Sultan also has his good side. There are reports that he gives away $100m annually to the starving and the destitute in his country, who are starving and destitute in the first place because of the crimes of the Sudairi Seven. In any case if he can give away a mere $100m can you imagine what he makes in a year!

Abdul Rahman who first saw the light of day sometime in 1931 is said to be a successful businessman and also the deputy minister of defence. Naif born in 1934 is a rather discreet fellow of whose private indiscretions little is known.

Salman born in 1936 is a particularly nasty fellow with a strong sadistic streak and a viciously vindictive nature, according to a British diplomat. He never forgets a slight and some Saudis say that if you cross him you are doomed. Faisal named Salman governor of Riyadh in 1962. Salman’s favourite hobby is the ghoulish one of watching the beheadings of those who have fallen foul of Saudi law or participated in anti-regime actions.

A British diplomat says that Salman spent an entire afternoon in his company describing with enthusiasm and obvious pleasure the actual decapitation of some poor soul. Salman with his taste for blood is, as one would expect, the closest to Fahd. The brothers had better look out for Salman spies on all of them, and has more influence on his brother than any one of them.
WHO SPENT A NIGHT READING
‘OMMAR KHAYYAM’
WITH PAMELA BORDES
WAS IT SOOLTAN OF FAHD?

Perverted, sadistic, lewd, lascivious, lecherous, debauched, degenerate, and decadent aptly describe, the sexual lifestyle of some members of the Al Saud family.

It is not only in this area that the Family dictatorship which has appropriated to itself the revered, holy shrines of Makka and Madina, so brutally violates the Islamic code of conduct. It also invites the ridicule of infidels and kaffirs for other equally odious practices. Gambling, drug taking, the exploitation of prostitutes, and gluttony are synonymous with the names of some of the Al Sauds.

Pamella Bordes, indisputably the most beautiful and famous girl of the 20th century, not only bestowed her much sought-after favours on some members of the British Royal family, and powerful members of the media and political establishment, but she also seems to have cast a hypnotic spell on at least one highly-placed Saudi Prince. Pamella, described by the porn-loving voyeurs of the British press as
A VISA FOR A SINGLE NON-ARAB WOMAN TO VISIT SAUDI ARABIA AT 24 HOURS NOTICE

- The man was a high ranking Saudi official permanently based in Switzerland to do just the kind of job he was doing for Pamela.

PAMELA BORDES: As ‘exposed’ by a famous Arab magazine. Pamela the seductress is dressed as a Kathakali dancer. Next to her is a copy of the visa she got at 24 hours notice for her date with one of the Fahd’s in Riyadh.
WHO SPENT A NIGHT READING ‘OMMAR KHAYYAM’ WITH PAMELA BORDES, WAS IT SOULTAN OR FAHD?

a stunning, sultry beauty with a body capable of arousing equatorial temperatures in even the coldest of men, became the most desired object for a certain Prince ‘Mohammad’ obviously a cover for a very important member of the AL Fahd family. We don’t know the man’s exact identity, but what has been clearly established is that Pamela was a ‘gift’ for him at his own express request.

Enter Adnan ‘Fixer and Fiddler’ Khashoggi, the man once worth over $4bn dollars but now somewhat in straitened circumstances, his wealth reduced to a mere $54m!

Adnan, who also acted as high-class pimp for the Al Fahds and other debauched, sex-crazed Sheikhs of the Arab world, is in a little difficulty and may well end up a convict in the land of Satan. More later.

For the moment let us concentrate on Pamela’s high commodity value. As soon as Adnan heard of her priceless assets, not financial of course, and the fact that she had slept with the high and mighty of Britain, he summoned her to his palace in Marbella, Spain. While Adnan took her to bed, he had no intention of adding her to his own harem. She was to be part of his corrupt deals. It is surprising how doors can be opened for multi-million dollar contracts, with such ‘gifts’ as an extraordinarily pretty and passionate girl.

Adnan rang Pamela and asked ‘Would you like to come and have dinner with me?’ Which girl, except the most saintly, would refuse. Since Pamela was clearly not in this category, she replied eagerly, ‘I’d love to.’

She flew first class, champagne, flowers and that sort of thing. At the airport she was greeted like Royalty and chauffeured in a big Rolls to Adnan’s place. Pamela, ever conscious of her appearance, says, ‘When I got there I had torn my tights and I said to him, ‘Can you send your driver out to the drug-store to get me another pair of tights.’

Adnan the charmer handled the situations with typical panache. ‘Why don’t you take the car and go and choose your own. Let me give you some money to pay for them.’ Whereupon he handed her 15,000 francs in cash.

Another pleasant surprise for Pamela! ‘I returned to the Rolls and told the driver I wanted to go to a drugstore and buy some new tights.’ He smiled and told her, ‘There’s no need to do that’ and handed her some new ones he kept in the car. Khashoggi’s parties lasted four or five days.

All this time she was studied carefully, like a prize cow, at an English country show. Her judges were four shadowy men whose job was to compile a dossier on Pamela’s suitability for Adnan’s business missions.

The AL FAHDS are touchy. They like their women to be meek and submissive, no nonsense about women asserting themselves. In other words they wanted dumb objects that could be whipped - sometimes figuratively
WHO SPENT A NIGHT READING 'OMMAR KHAYYAM WITH PAMELA BORDES WAS IT SOULTAN OF FAHD?

LOVER BOY KHASOGGI: One of the many passionate dreams that the great fixer and friend of the Al Fahds will take with him when he may be locked up in the country of Satan if found guilty, where he is charged together with Imelda Marcos of defrauding the Philippines treasury. But it is quite possible that the Al Fahds may intervene and instruct Bush to set him free.
more often than not literally - into the normal and abnormal sexual manoeuvres. Moreover they had to be respectful, discreet and physically clean.

Pamella must have passed this rigorous test, a few days later Adnan took her to bed. Adnan, as the cockneys of the East end of London would say, is not short of a bird or two. He was probably excited by Pamella, she had that kind of effect on men. But there's another explanation. The Al FAHDS sex maniacs don't like the idea of sleeping with women who have been playthings in their own circle.

They don't like shop-soiled goods. Adnan probably got his own peculiar thrill by bedding Pamella before the Saudi Prince she was meant for. At least he could say to himself and perhaps to some close friends that he had pulled one over the Prince. With Khashoggi it was business, business all the time, except in bed. Arms deals, technical deals, property deals, all were negotiated on the telephone. A male secretary never strayed from Adnan's side, and Pamella got the impression that if necessary he would barge in even in the middle of a sex session.

Pamella says, 'It was the most wonderful week. We were taken shopping in Marbella every day. The guests were allowed to buy anything, all at Khashoggi's expense. The girls got presents all the time. On Valentine's day we all got bracelets made of little hearts. Some of the girls he knew very well got diamond ones but I got a gold one.'

After he had made love to her, Pamella knew that she was now part of his bank of women to be traded in business deals. That involved some degrading experiences in bed with wealthy perverts. Pamella didn't mind, the money was coming in thick and fast.

In March, 1984, Khashoggi gave her a most important assignment. She found herself in the best suite that money can buy in the Geneva Hilton. An unnamed man had called earlier to collect her passport to achieve the impossible: a visa for a single non-Arab woman to visit Saudi Arabia at 24 hours notice.

The man was a high-ranking Saudi official permanently based in Switzerland to do just the kind of job he was doing for Pamella, or more correctly her important Saudi lover impatiently awaiting her arrival in Riyadh. She was to be a 'present' for one of the Al Fahds. Pamella probably got a few hundred pounds for her major role. The arms and military equipment deal her powerful sexuality cemented was we now learn worth a billion dollars. Pamella took it all in her stride, business was business, though she was monstrously exploited, both sexually and financially.

Here was Adnan, the man with a castle in Spain, a villa in France and homes and apartments and yachts all over the worlds with billions in the
bank, behaving like a common pimp. If he were a small time Italian or Cockney spiv he would probably be reduced to a diet of saltless porridge in some nasty European jail. Yet this criminal buffoon was hosted and toasted by Prime Ministers and Presidents, and he was above all an intimate friend of the Al Fahds. They needed him and he needed them. Together they defrauded our Arab Muslim Brothers of the Ummah in the Holy Land of the Hijaz and the Najd. They defrauded millions of other Muslims, Arabs and non-Arabs who should share the wealth of Islam which Allah Soobhan wa Taalah gave to the Ummah. Together they defrauded all of us of billions of dollars.

Pamella reckoned that it was not going to be a normal time in bed with the Mr. Big of the House of Al Saud. It would involve all sorts of perversions, because that’s what lovers of this kind wanted, but she was fully prepared, physically and psychologically.

Pamella jetted to Riyadh and was met by an intermediary, Souffian, a Lebanese who worked for master-pimp, Adnan Khashoggi. His job was to deliver ‘sex presents’ like Pamella to the chosen ones. She was driven in a luxurious air-conditioned Mercedes to an impressive government guest house. The Lebanese told her, ‘You are going to meet a Prince Mohammed. A very important man.’

The ‘very important man’ turned out to be a senior member of the Al Fahd family, who was master-minding a billion dollar arms deal in which Khashoggi was the middle man.

The middle-aged Prince inspected Pamella carefully in the company of a woman secretary. He spoke a few words in Arabic to the secretary who took Pamella out of the room. ‘There’s a bathroom here you will want to use,’ she was told. ‘After you have taken your bath you must wash your hair and blow dry it straight. The Prince wants you with straight hair.’

Pamella obediently did what she was told. She was led to the Prince’s bedroom. After several sessions of wild love-making in which the middle aged Prince proved to be as athletic as a young stud, she was shown to another room to rest until the next day. It was what the English call a one-night stand. The next day she was told by the secretary to return to Geneva. Pamella says that presents like her arrived every day. ‘Men like the Prince have such a collection of girls they can just pick and choose. If you’re doing business deals having beautiful girls around helps oil the wheels. I think the Prince had a girl sent every day.’ Little wonder nothing gets done in Riyadh, the most inefficient capital in the world.
WHO SPENT A NIGHT READING ‘OMMAR KHAYYAM’ WITH PAMELA BORDES WAS IT SOOLTAN OR FAHD?

Pamella was left in no doubt about the stature of the sex-maniac in the Al Fahd hierarchy. Pamella who is something of an intellectual with a very sharp understanding of male behaviour said, ‘He was somebody very, very important to Khashoggi. Khashoggi was keeping him supplied with girls. Khashoggi has all these deals going and he needs a lot of girls for sexual bribes. I was just part of an enormous group. I was used as sexual bait.’
FAHD FALLS FOR THATCHESTER WHILE HIS NEPHEW FALLS FOR COCAINE

DRUG PRINCE IN JAIL was typical of the headlines in the Arab-hating British press when Prince Mashour bin Saud Abdul Aziz, a nephew of ‘King’ Fahd of Saudi Arabia appeared in a British court on Wednesday, July 17, 1985.

Mashour was charged in connection with a conspiracy to supply cocaine. Such was the seriousness of his offence that the court rejected bail for the shameless drug smuggler when his brother, Prince Walid, who brought a team of legal and financial advisers with him, offered a £100,000 surety.

Mashour is fabulously wealthy but has a very low IQ. Why should a man with a £400 a week flat in Knightsbridge, loads of cash in the bank, a £3,000 petty cash expense allowance a week, and a member of the wealthiest family in the world smuggle cocaine? Perhaps he wanted to make a quick killing, but the more likely explanation is that he wanted cocaine for himself and also for his many European girl friends, most of them low-class prostitutes of the type that roams Kings Cross so what better way to get the rare stuff than to smuggle and develop a large stock.

When Mashour visits his favourite night-spots some of the most seedy and expensive in Britain, where drugs come with the whiskey and rum, he dresses like a Zulu with his face made up like a witch doctor. He is also very fond of heavy, expensive jewellery.

In 1985 he bought a red BMW priced £32,000 on credit. No sooner had he driven the car out of the showroom than he crashed it into a wall in Park Lane causing thousands of pounds of damage. It was a wonder no one was injured or killed.
THE OBJECT OF FAHDS FOOLISH LOVE: English rose or just a thorn in the side of the English themselves?

THE LECHEROUS KING FAHDSaid of MRS. T

"HER FIGURE IS MORE ATTRACTIVE THAN THE FIGURE OF ANY CHERISHED WIFE, OR COVETED CONCUrine."
FAHD FALLS FOR THATCHER WHILE HIS NEPHEW FALLS FOR COCAINE

The Saudis angered and embarrassed by their own ‘Zulu’s’ wild and demented exhibitions demanded that he return home where marriage to a 17 year old virgin has been arranged.

TOUGH GUY: Mashour on the town with a friend

THE ZULU WITCH DOCTOR:
Cocaine-smuggling, motor maniac nephew of ‘King’ Fahd of ‘Saudi Arabia’.

PLAYBOY: Prince Mashour
FAHD FALLS FOR THATCHER WHILE HIS NEPHEW FALLS FOR COCAINE

Fahd with his own lecherous, drunken, gambling and money squandering activities is not exactly a good example for Mashour to follow. In the week of Mashour’s arrest on the cocaine-smuggling charge, the British press revealed that the most extravagant shopper at a well known boutique in Manhattan where the world’s celebrities shop, ordered $600,000 dollars of bed sheets worth $168 each for his yacht. The shopper was Fahd.

The sheets are quite ordinary and could be bought at a fraction of the price in more modest stores, but as one British paper put it, those with more money than sense buy their sheets from Manhattan’s Pratesi boutique.

Fahd’s love of women is just as insane as his taste in bedsheets. The much-publicised object of his affections in 1982 was Thatcher, a lowly commoner who rose to high office from a very humble background in a small English town.

Thatcher lived with her parents above their grocery shop in Grantham and their lavatory was in the yard. She appeared to have had a hard life which probably explains her cruel political nature. Some sections of the British press so loathe the woman that they hardly ever have a kind word for her. In 1982 she was described as a harridan with a shrill, belligerent and bossy voice. Some even likened her unto a China doll, such was the coldness of her nature.

However this woman who is now the most hated prime minister the British ever had appears to have captured the heart of Fahd, who made an idiot of himself with a gushing poem praising the woman’s non-existent political virtues.

The poem was not written by Fahd who is as thick as two short planks, but was composed in a most ingratiating and treacly style by his court poet. The fellow must be a bit strange in the head, that’s the impression that one gets from reading his poetic effusions to Thatcher. Here are a few lines from this masterpiece in Downing Street kerb-crawling:

Venus was sculpted by man,
But the far more attractive woman, Margaret Thatcher,
Was sculpted by Allah
My heart raced when I saw her face to face
Her skin was smooth as ivory,
Her cheeks as rosy as an English rose,
And her eyes as lovely as a mare’s
Her figure is more attractive than the figure of any cherished wife,
Or coveted concubine.
PRINCE ABU: Like father like son. He is more concerned about Manchester United football team and fast cars than his starving Muslim 'Brothers' in the Sudan. During his last visit to London, looking at his diamond encrusted Piaget watch he asked if the Household Cavalry was for sale. His favourite hobby is to watch Videos of Rambo, Michael J. Fox in Back to Future rather than take an intense interest in the Palestinian cause. Abu, who is also addicted to Space Invader Machine was rather unhappy when Buckingham Palace was unable to provide one. This is perhaps another Khadimal Haramein in the making.
BOY WHO GETS £31\textsuperscript{1/2}m A WEEK POCKET MONEY

• PRINCE ABDUL AZIZ BIN FAHD AL SAUD OR ABU: To his doted father KING HONG FAHD who gives him 190 MILLIONS pounds a year (190,000,000) just for pocket money. How big is the pocket? And that works out at £3,653,846.10p a week! There is also a cool 300 million pounds in his bank account in case of a rainy day.

• ON THE LEFT: Starving Muslim children in the Sudan on the border of SAUDI ARABIA. This is called Islam Al Saud.
FAHD FALLS FOR THATCHER WHILE HIS NEPHEW FALLS FOR COCAINE

Coveted concubine! What could the wretched Fahd have meant by this drivel. Surely he was not thinking along the lines of yet another British addition to his already over-crowded cosmopolitan harem!

Was it because of this foolish love that Fahd decided shortly after becoming King in 1982 to buy a house worth $50m in London, a house which the British press says makes Buckingham Palace look like a bungalow.

The British press, for once dropped its anti-Arab vendetta, and praised Fahd fulsomely. No need to guess the reason: his money would help poverty-stricken Britain to pay back some of her debts.

Thatcher is no friend of the Arab world. She is not interested in the tragic plight of the Palestinians. She is a passionate supporter of the oppressors of Palestine. She also told a Zionist audience in her Finchley constituency that the only two worthwhile religions in the world were Christianity and Judaism. Yet that criminal buffoon Fahd tells us that Thatcher was sculpted by Allah. What monstrous sacrilege.

As the English poets would say love doth blind its victims. Fahd is so blinded by his love for the English rose, or as someone more perceptively observed a rough thorn in the side of the British people, that he cannot see clearly or think straight.

JANNATI KAUN?

* His London house which costs $50m makes Buckingham Palace look like a bungalow.
THE CONTINUING CRIMES OF THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD

- Why is the house of Al Saud spending such colossal sums on defence when there is no external threat to the ‘kingdom’?

- Why are they posing as a military power when they are unable to help their Arab brethren in the Occupied Territories to free themselves?

- Why do the Al Saud have 40,000 Americans working in their country, most of them on defence projects?

- And why is it that the Americans, who are viciously anti-Arab and are the main supporters of the enemy of the Arabs, the principal beneficiaries of arms contracts running into many billions of pounds?

First there is the notorious corruption factor. The general practice is for a 5-10% cut on all contracts, but in one case a prince demanded and got 17% on a multi-billion dollars contract. Besides their plunder of the national treasury and the huge revenues brought in by poor Muslim pilgrims to Makka from all over the world, the avaricious Saudi family and its many hangers on, make billions more out of arms contracts.

Of course the only way the Fahds can remain in power is with an all-seeing security and intelligence service with links with the C.I.A. and the most powerful weapons, including the best bombers money can buy from the Land of Satan, to prevent uprisings of the type that very nearly succeeded in overthrowing their corrupt, rotten and despicable regime in December 1979.

On that occasion the heroic Muslim leader, the honest, incorruptible and devout Juhaimean, following a popular revolt in the Shi’ite stronghold of Qatif, seized the Grand Mosque with a small army of patriots.

It was a challenge to the House of Al Saud’s moral title to be the protector of the Holy Places of Makka and Madina.
JUHAIMAN: His revolt was an exercise in radical theology which shattered the prestige of the 'House of Al Saud' for Juhaiman, the Ulema and the state had combined in a truly unholy alliance. He often asked, where is it that the Ulema and Sheiks find their money, except through corruption!
THE CONTINUING CRIMES OF THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD

A few months before Ayatollah Khomeini had ridiculed the Fahd claims as fraudulent and bogus and called for patriots from all over the Muslim world to act against the tyrants.

By seizing the Grand Mosque the anti-Fahd defenders of the Muslim faith under the leadership of Juhaifman not only intended to rescue a revered institution from its defilers, the Fahds, but also to draw attention to the corruption, crookedness, parasitism, and the womanising and gambling activities of the so-called Royal Family. Besides they deeply resented Fahd’s intimate and slavish association with the West, particularly the Land of Satan.

Their whole aim in fact was to trigger off a nation-wide uprising that would lead to the beheading of every single member of the hated Fahd Family and all their hangers on. Inspired by Khomeini’s example, they too, wanted to cleanse and purify their country. The true followers of the faith were undoubtedly behind the noble Juhaifman.

The legitimacy of the Fahd Dynasty rested on its claims to the guardianship of the Holy Places and the upholding of the true faith. Because the Fahds had so wantonly betrayed that faith and had trampled on everything sacred, there was widespread though silent approval among the devout in the land for the actions of the defenders of the faith.

The heroic revolt failed. The Fahds, helped by American spies, technicians and military experts and backed by some deadly weapons crushed the uprising. The surviving revolutionaries were captured.

There had been a similar uprising in 1965 when Khaled bin Musaid and his Anti-Saudi freedom fighters attacked the new television transmitter in a bid to call on the people to join the revolution to overthrow the hated regime. But they, too, failed thanks once again to foreign mercenaries assistance and deadly fire-power, all paid for by the people’s money.

These two incidents underline the overkill military capacity of the Saudis. Like the vicious white Nazis of South Africa who are still waging war against their own citizens of colour, the Fahds are also at war with their own people. They are aware of the hatred for their regime, and believe like all bloodthirsty monsters, that they can stay in power as long as they have Satan’s spies and Satan-made missiles, cluster and napalm bombs and supersonic jetfighters in their multi-billion pound arsenal. They foolishly believe that they can avoid the fate that overtook the Shah.

Sixty three heads fell on the dusty squares of eight towns in the Holy Land of Islam on January 9, 1980, with the maximum publicity to warn off would-be-revolutionaries. The heads belonged to Juhaifman and his followers.
The composition of the freedom fighting guerrillas reveals that it is not only patriots in Saudi Arabia who want to put an end to the Fahd violation of the Holy Places, but also the sons of other Muslim lands. Of those beheaded forty one were Saudis, ten Egyptian, six South Yemenis, three Kuwaitis, one North Yemeni, one Sudanese and one Iraqi. No one knows for certain the nationalities of the 75 guerrillas who died in the Mosque, nor of the 25 pilgrims who also lost their lives.

Apart from the national revulsion for the Fahd Dynasty and its criminal profligacy, there was also the question of discrimination against the Shia, in some instances as offensive as the discrimination by the whites against the blacks in the Nazi apartheid state.

The Shia are hard working and intelligent people whose energy and discipline are an asset to a Saudi economy worked and managed largely by foreigners. An Aramco study confirmed that the Shia show a greater willingness to do manual tasks, skilled or unskilled, and had a stronger desire for self improvement through education than many Wahabis.

Yet by 1981 only one Shi’ite had been selected for a ministerial job. Contrast the attitude of the Shi’ites to the work ethic with the Wahabis crudely expressed by an American joke doing the rounds in Riyadh. A German, an American and a Saudi were asked by an interviewer if sex were work or fun. The American boasted, ‘Fun of course’. The German typically long winded said, ‘I work in my office all day. I come home and I have more ahead of me to do. Sex is work.’ The Saudi simply said, ‘sex is fun, if it were work I would have a Pakistani to do it for me.’

That contemptuous racist attitude to Pakistanis is representative of the general insolence of Saudis, especially the Sunnis, to people from the Third World.

Despite the virulently sick anti-Arab prejudices of the western Europeans and their open references, particularly in the British and American media to Arabs as ‘lazy, dirty, cowardly and sex-crazy,’ some whites, particularly the French and the Americans are treated like royalties by the Saudi authorities.

The Europeans, however cheap and degraded they may be, are not only the most highly paid of the foreigners, but enjoy the most generous perks, free housing, cars, free petrol and lavish expense accounts. They are the chosen race.

In contrast the Saudis treat the hard working people of the Third World as beasts of burden paying them only a tiny fraction of the wages paid to whites. Even highly qualified Indian and Pakistani surgeons, scientists, architects and engineers, are discriminated against, receiving smaller salaries than their white counterparts.
AT THE ARAB SUMMIT: Khadim al Harammeh conferring with his nephew, the appointed foreign Minister of the House of Al Saud, how best to steal the wealth of Islam to put at the disposal of his American masters for the daily murder and rape of ordinary Palestinians in the occupied territories.
THE CONTINUING CRIMES OF THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD

1. MODERN RIYADH: Built by our Pakistani, Sudanese, Egyptian and Bangladeshi brothers. The Al Saud refer to them as 'Mishin' or beast of burden, or simply TCN workers. They are NOT accorded the same privileges as their European counterpart. The Al Saud believing to be Islam's chosen people treat them with contempt.

JANNATI KAUN?
TCN - third country nationals - was a racist term dreamed up by some white American racist to describe manual labourers and skilled workers from the Third World. The ingratiating and bootlicking Saudis who are devoid of any self-respect enthusiastically adopted the offensive phrase.

The Saudis have taken great pains to perpetuate the myth of the white man's superiority with South African style job reservation. Some whites are not only given the best jobs but these are reserved exclusively for them. Only when whites are shown to have a lower IQ than Asians, which is frequently the case, are some jobs offered to Indians and Pakistanis. Even then the Asians, though they prove to be clearly the superiors of whites, especially in computer science, are denied the white rate of pay.

The vast majority of Indians, Pakistanis, Koreans and Filipinos are employed to dig ditches, to build houses and factories, sweep the streets, farm the land, drive the trucks, clean the lavatories, probably the filthiest in the world because of the extremely low standards of hygiene in Fahd's 'kingdom'. A large number takes care of the spoiled brats of the rich Saudis.

It is not the lazy, overpaid and parasitical white workers in Saudi Arabia who have made the Saudi economy the power it is today, but the Indians and Pakistanis, who are forced to toil and sweat for long hours. Whereas the Asians work a 16-hour day the work-shy, scrounging whites bluff their way through 4 or 5 hours or even less.

Yet Third World workers cannot apply for citizenship, own property, or claim the protection of Saudi law for their children born in the country. The Saudis openly sneer at them as inferior peoples. While whites are invited to Saudi homes, even the most cultured and learned Indians and Pakistanis are treated as pariahs.

Few countries in the world are as dependent on foreign workers as the Saudis. Because they are poorly educated, thanks to the meanness and cunning of the Fahds who are afraid of an educated Saudi population, foreign workers comprised 41% of the work force in 1975. In 1980 it was 46% and even when there was a downturn in the economy in 1985 the percentage had increased to 48.

The Saudis would have preferred the highly intelligent and enterprising Palestinians and Lebanese to play a more prominent role in their economy, but they were prevented from doing so by American pressure.

A secret C.I.A. report invented stories about their threat to internal stability. It was said that because of their political sophistication and their detestation of dictatorships they would incite violent opposition against the Fahd regime.

The Saudis decided on the instructions of the Americans to deny Palesti-
The House of Al Saud, commonly called the House of horror which rules neither by constitution nor parliament has recently launched a rising campaign of arrests, imprisonment and torture. Their victims are writers, journalists, graduates, students, workers and even.
SAUDI REGIME VIOLATES HUMAN RIGHTS

Name: Kooz h Alkashim
Arrested: 1994
Age: 22

Name: Saud Hammod
Age: 25
City: Al Awamiyah
Arrested: 1991

Name: Ali Alywai
Age: 26
Date of arrest: 1982
City: Ta'woit

Name: Ali M Alsaid
Age: 25
Date of arrest: 1982
City: Qadeed

Name: Abdul Rahman
S Leed
Age: 22
Date of arrest: 1982
City: Fayhah

---

*WOMEN AND CHILDREN: The Muslim Chronicle has already shed light on this subject based on the Amnesty International report. As a result of the torture conditions of prisoners have seriously deteriorated. Saud Hamdan died in prison. Others became insane.*
nians and Lebanese work permits. Imagine Arabs being discriminated against by brother Arabs on the orders of Uncle Satan!

Now the Saudis rely, though not with any gratitude, on the Indians and Pakistanis. Most Indians and Pakistanis, members of a proud race, would prefer not to work in Saudi Arabia but they are forced to do so because of the shortage of jobs in their own countries.

The highly productive Indian and Pakistani labour, vastly superior and more intelligent than that of the white workforce, has two other great advantages for the Saudis, first in lower wages, and secondly in their political neutrality. They never meddle in local politics. Filipinos, Koreans and Sri Lankans are also in demand. The Bangladeshis were the last of the cheap labourers to arrive in the country. Their job was the most menial of all, moving dirt with their own hands, helped only by rubber buckets made from old tyres.

One of the saddest aspects of life for Third World workers in Saudi Arabia is that their own embassies are too frightened to intervene on their behalf when they are falsely charged or persecuted by unscrupulous employers. The white embassies, on the other hand, noisily protest on behalf of their thieves, murderers, pimps, whores and illicit liquor sellers arrested or charged with offences.

The Asians have no rights. They are exploited with maximum brutality. Many are so disillusioned that they commit suicide. They cannot complain if they are cheated of their wages or forced to slave for long hours in the most primitive working conditions.

Even worse treated are women domestic servants mainly from Sri Lanka. They are employed by the rich Saudis who literally treat them like dirt. For a few pounds a month and strict food rations, mainly scraps from the master's table, they are forced to live in closets. They have to be ready to serve their masters anytime of day or night. They often labour twenty four a day seven days a week!

But contrary to the principles of Islam with its strict rules for the treatment of servants and slave girls, the non-Muslim women of India and Sri Lanka, Philippines and Korea have no protection. They are the playthings of their masters who can take them to bed anytime the masters desire, even in the presence of their own wives.

The mistress often out of jealousy beats up the servant who has attracted the husband's attention and denies her food and rest. Then there is the adult child who is given a female baby sitter. He of course carries out sexual experiments with her much to the amusement of his parents. Sometimes the girls who become pregnant join the harem of the master,
but most are sent back to their countries with a small amount of money to compensate for the disgrace they have brought to their own very respectable families in India and Sri Lanka.

Many of these women commit suicide like the girl who threw herself from the balcony after her Saudi master had raped her.

The women who are raped cannot or dare not bring charges against the criminals for fear that they will be deported straight away or worse still, imprisoned and tortured before they are deported.

As far as foreign workers are concerned Saudi Arabia is a lawless state, hooligan state. They cannot seek redress from the law which is there exclusively to protect native Saudis and their white friends in the expatriate white force.

Syrians, Indonesians and Pakistanis have demanded that they be treated with the respect they feel is due to all Muslims. The Saudi reply is that beggars cannot be choosers.

This attitude has been condemned as un-Islamic by the late Ayatollah Khomeini and many other Muslim intellectuals. The Saudis have yet to learn that you cannot keep someone in the ditch without staying there yourself. They arrogantly boast that they can get rid of the foreign workers whenever they want. They will never be able to do so as long as the House of Al Saud remains in control, violating Islamic law concerning the treatment of workers, and encouraging their people not only to parasite on the labour of others but to abuse and oppress them.
Khadimal Harami has begun his vicious and vile campaign of destabilisation of the two Yemen. His surrogates are working over time to foster internecine war between the sisterly - North and South Yemen. Sources close to the Muslim Chronicle have revealed that the House of Al Saud has dished out money, arms and ammunitions to different tribes in the region in a bid to start a civil war, thus forestalling the process of unity which will be put to a referendum in June.

This is not the first time that the Al Saud has exacerbated internecine strife in the area. The Al Sauds have been planning their moves for a long time. It was only a question of timing, when they would let loose their dogs war. Similarly, the Arabic daily, Al-Quds, in its May edition reported that tribal war in the Yemen has exploded. But the North Yemeni officials issued an outright denial. In any case the Yemenis will not confirm such reports because of the sensitivity of this issue.

It is a well known fact that the House of Al Saud has been instrumental in aiding and abetting all forms of strife in the Yemen since 1935, when they captured territories, rich in mineral resources in the Asir province.

The Saudis are alarmed because of the discoveries of oil wells in the Yemen. The Al Saud cannot dream of a united and wealthy Yemen less dependent on their aid, and outside their sphere of influence.
KHADIMAL HARAMEEAH EMBARKS ON A DIRTY CAMPAIGN IN THE YEMEN

A passive prisoner of the Al Saud in the Asir province in 1948
‘LET NOT BELIEVERS TAKE DISBELIEVERS FOR THEIR FRIENDS IN PREFERENCE TO BELIEVERS’

HOLY QURAN: 3.28

In recent years we have witnessed a renaissance in the perception of Islamic concepts by the Ummah. We must ask ourselves how and why this came about, to what extent it has been successful and where it is leading.

One such concept is the Islamic State. It is nothing new. It existed for centuries with our Prophet Muhammad (Peace Be Upon Him) being the first Head of that state. The Prophet set guidelines to show humanity how to live in peace and harmony.

“There is no difference between an Arab and a non-Arab but in taqwa (piety).”

Here we clearly see the universality of the message of Islam, contradicting the notion of nationalism and racism.

Led by the highest religious authority, the state dealt with all spheres of life - social, legal, economic, personal and political. The Caliph despatched envoys to neighbouring states as part of the state’s foreign policy.

This was the system inherited by the Ottoman Empire (1615-1924) which, despite its imperfections, reflected the Islamic State.

Sadly, the Entente powers of the First World War after defeating the Ottomans changed the map of the Muslim World by imposing artificial boundaries within the state and instigating nationalist and secularist sentiments. By this the Muslim World turned into a hot-bed for alien ideologies which led to further divisions and disunity.

Kings and Sheikhs were carefully appointed to rule their newly formed countries in order to maintain the interests and colonial ambitions of the victorious allies - Kemal Ataturk, Reza Shah and the Saudi family being prime examples.

Allah in the Holy Quran warns:

“Let not the believers take disbelievers for their friends in preference to believers…” (3.28)

Not only did the rulers of the Muslim countries ally themselves with
LET NOT BELIEVERS TAKE DISBELIEVERS FOR THEIR FRIENDS IN PREFERENCE TO BELIEVERS

the East or the West and abandon Islam as the political and economic system, but they also suppressed any Islamic movement which was gaining popularity.

Resistance to the Zionism

After the creation of Israel in 1948, and the subsequent resentment even among the rulers (such as Nasser of Egypt), resistance to the Zionist State by uniting the Arabs under the banner of nationalism achieved nothing and in fact led to the loss of more territory. As a result hopes of restoring the rights of the Palestinians became more distant - nationalism had failed. Hence it was the right time for the Islamic movements to take back the lead. One such movement that was gaining popularity was in Iran. This phenomenon could have emerged in Egypt or in any other Muslim country; so why did it happen in Iran, in particular?

The distinguishing aspects of the uprising in Iran which led to the fall of the oppressive regime of the Shah in 1979 were the pious leadership, the increasing awareness of the people of their condition and their return to original Islamic values.

The Islamic revolution in Iran was a milestone in the global movement throughout the Islamic world. Since then a major struggle in the rest of the Islamic territories has gradually been gaining momentum.

In Lebanon we have witnessed the defeat of the Zionist occupying forces and their consequent withdrawal and humiliation. In occupied Palestine, for the first time the Islamic world is seeing the long awaited Islamic resistance emerging - on university campuses the issue of Palestine is being perceived from the Islamic viewpoint. By observing events there, one can clearly see that the secularist trend is gradually being overtaken. Consequently, the Zionist authorities are even more persistent in their efforts to undermine this awakening.

Other prime examples are the Islamic movements in Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia, Egypt, Nigeria, Azerbaijan and Kashmir. The Islamic revolutionary vanguard of these countries know that by relying on Allah, it can defeat its enemies despite the fact that the external enemies of Islam, the fifth columnists, or the collaborators within have a lethal armoury of weapons and material resources at their disposal. The rest of the Muslim Ummah, whether it be China, Greece or Philippines are not any less busy in their struggle though we hear little about them due to government propaganda and lack of media interest.
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From this it should be evident that the so called Super-powers are very concerned to counter the Islamic movement wher-ever it may be in the world. In September 1980, it was their client states in the Gulf region who initiated and supported the oppressive regimes which resulted in the deaths of millions of Muslims. It is heartbreaking to think of the tremendous waste of Muslim lives, human resources, men and material which could have been put at the disposal of Islam to serve Islam. As wave after wave of Muslim children were blown up by mines, the enemies of Islam had a little holiday in their hearts. This is not over. Our babies are being murdered everyday in a land we call the third holiest shrine of Islam. Our Muslim sisters are being raped in Kashmir and their throat slit open. Our brothers are being butchered in Azerbaijan. That is the price the Ummah has to pay to keep resurgent Islam on the march. Since then many developments have occurred.

The oppression of Muslims follow a distinct pattern aimed at the military, economic and psychological defeat of every Muslim country in order that the often heard phrase of Fundamentalism does not spread. The West feels threatened because Algerians seem to have set another domino theory in motion. How can a country as westernised as Algeria, a country which has come to internalise the French culture could re-embrace Islam so wholeheartedly? Well, Algerie Francaise was never French in the first place. The heart, soul and blood of Algeria have always been Islamic. Homing pigeons always come home. The other countries of the region and in particular Egypt would soon witness a resurgence of the Islamic movement. This may occur in such a way that it changes the balance of power in the world.

The Soviet Union is not in favour of resurgent Islam because of the catalytic effect this may have on Muslims inhabiting the Soviet Union. In Moscow, some taxi drivers still refer to dark-skinned people as Tartar. Since medieval times Russian mothers have frightened naughty children with the threat that “the Tartars are coming”. For white Russians, Tartar is a common and derogatory name for all Muslims of whatever nationality. Just as Western attitudes towards Islam were conditioned by the Crusades, so Russian attitudes were tempered by 200 years of wars against the Mongol and other invaders from the East. Lenin himself described the Soviet East as backward, tribal, feudal, and “an entire historical period behind Russia”. He ordered the predominantly Russian communist party to liberate the East. Those attitudes of superiority, paternalism and even racism are more marked today.
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MURDER OF PILGRIMS IN HOLY MAKKA

During the past consecutive Hajj (pilgrimage), the House of Al Saud, as distinct and different from the inhabitants of the Hijaz and Najd, through its media machine, helped by its American masters are trying to portray certain pilgrims as the instigator of violence in the Haram and even to the pathetic extent of accusing some pilgrims of planning to remove the black stone in the Ka’aba and take it to their respective countries, so that Hajj would be performed there! This is just one example of Saudi regime’s hysterical and jittery propaganda against the guests of Allah Soobhanahoo wa Taalah.

From the ample evidence now available to all of us in Britain, it is clear that the killing of pilgrims have always been pre-planned by Saudi intelligence, at all times. There is no doubt that there is a conscious and deliberate move on their part to butcher many more Muslims this year.

So, Brothers beware! The Al Saud are bracing themselves to commit the most heinous crimes by violently attacking and shooting innocent pilgrims, at prayers.

JANNATI KAUN?
FAHD APES RUSHDIE

SAUDI RULER'S VILE AL-MUAISSEM BLASPHEMY

In a desperate attempt to save his skin, the man directly responsible for the al-Muaissem tunnel massacre of 1,426 devout, God-fearing Muslims, many of them so poor that they had to sell their prized possessions, including in some cases their land to make the pilgrimage to Makka, Fahd, the so-called ‘King’ of Saudi Arabia has resorted to Salman Rushdie-style blasphemy.

The greedy, womanising, whiskey-guzzling gambler and the best-hated tyrant in the Muslim world has categorised the needless, cruel and heartless slaughter of the men, women and children from Indonesia, Malaya, Pakistan, Turkey, some other poor nations who so horribly suffocated to death as ‘the will of God.

Not satisfied with having defiled the holy cities of Makka and Madina by claiming that the Fahd family are the ‘keepers of the Holy Places’, the infidel Fahd is now saying in effect that Allah was responsible for the slaughter of the innocent. Allah, the compassionate, the merciful is being blamed by Fahd. This has rightly aroused anger even in the police-state of Saudi Arabia, and among the overwhelming majority of the devout and the faithful in the Umma.

On this occasion the Iranians spoke for the Muslim world when they said that the massacre showed that the Saudi Royal family is unfit to administer Islam’s holiest places.

Shortly after the massacre, Iranian President Ali Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani said: ‘Those in charge of holy shrines must answer to the Islamic world and the Islamic world must think up a fundamental solution for running the holy shrines.’

ODIOUS DYNASTY

But Fahd is not prepared to give way, even though he recognises that time is running out for his odious dynasty. He has so far managed to escape the hangman’s rope for numberless horrendous crimes against the Saudi
'PRINCE KNIFE' GOT MORE BLOOD ON HIS HAND THAN DRACULA
The spectacularly incompetent and irresponsible 'Prince Knife' alias Naif, the head of the supreme information committee in 'Saudi Arabia' and the head of the security committee, has once again been adjudged, a criminal by the Muslim world - and not for the first time. Before the Al Muaissem massacre claiming 1,426 lives which he directly perpetrated, he was responsible for the killing in 1987 of over 400 Muslims. These noble sons and daughters of Islam died because of a grave security lapse on the part of Prince Naif. In any civilised country where accountability is the rule, Knife would have been convicted of heinous crimes against humanity. But 'Saudi Arabia' as we all know is a gangster state ruled by hoodlums and thugs. If there were a free election, the Sudairi Seven will leave like the Shah of Iran. 'Prince Naif' is as smug and as ignorant as ever and is still in charge of the interior ministry. Does 'Knife' think that his brand of security does not go beyond caning devout Muslims around the Holy places?

Surely among the 37 surviving sons of King Abdul Aziz Ibn Saud, there must be at least one who could run the interior ministry better than this flea-brained idiot. What are his qualifications for the job? .. Is the real reason why Naif is in the job is because he is a blood relation of that other nincompoop Fahd? When you get two cretins of this calibre disaster inevitably results, and will continue to result as long as the Sudairi Seven villains continue to usurp power. During last years pilgrimage bombs went off in the heavily populated areas of Makka. Although Knife's security relies heavily on American hoodlums and the most advanced electronic technology, the bombers were able to smuggle the bombs in and picked up their targets with impunity. The real bombers were never discovered. But Fahd and Knife decided on a monumental cover-up. They rounded up 16 innocent Kuwaiti Muslims and after a farce of a trial conducted in the utmost secrecy, they butchered the framed men. That is the lynch law which gives Knife and the other psychopath, Fahd, so much joy. Naif remains high on the wanted list of infamous criminals in the Muslim world, and the question is how long will he be able to escape the wrath of the devout Muslims for spilling so much Muslim blood.
nation, against the Holy Places and against the Umma, but even some of his friends in the West say that he has dug his own grave by the shortsighted, miserly and incompetent policies which led to the al-Muaissem tunnel massacre, and also to his pathetic attempts to shift the blame for this monstrous crime to the Almighty.

An unrepentant Saud never apologised for the massacre, never expressed any sympathy for the relatives of the victims, nor did he promise compensation for the dependents of the victims, and most callous of all, refused to appoint a commission of inquiry or to allow independent observers to examine the scene of the institutional slaughter.

BLAME

While the dead were still being counted, Fahd, no doubt having by this time steadied his nerves with a bottle of Royal Salute whisky, blurted out: 'No one can blame this country for this accident because its authorities and people provided all facilities to the pilgrims. This was an accident and was not intentional and I believe that any Muslim would share with this country in its sorrow.'

Fahd was like a frightened schoolboy whistling in the dark. So far not a single Muslim country – not even the poorest – has shared Saudi Arabia’s sorrow. On the contrary there is anger and contempt everywhere for the Fahd family, with expressions of sorrow reserved solely for the victims of the massacre and their families.

But the words that will haunt a world crying out for justice are these from the malodorous mouth of one of the vilest butchers of the 20th century. Adding insult to injury, Fahd told newspaper reporters:

'It was God’s will. It was fate. Had they not died there they would have died elsewhere at the same predestined moment.'

Bad enough the tyrant is something of a composer of doggerels proclaiming his infatuation for another tyrant, Bush, but now he is stealing the clothes and ideas of the witch doctor.

The victims’ families would no doubt be delighted to hear that if their beloved ones had not died in Saudi Arabia ‘they would have died elsewhere at the same pre-destined moment. The Fahds have had their own well deserved moments of sadness, including one murder which resulted in greater sympathy for the murderer than the victim.

The Saudi Ministry of Propaganda, as expert as Stalin’s own fiction-fabricating department, would have us believe that ‘King Feisal died on
March 25, 1975.

CRIMINAL

He was in fact murdered by a princely gunmen who had his head chopped off for being so naughty. No reference here, of course, to the ‘will of God’ though the ordinary, oppressed people of Saudi Arabia may have taken such a view. And having been rid thus of a good member of the Saud family they probably prayed for ‘God’s will’ to strike down the remaining criminals in the clan.

Saudi Arabia is a land covered in the blood of martyrs and the innocent. If they were not electrocuted, beheaded, tortured to death, or burned alive, all for the ‘security’ needs and convenience of the Fahd family, they were simply decimated by ‘riots’ and ‘accidents’ during their visit to the Holy shrines.

In the first armed uprising against the hated house of Fahd in 1979, well armed freedom fighters took over the Great Mosque at Makka. The cowardly Fahd had nightmares of being led to the infamous execution square to have his head chopped off before a wildly cheering Saudi populace. The monster acted quickly. Realising that he could not trust his own army he paid hundreds of millions to the animals of the savage French army to put down the revolution.

The French, who had had ample experience of slaughtering defenceless Muslim men, women and children during the Algerian war of liberation, were expert at their job. They allowed non-Muslims to enter Makka.

With Fahd’s enthusiastic approval the commandos flooded the basement of the mosque, killing the revolutionaries by placing high voltage cables in the water. According to one witness the dead ‘floated like kippers.’ The survivors, 63 of them, were taken to the square where their heads were separated from their bodies by the not always sharp blades of the executioners’ swords.

The clumsy killers found it necessary in many instances for three or four strikes to separate the victims’ heads from their bodies. Like the killing of the commoner who had the temerity to love a princess this was a messy business.

When 400 people were murdered in the Makka riots of 1987, Fahd put the blame on Iranian pilgrims. The Western press dutifully relayed this message to the world, portraying the Iranians as trouble makers and agitators bent on destabilising the Saudi state.

The al-Muaissem tunnel massacre was blamed not on the poor planning, inefficiency and incompetence of the administrators of the Holy places
who are directly answerable to Fahd, but on the pilgrims themselves. The fatuous Fahd said the pilgrims were the culprits, insinuating that they were both ignorant and stupid. They were accused by Fahd of disobeying ‘traffic regulations.’

Liars of the Fahd variety, cunning though they may be, only succeed in making complete fools of themselves. Apparently Fahd sees no contradiction between his claim that the massacre was the ‘will of God’, and that it was brought upon by the pilgrims themselves.

Fahd’s ‘will of God’ blasphemously implies that God has a malevolent and vindictive streak, punishing the poor and the devout, while protecting the rich and the nefarious. God is compassionate and merciful, not what Fahd thinks He is.

The simple truth is that the Al Fahds have nothing but contempt for the pilgrims who enrich the family’s treasury by billions of $ annually. They see them only as ‘goats’ prepared to put up with filthy and insanitary conditions in intense discomfort for the realisation of the dream of a lifetime.

Both Makka and Madina can only cater for limited numbers, they just do not have the facilities, despite the hundreds of billions of dollar-profits made out of pilgrims, to ensure safety, comfort, and acceptable standards of hygiene and accommodation.

The heat and stench are said to be so unbearable that they contribute to the deaths of hundreds of pilgrims annually. Medical facilities are either non-existent or so appalling and expensive that the pilgrims have no faith in them whatsoever.

The resources are there, but the Fahd family in its quest for maximum profits, is simply not prepared to invest in safety, hygiene or comfort.
AL MUAISSEM TUNNEL OF DEATH: Power failure with no back up system was an excuse for a deliberate act of murder of the innocent pilgrims by Fahd & Co. Such power failures are rare in the luxurious air conditioned Riyadh palaces. Temperature soared above 44 deg C (112 deg F) in the tunnel setting off a stampede and suffocation of over 1,426 devout pilgrims. Eye witnesses said that the 1,500 ft. tunnel which can hold up to 1000 pedestrians became clogged with up to 5000 people. Muslims are deeply concerned about security system. Saudi security, it seems does not go beyond hitting pilgrims with long sticks around the holy places as if they were children. The tunnel is supposed to be part of a £10 billion development plan designed to enable the interior Ministry Naif and his parasites to get fat commissions and kickbacks from illicit contracts. Muslims are saying that those in charge of the Holy shrines must answer to the Islamic world and the Islamic world must think up fundamental solution for running the holy shrines. Muslims have been saying for years that the control of the Holy sites at Makka and Madina be taken from the Al Fahds who have indulged in theft, horse racing, gambling, sexual perversion, illicit drugs, murder, rape, drunkenness, torture, exploitation, parasitism, and now pose as the guardians and custodians of the Holy places. The Holy places should be put in the hands of an international committee of Muslim personalities to guard against the heinous crimes committed on innocent and defenceless pilgrims year after year. Generous compensations must now be paid to the relatives of the victims, following an independent Muslim commission of inquiry.
H.E. SHEIKH ABDUL AZIZ AL TUWAIJRI: WHICH SIDE IS HE ON?

Sheikh Abdul Aziz Al Tuwaijri is a long serving adviser to the H.R.H. Crown Abdullah Bin Abdul Aziz and has been influential in Saudi politics since the creation of contemporary Saudi Arabia. His services to the House of Al Saud dates back to the time of its founding father, King Abdul Aziz Ibn Saud. His observations and views offer a valuable insight into the contemporary politics of ‘Saudi’ Arabia.

Sheikh Abdul Al Tuwaijri, a brilliant political analyst and writer, and an author of influence with a wide national and international following is also a devout Muslim, and a man of impeccable integrity. Although in possession of some explosive information, he has hitherto discreetly kept his thoughts to himself.

DEMOCRACY

That he decided to break his famed silence on a visit to London at a critical period in relations between the Sudairi Seven and the Saud branch of the family, and the growing chorus inside the country for a constitutional democracy and an end to the medieval kingship, would suggest that the Sheikh is convinced that the anti-Fahd forces are stronger and more impatient than we have been led to believe.

Although the Sheikh has not said so expressly, there is a clear implication that the revolutionary upheavals in Eastern Europe and the overthrow of oligarchies and autocracies show that not even the most powerful dictatorships can escape the final day of reckoning, not even the Saudi police state.

REVULSION

In remarkably frank conversations with the academic, Sheikh Abdul Aziz Al Tuwaijri told him of his intense dislike, bordering on revulsion, for the present Saudi Arabian leadership.

Responding to the critics of the Fahd regime, the Sheikh said:

'Everything that is good in Saudi Arabia can be attributed to King Abdul Aziz Ibn Saud, and everything evil to his sons.
SHEIKH ABDUL AZIZ AL TUWAIJRI:
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Muslim Chronicle, highly regarded by ‘Saudi’ dissident circles in London and even in Saudi Arabia itself, was given access to a record of these discussions. We regard it as a privilege and an honour to be the first Muslim newspaper to be entrusted with such sensitive information.

Because of the serious consequences that may flow as a result of the revelation of these facts to several individuals, we have withheld the names of those people who have collaborated with us in the preparation of this report.

The Sheikh spoke in detail of a conspiracy against the Crown Prince. The conspiracy theory is not new. It has been the subject of reports, speculation and comment since the mid 80’s in practically every major and minor Arab publication in London, some of whose editors are closely associated with the Sheikh.

USURPERS

THE RULING CLASS of Saudi Arabia is made up of two families. The first with the monopoly of power are the Sudari Seven, or the house of Al Fahd. They are in effective control of the country. Where real power is concerned, that is control of the administrative machinery, the armed forces and the all-powerful security apparatus, the Al Fahds are the usurper-masters.

The Al Fahds are the offspring of King Abdul Aziz and his spouse, Hossa bint Ahmad al-Sudairi, the favourite and formidable wife of Abdul Aziz.

The 'poor' relations, reduced to virtual impotence and given relatively minor ceremonial posts without power, are the offspring of a relationship between Abdul Aziz and another wife. They are thus half-brothers of the Sudairi Seven.

It is from this branch of the family that the Crown Prince, Abdullah, born in 1923, is descended. The Crown Prince's mother was a member of the honourable and dignified Shamar tribe.

HONOURABLE SHAMARS

This was a political marriage designed to unite the principal tribes of the country. The Shamars were a powerful and honourable tribe and would have been angry over any attempt to exclude them from the seat of power. That would have encouraged separatism and division, the last thing the astute King Abdul Aziz wanted.

The aim presumably was to allow the kingly succession to pass to
THE SHEIKH: A devout Muslim and a scholar of impeccable integrity, who has at long last broken his silence, revealing that HRH Crown Prince Abdullah is safe as long as he is in effective control of the National Guard.
The two most powerful men in 'Saudia Arabia', Fahd and HRH Crown Prince Abdullah. The Crown Prince has a clear conscience for his is not plotting against anybody. The same cannot be said for that master of conspiracy and intrigue, Fahd, whose sole aim in life is to make sure that HRH Crown Prince Abdullah and his family do not succeed to the throne. Fahd wants the throne to be the sole possession of the Sudairi seven and is probably calling on the Almighty to help him achieve this.
members of both houses. But this is not what Fahd had in mind. He wants the line of succession restricted to his own full-blooded brothers with no room at the top for the half brothers.

This is the bone of contention between the two families with bitter accusations of a Fahd-directed conspiracy to undermine the credibility of Crown Prince Abdullah, a very influential and much loved and much respected figure in Saudi Arabia. The Crown Prince was once Second Deputy Prime Minister, which made him very close to the throne.

NATIONAL GUARD

According to Sheikh Abdul Aziz Al-Tuwaijri, if it were not for the National Guard, Prince Abdullah would not have ‘a hope in hell’ of remaining Crown Prince. There are, adds Sheikh Al Tuwaijri, many older, more experienced and capable members of the Saudi household outside the Al Fahd clan who can occupy some of the top posts, now in the exclusive possession of the Sudairi Seven and their own offspring.

The National Guard which is loyal to the Crown Prince also has many outstanding men, but because of their association with the Crown Prince, are also excluded from the centres of power. The sheikh cited some of the many examples of Fahd nepotism. The Governor of Riyadh is the lazy, incompetent Prince Salman. Another Fahd, Nafi, despite his inefficiency and bungling is still in charge of the sensitive ministry of the interior. Abdul Rahman whose name has come to be synonymous with ignorance and imbecility occupies the No 2 position in the ministry of defence. The sons of Fahd, Salman and Sultan, the third generation are also having more their share of fun and games in several major seats of power. Feisal Bin Fahd, the minister of youth, Muhammad Bin Fahd, the Governor of the Eastern province, Fahd Bin Salman the deputy Governor of the Eastern province and Fahd Bin Sultan the Governor of Tabouk are not even fit to supervise the cleaning of the lavatories at Riyadh airport, let alone understand the responsibilities of a governorship.

CLOWNS

Also prominent in this bunch of clowns parading as serious student of domestic and international politics is Reagan’s former shoe-shine boy, Prince Bandar Bin Sultan Bin Abdul Aziz, so-called Saudi Ambassador to Washington. He was deeply implicated in the Iran-Contraagate scandal and his other claim to fame is his voracious appetite for mountains of
H.E. SHEIKH ABDUL AZIZ AL TUWAIJRI:
WHICH SIDE IS HE ON?

Non-halal Macdonald hamburgers and gallons of Macdonald milkshakes. Needless to say the blunders of this buffoon only succeeded in alienating and antagonising Saud Al Feisal, erstwhile foreign minister.

The Sheikh's friends point out that none of the members of the third generation of Saudi Royal family has been anywhere as lucky as the Al Fahd clan and conclude that the next five or ten years will see the complete eradication of whatever little influence they still enjoy. Not even Stalin they say has been as ruthless as Fahd in eliminating those who threaten his and his brothers' power base.

IMPOTENCE

They predict that the Saudi Royal family will be completely marginalised, perhaps even destroyed, and that full and complete power will at long last have fallen into Fahd hands. In such a situation, they say, even the Crown Prince will be reduced to impotence.

Even if he miraculously assumed greater power, as was visualised by his appointment as Second Deputy Prime Minister, Sheikh Al Tuwajri believes, he will never be able to challenge the omnipotence of the Sudairi Seven. The Sheikh claims that without the National Guard, HRH Crown Prince Abdullah would not have a 'hope in hell' to maintain any power. Many see the Abdullah era as one of consensus politics in Saudi Arabia with the Fahds calling the tune. They will run the government, as indeed they are now doing, and direct foreign and domestic politics. In other words the Fahds would have staged a coup without firing a single shot. That of course will mean the total rout and humiliation of the half-brother.

INSTRUCTION

The amazing thing about all this: why did Sheikh Abdul Aziz Al Tuwajri choose this particular moment to express his fears? The question remains - is he expressing his personal thoughts?

Or was he instructed by his boss, HRH Crown Prince Abdullah. Do these revelations point to some sort of power struggle and friction within the Saudi household? More about all this in the future issues.
FAHD AND HIS ILK MUST GO

The ink had barely dried on the Muslim Chronicle editorial of July 6, when our teleprinters conveyed to us news of the massacre of over 1,426 (official figure) Muslim pilgrims to the divine and revered shrines of Islam, now misappropriated by a small, unrepresentative, thieving and parasitical tribe controlled by the scum of the Muslim earth, the Fahds.

The crooked and criminal Fahds had once again succeeded in engineering their custom-ary yearly butchery. As the only newspaper to challenge the legitimacy of the Fahd claim to be the custodians of the Holy Places of Islam, despite threats and bribes to cease attacking the House of Fahd, we stand by our charge that the House of Fahd was directly, consciously and treacherously responsible for the cruel, heartless and cynical massacre of Allah’s most pious and devoted sons and daughters.

The Fahds are not happy with the devotion of the pilgrims, nor with the growth of Islamic political consciousness of which such devotion is a manifestation, because of a fear - fully justified in the case of the Fahd tyranny - that the new Islamic political trend is towards the overthrow of oligarchies of the kind they represent and of Mafia-style politics which they personify with such Sicilian brilliance. It is worth remembering that the Sicilians, who are the masterminds behind the Families of the Mafia are of Mediterranean origin, probably descended from the Sudairi Seven clan of old. The Sudairi Seven are doing what comes naturally. The al-Mussacim massacre is no mere aberration. Let us recall the remarkable prescience of our editorial of July 6, a few days before the massacre:

"From the ample evidence now available to us in Britain, that the killing of pilgrims has always been preplanned by Saudi intelligence at all times. There is no doubt that there is a conscious and deliberate move on their part to butcher many more Muslims this year. So Brothers beware! The Al-Sauds are bracing themselves to commit the most heinous crimes...."

We do not congratulate ourselves on prophesying the ghastly events in the al Musseiam tunnel. Our only regret is that we did not carry this analysis earlier, giving some pilgrims enough time to rethink the wisdom of their journey to the slaughter.

But this this devotion to Allah, regardless of personal danger and accompanied as it is, by fervent prayers for real democracy and the overthrow of oligarchic tyrannies such as the Fahds so criminally represent, is anathema to the monsters who are presently ruining the country and ruining the Holy Shrines by their indecent haste to turn Mecca and Medina..."
into Godless concrete jungles like New York and San Francisco. This is the painful fact of the closing stages of the 20th Century as far as the Fahds are concerned. Having seized complete power from their half brothers represented by the Crown Prince Abdullah, the Fahds hoped for a long and troubled free reign over the Islamic world and its Eldorado riches.

Now however the victims of Fahd fraud, duplicity and genocide are fighting back. Their aim is not just the complete elimination of Muslim-Mafia hegemony over the Muslim world, but the restoration of pure Islamic democracy to the Land of the Holy Shrines.

Islamic justice demands as a first step that the Sudairi Seven relinquish their hold on the Holy Shrines. They are the self-appointed guardians but the overwhelming majority of Muslims the world over believe that they are unworthy of this supreme Islamic honour. Fahds must go and quickly too.

JANNATI KAUN?
FAHD AND GEORGE BIN ABDUL AZIZ AL BUSHT
TURN ‘SAUDI ARABIA’ INTO A PIG STY

Since the Prophet’s time the entry of pigs into Islam’s Holy Land (Saudi Arabia) has been forbidden by law, but on August 19, 1990, American television broadcast pictures of bacon being prepared for American soldiers on our sacred soil.

A famous French writer, in a trenchant metaphor directed at the crudity of the philistines, once asked: ‘Is there no law against dogs trespassing in cemeteries?

Millions of devout Muslims Brothers throughout the world are asking the same question about the massive military presence in the land of the Holiest of Holy Shrines. For them it is the incarnation of filth of the most odious and disgusting kind.

The unclean infidels, the pig-eaters, the drinkers of wine and whiskey, the carriers of aids, and the sellers and consumers of drugs (every three out of 10 persons in the U.S. army is a drug addict) bossed by that White Anglo Saxon protestant rough-neck and murderer, George Bush, are defiling the sacred soil of Makka and Madina with the blessing, support and encouragement of the traitor Fahd who called the kafsirs in to save his whiskey-bloated carcass.

Our American correspondent cables that on August 19 and 20 Cable Network News of Atlanta gleefully broadcast film showing Americans being served large slices of bacon from sizzling frying pans.

The hidden message was clear, that the Americans have nothing but contempt for the Islamic faith, and the fact that they could actually take their foul-smelling pigs into the land of the Prophet showed who was the new master of Saudi Arabia.

The pig is an unclean animal

Ever since the days of the Prophet when it was declared that the pig is an unclean animal, the law prohibited the keeping of pigs and the entry of pigs from other countries. There are severe penalties for violation of this law, but sources in Saudi Arabia say that Fahd for fear of offending his American ‘protectors,’ has turned down requests from several Imams from Makka and Madina, that Americans be banned from bringing pigs or pig meat into the country.
FAHD AND GEORGE BIN ABDUL AZIZ AL BUSHT 
TURN 'SAUDI ARABIA' INTO A PIG STY

Look Sucker! 
My thugs can't fight without pork, so you and your Mullahs can get stuffed!

The New Khadim-al-Harameeah lays down the Law
Fahd is now powerless as the Americans strengthen their grip on the country, and call the military, political and economic tune.

They have even ordered Fahd to defy Arab public opinion by increasing oil production to make up for the loss of the territory that is now the nineteenth province of Iraq, according to the Iraqi news agency.

It is estimated that there are now over 100,000 of these kafir 'protectors' of Fahd, his family and the other hangers-on, in close proximity to the Holy Shrines. Never in the history of our faith have we suffered such humiliation; never before have such unclean, swine-loving caricatures of human beings been allowed the freedom to do as they please on the most sacred of all sacred soils. But for this privilege we must thank the Fahds whom the Muslim world holds personally responsible for defiling Makka and Madina.

The most barbaric and brutal nation on earth

The U.S. also has four aircraft carrier battle groups with more than 300 hundred planes on board in the sea lanes of the Gulf. More than 200 American combat aircraft are in Saudi Arabia. These include 96 F-15 fighters, 70 A-10 'tank-killer' attack planes and five AWACS radar planes. Turkey, another treacherous Muslim country dependent on the charity of Saudi Arabia and Uncle Pork-Bellied Sam, has on its soil another 14 F-111 fighter bombers.

Most revealing of all for the most barbaric and brutal nation on earth, which claims to be highly civilized, are the 50 B-52 bombers on the Indian Ocean island of Diego Garcia. This was once the home of a thriving and prosperous community whose only misfortune was that they had black skins and a very strategic position in the Cold War. The brutes of American imperialism simply threw the entire Diego Garcia community out of their own country by force and turned their once-gentle and poetic land into yet another deadly American base with a huge facility for nuclear, chemical, biological and bacteriological weapons.

The people of Diego Garcia were expelled to nearby Mauritius without any compensation, there to become wretched slum dwellers. They were made permanent refugees, in the most outrageous violation of international law we have seen in recent times, by the very country which today poses as the champion of 'civilised international behaviour.'

The white world foams with synthetic indignation over Kuwait which is historically part of the territory of Iraq, but who has ever bothered to lift a finger for the people of Diego Garcia, defenceless victims of one of the most diabolical and cowardly crimes in history.
Will The Americans and the Zionists desecrate The Holy Kaaba the way they have desecrated Al Quds by the blessings of the House of Al Saud? Khadimal Haramein, the guardian of the two Holy places did not lift a finger, lest he offends his American and Zionist masters, when 21 children of the Intifada were massacred defending the third Holiest shrine of Islam.
No one, least of all the present so-called upholders of international law, sent out an invading force to uphold the independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity of Diego Garcia.

Panama, Grenada and Colombia are some recent examples of the most blatant violation of international law. Afghanistan is a classic case of American destabilisation, with millions dead and hundreds of thousands incurably maimed. American weapons and support for the traitors in Angola and Mozambique under the supervision of white, racist South Africa, Thatcher's closest friend, also speaks volumes for the violent disrespect of the United States for international law.

The economies of Angola and Mozambique have been wrecked beyond repair by the American-apartheid Axis in collaboration with the Uncle Toms of the region. Famine haunts these lands, hundreds of thousands of men, women and children have been murdered. South Africa was in illegal occupation of Namibia for over 70 years, yet no attempt was made to impose sanctions, let alone sanctions with teeth, against the racist tyrants.

Diego Garcia: victim of Yankee lawlessness

But let us return to the insane destructive powers of the United States. From the island of Diego Garcia - you may well ask where all the flowers of this once beautiful and tranquil island have gone - the United States can 'carpet bomb' civilian centres in Iraq, as indeed it intends to do.

Bush says he needs a short, sharp, effective war to destroy the Iraqi nation. Fahd, the al-Sabah family, and some of their black and brown stooges, bribed, bullied and blackmailed into support for this dangerous adventure, also want the patriotic Iraqi regime overthrown.

As we write scores of peaceful Syrian demonstrators on behalf of President Saddam Hussein have been mown down by the army of Assad in Damascus. On the one hand we have the Pakistani army running to curry favour with their Saudi and American paymasters, while at the same time many thousands of Pakistani Muslim brother-patriots have declared their intention to fight side by side with Iraqi troops to throw out the hated and repulsive American Occupation. The same is the story in Bangladesh which has also been bullied into sending its troops to support the racist west.
FAHD AND GEORGE BIN ABDUL AZIZ AL BUSHT
TURN 'SAUDI ARABIA' INTO A PIG STY

Sharif Hussein the most responsible
statesman in the region

All over the Muslim and Arab world, and this is what most terrifies
the Americans and the West, among whom clear divisions are emerging
about the wisdom of a damaging, counter productive attack on Iraq, the
call is going out to the faithful to rid the entire region of the polluting
western racist presence. This feeling is best personified outside the mos-
quases of Jordan, where Sharif Hussein one of few living descendants of
the Prophet, and presently the most responsible and honourable statesman
in the region, is getting massive backing for his proposals to defuse the
crisis and to return to the negotiation table without any foreigners.

Outside one of the many mosques an old man, weak with age but strong
in conviction, says, 'If you want the Americans in Iraq to be free then
you must leave Arab lands. And if you want us to be hungry then the
Americans will be hungry too.'

There is the most passionate indignation against the Salabiyyun, the
so-called crusaders with their nuclear weapons and poison gases, especially
orange agent that turned Vietnam into a wasteland. They are disgusted,
too, by Saud Arabian surrender to the military diktat of Uncle Porky Sam.
There is undisguised contempt too for the other American agents, par-
ticularly that worthless successor to the executed traitor, Anwar Sadat.

An Imam proudly declares in Amman: 'Iraq and its heroic army have
removed the border with Kuwait. Now the Arab nation is one nation, from
the Atlantic to the Gulf. This is not the battle of Saddam Hussein but
the battle of Islam for the goals of Islam. This is the battle of Muslim
children everywhere.
Arabs and Muslims who support the barbaric hordes of the West are
traitors to God and His Prophet.'

Also taking pride of place among the many banners warning America
to lay off was one which read: 'Americans, remember, True Muslim
Arabs only submit to Allah.'

Let there be no illusions about this - this is not a war between the na-
tions of the world and Iraq. It is a racist, imperialist war, and no amount
of white-wash like the reference to 'industrialised nations' can disguise
the ugly reality of a white racist conspiracy to bring down one of the most
courageous nations on earth, Iraq, which is the symbol of a much wider
struggle, that of the downtrodden peoples of the earth to claim what is
justly their own, to use their wealth for the benefit of their people,
and above all never again to give any recognition to the law of the jungle, in which only the red-toothed and yellow-clawed tigers call the shots.

The deception, the duplicity and the lies

Arab and Muslim peoples all over the world, even in those countries which are doing the dirty work for white western imperialism, have seen through the deception, the lies and the duplicity of the Saudis and their American and other white gendarmes. They will triumph, for right with some might, is on our side.

In the hour of victory we must punish without mercy all Arab and Muslim accomplices in the Western crimes against the Arab peoples, particularly the desecration of Makka and Madina. If we can lay our hands on them, we must also bring to justice the chief white orchestrators of the war that now threatens the very existence of the Arab world.

We have a wonderful precedent in the Nuremberg judgment, but we may well make history by becoming the first Muslim peoples to punish the criminals of Europe and America.

---

**JANNATI KAUN?**

**HUSSEIN HOLDS AMERICAN MILITARY MIGHT IN CONTEMPT**

---

*Saddam Hussein: Arab Leader of note to declare that Palestine must be liberated by force. He wants to put a stop to American hypocrisy and duplicity in the area.*
FAHD'S GONNA GO

Our last editorial was headlined FAHD MUST GO. This was well before the tyrant was instructed by the Americans to make room for his soldier-hoodlums. Bush is the new, undisputed master of Khadim al Haramain, and he may well be the last despot there if he ever launches a sneaky attack on Iraq.

That very sober and well informed reporter on the Middle East, Robert Fisk, probably the only English journalist who does not engage in wishful thinking, nor allows himself to be used as a propaganda tool by the British Disinformation Services, has given an accurate description of Bush's Viceroy in Haramain (Makka and Madina).

He is William Lynch of William Lynch Marketing Consultants U.S.A. In his despatch from Riyadh, carried by the British Independent newspaper on August 29, the admirable Mr. Fisk jocularly reports a farcical press conference given by His Royal Highness Lieutenant General Prince Khaled bin Sultan Abdul Aziz, the so-called new commander of all the Arab and Muslim armies in 'Saudi Arabia.'

Fisk says that this fiction was unwittingly exposed by the man standing next to him, or more correctly towering over the 'commander,' William Lynch of William Lynch Marketing Consultants, USA.

Lynch, describing himself as an old friend of Abdul Aziz, introduced the warrior prince who probably cannot tell the difference between a condom and a Kalashnikov, to the press conference. It was Mr. Lynch, according to Fisk, who 'perspiring and fidgeting nervously whenever the prince was asked a difficult question employed a dumpy New Yorker to take photogra-phs of all the journalists in the room.' Clearly Mr. Lynch who comes from one of the most powerful police states in the world felt very much at home in the best of the Arab police states.

Abdul Aziz, whose only contact with the military was with toy soldiers and toy tanks in his boyhood nursery, insisted with as much conviction as he could muster, that he is the boss. Fisk's sardonic irony is well directed: 'Saudi generals should not have sweating American civilian advisers in their press conferences. Certainly not when the general in question is insisting that he - and not the American military - is in total control of Saudi Arabia and other Muslim non-Arab armies here preparing to fight Iraq.'

That's another sure indicator that Fahd's days are numbered.
SAUDI SHEIKH'S £8.8 MILLION GAMBLING GIFT TO EUROPEAN CASINO

One man who was blissfully unconcerned about the lengthening shadows of war over the Middle East, was Sheikh Eynani of Saudi Arabia. This depraved idiot with the IQ of a mentally retarded gorilla, and the greed of a Shylock, threw away £8.8m on a recent gambling spree in Mount Casino, to the ecstatic, uncontrollable joy of its British owners. That was on August 15, 1990.

Four years ago, in 1985, this same cretin who is the adviser to Prince Faisal, the son of Fahd, lost £8m on the same gambling table. Faisal, it would seem, has an adviser befitting the wild profligacy and lunatic irresponsibility of the Fahd Dynasty. Faisal probably won't go that way, not because he is angelic, but simply because the wheels of history are turning so fast that he will not be Prince for much longer, nor will he able to afford, even if he were to flee into a Swiss exile, the services of Eynani.

It is not surprising to learn that Eynani's fortune, now rapidly dwindling because of his weakness for the casinos of Europe, is built on the oil that belongs to the Arab peoples. They say that he sleeps during the day and wakes up at night in time for the casinos. He is also very fond of his European inferiors, just like his Saudi masters, and tips them lavishly. On one occasion he doled out over £370,000 in tips to the croupiers of his favourite casino. What about the hungry Muslim babies in the Sudan and Ethiopia!

Sick dogs are generally shot to put them out of their misery, and to protect human beings from the deadly Rabies infection they carry. One does not have to wonder why Eynani has not been dealt with in this way. It is just that the Saudi Royal family loves sick dogs of this type for their own behaviour, after all, fits in nicely with the Rabies pattern. Shooting this insane species must be a top priority once the Yanks are driven out from the Holy Land of Islam.
EXPOSED: THE GAMBLERS OF ARABIA
AND THE FAGINS OF THE GULF

THE GAME'S UP FOR THE GAMBLERS OF THE GULF
AND THEIR WESTERN BENEFICIARIES

Devout Muslims have called for the thieving, rascally, traitorous, intolerably venal, avaricious white-loving scum of the Family Dynasties of the Middle East to be driven out and replaced by people's governments second to none in equity, integrity, honour, patriotism and excellence. The Dynasty debauchees, misers and monsters are temporarily in the protection of their white heavies, but they cannot for long escape the wrath of people's justice.

They have an infinitely long list of criminal charges to answer. Below we focus on just one aspect of these crimes which once again have served only to enrich the Zionist and British friends of the so-called sheikhs in the casinos and on the turd-covered Turfs of England and Ireland.

Not all Britons regard gambling as a 'sport.' The intelligent and the sensible among them, a small number admittedly, in a coarse, vulgar and uncivilised race, which according to the latest opinion polls has now shed its role as a nation of shopkeepers to become a nation of bloodthirsty assassins, takes a different view. The dissidents regard horse racing with its obnoxious class divisions as frivolous, dangerous, corrupt and devious, dishonest and crooked.

Like every aspect of life in the West, the huge gambling industry, be it the casino or the turf, is overwhelmingly dependent on Arab money stolen from the Arab peoples by the thieving sheikhs. This money either comes out of the people's oil wealth, or from the colossal revenue extorted from the largely poor and devout Muslims who visit the Holy shrines of Makkah and Madina every year.

Note that while Muslim children in Bangladesh and the Sudan are dying of starvation, Palestinian children are being butchered by Zionist storm-troopers, and hundreds of thousands of Palestinian families are condemned to a wretched existence in refugee camps, very little from the colossal wealth that is now the personal property of the 'Royal' families of the region goes towards famine relief or support for the Palestinian cause.

Yet many billions of pounds, the property of the Ummah, have been swallowed up by the casinos and prostitutes of Europe and Las Vegas, and even more by the Turfs and stud farms of Europe.

Now there is a state of mourning among the White Anglo Saxon Protestants and some of their Catholic brethren in the huge horse-racing in-
**SHEIKH MUHAMMAD:**
Defence Minister of Dubai but is more obsessed with horses and dung-filled stables than the security of his country. He is better at defending European depravity.

**HAMDAN AL MAKTOUM:**
Minister of Finance but can't tell the difference between profit and loss pours millions into European and American racing but only gets a few quid back.

**AHMED AL MAKTOUM:**
Corrupted by his brothers, he seems to have a little more intelligence. Not keen on the turf but wants to please his white loving brothers.

**NANA AL MAKTOUM:**
Maktoum brothers are his cousins, and he seems to have inherited their stupid passion for expensive horses. Many calculating racist Europeans simply love them for their fouldous.
• **KHALID ABDULLAH:**
  Another moron with more money than brain. He is the cousin of King Kong Fahd. Now he is a very worried man because he may be forced to kiss the ‘sacred’ European turf farewell.

• **MAKTOUN AL MAKTOUN:**
  Crown Prince of Dubai-Is it any wonder that Dubal is in such a mess? This cretin's only joy in life is to rub shoulders with Royalty and British society.

• **FAHD SALMAN:**
  King Kong Fahd's nephew is handicapped by a postage-stamp size brain. Like his horses he is lead by the nose and as the English say a fool and his money is soon parted.

• **YAZID SAUD:**
  Another worshipper of the European Turf. Cultural attache at the Saudi Embassy. Ought to know better because he is an educated chap with an MA from Salford University.
dursty in this country. The reason? Saddam Hussein who has caused such panic, disarray and confusion in the western ranks, from the stock exchanges of the West and Japan, to insurance, banking and even the military establishment of the United States, has also dealt a blow to one of Europe’s largest industries, the Turf.

Jockeys, trainers, the high class prostitutes who rely solely for their support on the Arab horse owners and gamblers, and the mainly Zionist bookies are now very worried that the money from the Middle East may dry up. If that happens horse racing in this country will be reduced to small-time gambling with thousands, especially from the wont-work upper classes, forced on the dole. A fellow called Joss Collins, a director of the British Bloodstock Agency, one of the biggest of its kind in the world says, ‘Obviously there is great concern and a lot of talk of gloom and doom. The Arabs have been big buyers for some time.’

The truth is worse than Collins would have his people believe. Throughout the eighties the sheikhs have invested billions on horse purchases and the most generous salaries for jockeys, trainers, stud grooms and upper class white prostitutes, many of them so-called debutantes from the ‘best’ families of England, and who are a permanent feature of the gambling scene.

However some of the English aristocracy have bitterly resented the Arab dominance of the ‘sacred’ English turf. One Lord told the white press that the Arabs ‘have too much money and too many horses.’

One knowledgeable white journalist states that Arab owners who have built up matchless stables by purchases from France, Britain, Ireland and the United States have amassed ‘equine empires of astonishing quality and strength.’

One man who stands a great deal to lose if the Americans and the British are humiliated in the Gulf is Prince Khalid Abdullah, a member of the Al Saud family, blood-cousin of ‘king’ Fahd and one of the Mr.Bigs of British racing. The al-Sabah family of Kuwait have also put billions into horse-racing in this country, and they too are likely to suffer if their friends, Sheikh George Bin Abdul Aziz al Busht and Megalomania Thatcheritis, are chased out of the Middle East.

But the new kings of the most knavish racket in the world, which actually enjoys legal recognition despite its crookedness, fixing, and cruelty to animals, and the suffering it inflicts on the native working class, hundreds of whom annually commit suicide because they have gambled away their mortgages in a desperate effort to make a fortune in Thatcher’s casino-style Britain, are the Makhtoum Family from Dubai.

Recently, Sheikh Hamdan Al Maktoum, failed to turn up at a very im-
important racing event at York to present the prize to the winner. He had returned in sweaty panic to the Gulf. The fellow was forced to abandon the entire tenth floor with its inter-connecting suites in the Royal Garden Hotel in Kensington, London which he had hired for his celebrations to which he had invited leading members of British high society. Sadly for these scroungers who gather around rich Arabs like flies on dung, will be deprived of their champagne and caviar for some time to come, if not permanently. For the moment they will have to be content with beer and fish and chips wrapped in fading copies of the News of the World, or more to their liking, the well known porn-filled pages of that lovely newspaper, called The S...

One of the leading, light-fingered rogues whose theft now enriches the British turf is Prince Khalid, a cousin of ‘King’ Fahd, a very close friend of the Makhtoums and even more successful on the turf than they. This year he won both the French and English Derbies but had to pay a heavy price for the glory - it cost him £100m for training, jockeys, purchase of horses, champagne, and the occasional but ever-ready upper class prostitutes.

Khalid has 154 horses in England, 64 in France and 14 in the US and he very rarely employs a black jockey or groom. Like the other Saudi slaves he just loves having white people with blue eyes and blonde hair in his entourage of hangers-on, and he is prepared to pay obscenely large sums of money for this degrading privilege.

Another Saudi to follow in Khalid’s footsteps is Prince Fahd Salman, a nephew of ‘King’ Fahd. Diplomacy and the turf, it seems, go hand in hand, so much so that the so-called cultural attache at the Saudi embassy, Prince Yazid Saud, spends more time at Epsom, Goodwood and other courses than he does in his office.

But the boys who steal the show are the Makhtoums of Dubai, a country noted for its slavish imitation of the semi-naked Western life-style, and also for its worship of the white western world. In Britain alone they have 900 horses. The British always take suckers like these for a ride. Last year the Makhtoums who know very little about the game, and have to rely entirely on the advice of some of their European employees won £2.7m in prizes. If you think that’s big money, then what about this - to win that paltry sum they had to spend £16m in training fees. So who’s taking who for a ride?

Now you probably know why the British are so worried about Saddam Hussein’s very timely decision to drive out the thieves from Arabia. There are more than forty thieves and many more Ali Babas, by the blessings of Uncle Sam.
*BRITISH HORSE RACING:* dependant on misguided Arab generosity.
Even some British turf experts make no secret of their contempt for the lack of business acumen among the Makhtoums. The Makhtoums get their pictures into the papers regularly, they are praised on television, they are shown in conversation with members of the British Royal Family, and wily aristocrats flatter and pay court to them. But the ugly reality is that the Makhtoums and the some dirty Al Sauds have pumped over £1.5bn of the money of the Ummah, mainly from the oil wealth and revenues from Makka and Madina, into the purchase of horses without showing a single penny in profit. Sheikh Mohammad Makhtoum may well regret his notorious statement after he was asked why he paid £10.1m for a horse that never got to the races. It probably had a fifth leg missing, acquired in a state of drunkenness, but all the Sheikh could say, rather lamely of course, was ‘Who can say what a horse is worth?’ According to this perverted logic that horse is worth more than the children that are daily devoured by the Zionist Occupation of Palestine!

Not satisfied with his own involvement in the filthy, dung covered, vile smelling stables of England, the arm-pit of Dubai, Sheikh Mohammad Makhtoum, Defence Minister of Dubai (we hope this pea-brained scoundrel remains in that post when the Arab liberation armies come marching in!), also corrupted his brothers who are today as hooked as he is on gambling. Makhtoum al Makhtoum, his elder brother, is Crown Prince of the Emirate, and Hamdan whom even the ordinary peoples of the country call The Thief of Dubai is Minister of Finance for the UAE and chairman of the city of Dubai, whose streets are paved with gold from oil. Ahmad Al Maktoum is not an influential figure in Dubai, but he is still wealthy enough to throw a few hundred million away on black horses and their white trainers, jockeys, etc.

An expert on horses, Lindsay Vincent, has written of the Arab lovers of the ‘sport of kings’: ‘Their contribution to British racing, a multi-billion pound industry when betting is taken into account, has been all pervasive. They have regained some of the best bloodlines for Britain and the breeding community, lines that went to the US in the days of the almighty dollar....’

However, some non-Wasps are angry with the Arabs for muscling in on what they regard is their patch. For instance, Sporting Life owned by the Zionist, Robert Maxwell, has as one writer put it, attacked them savagely. The Makhtoums, believing that money can buy anything, set up a paper of their own staffed by an-all white team of journalists and administrators. The Makhtoums’ paper, believed to be a loss maker, is called the Racing Post.

The thick-skinned Makhtoums say that they will remain in British racing as long as they are welcome. No doubt the welcome now from the
THE GAMBLERS OF ARABIA AND THE FAGINS OF THE GULF

avaricious, unscrupulous and near-bankrupt British will be even greater. And it's going to be hard going as the going gets rough in the Gulf. John Biggs, head of the Racehorse Owners Association says, 'If the Arabs cut back or withdraw, there would be an immediate effect on the bloodstock sales figures. The best horses will always be bought by someone but there would be a knock-on effect; horses would fail to reach their reserve figures and the commercial breeders would find life even more difficult.'

Another important fellow, on the verge of tears, paid this tribute to the Arab lovers of the Turf, 'It will be dreadful news if the Arabs were obliged to withdraw. In the last ten years they have invested hugely.'

Dubai television also pays millions to the BBC and to Channel Four for beaming their racing programmes which are said to be having a corrupting effect on most of the population, who on their visits abroad spend vast sums on the casinos and horses, inspired by the anti-Islamic habits of the Makhtoums.

It looks ominous for the Sheikhs of the Turf. The charge sheet against them when the People's Tribunals, the Arab equivalent of the Nuremburg Trials, meet to mete out justice will be a formidable one. They will have no place to hide for the arms of revolutionary Arab muslim justice are so long they are able to reach out to over great distances, particularly in Europe and America, to ensure that those who have committed crimes against Arab muslim humanity, do not escape the executioner.

HOLY QURAN: 14:16

Say to the desert Arabs
Who lagged behind:
"Ye shall be summoned (to fight)
Against a people given to
Vehement war: then shall ye
Fight, or they shall submit.
Then if ye show obedience,
God will grant you
A goodly reward, but if
Ye turn back as ye
Did before, He will punish
You with a grievous Penalty."
FURTHER REVELATIONS OF SHEIKH AL TUWAIJRI

HRH CROWN PRINCE ABDULLAH CHALLENGES ‘KING’ FAHD

A row has erupted between ‘King’ Fahd of Saudi Arabia and HRH Crown Prince Abdullah over the presence of American troops in the Holy Land according to sources close to Sheikh Abdool Aziz Tuwaijri— an advisor and close friend of Prince Abdullah and deputy Leader of the Saudi National Guard.

There’s little love lost between Fahd and HRH Crown Prince Abdullah. Their stormy relationship is now developing into an intense mutual hatred. They have different mothers, and Fahd and his full brothers are conspiring to keep the Saudi throne strictly within the Sudairi family.

Now the antagonism is even sharper because of the apparent American determination to provoke a military confrontation with Iraq. Their declared objective was to deter an Iraqi advance into Saudi Arabia but it is now becoming clear that the Americans are looking for a pretext to attack Iraq.

The Crown Prince opposes this policy. He is of the view that Saudi Arabia is being used by the Americans and Israelis to destroy an Arab country considered a major threat to Israeli security. The Crown Prince has told Fahd to his face that he should not drag Saudi Arabia into what will really be an anti-Arab war. If HRH Prince Abdullah were in charge he would never have allowed the Americans into his country. He argues that Saudi Arabia has a non-aggression treaty with Iraq and there is no reason to believe that the Iraqi leadership harbours any aggressive intent towards Saudi Arabia.

On the evidence given to Fahd, the Americans have been seriously discredited in the light of their widely known plans to destroy Iraqi military power long before the re-integration of Kuwait. In this connection one must remember the American-supported Zionist attack on the Ossirak Reactor, and the April, 1990, campaign in the Western media based on
the fiction of the Big Bertha Iraqi gun and the 'nuclear trigger' rumpus sparked off by British, American and European customs under the orchestration of the C.I.A.

Clearly the Americans with cunning misinformation, disinformation and downright lies were conditioning their and European peoples for an attack on Iraq. All they needed for an excuse to start hostilities with Iraq was the chimera of an Iraqi invasion of Saudi Arabia. HRH Prince Abdullah, it is believed, seriously embarrassed Fahd when he drew attention to these damning facts.

Sources close to H.E Sheikh Abdul Aziz Al Tuwajiri say that the Crown Prince questioned Western strategy and motives in the context of the delicate balance of power in the region. His argument is that Iraq, regardless of recent events, is a bulwark against Iran and Israel, especially with regard to Saudi Arabia. Once you have destroyed Iraqi military power as the west is seeking to do, the area would be at the mercy of Israel or Iran. According to Sheikh Al Tuwajri, Iran has always disputed the legitimacy of the Saudi regime's guardianship of the holy places.

The American intervention is better understood if we take these factors into account, the Crown Prince argued.

Clearly the Americans do not want to leave the area now that they are firmly entrenched, hence they have to create the conditions to justify their presence. They can argue that in the post-Saddam era the ever present Iranian threat requires their permanent presence.

The Crown Prince therefore, despite, some of his reservations about the Saddam's regime considers it necessary to check Iranian or Israeli ambitions in the region. His position is that this is an internal Arab matter and should be resolved by Arabs.

This is the only way to avert the threat of an American-engineered holocaust.
BARBARIC BUSH MORONIC MUBARAK
FATUOUS FAHD IN CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY
TO MURDER IRAQI CHILDREN

MILK AND MEDICINE BANNED

The Muslim Chronicle is one of the few newspapers published in Europe to have consistently exposed the anti-Islamic crimes of the Al Saud and other feudal ruling families of the region. We have always said that these rotten, corrupt, and thoroughly dishonourable men were the enemies of the Ummah and traitors to our cause. The past fortnight has provided ample evidence of their deceit, their treachery and their shameless collaboration with the Zionist-imperialist enemies of the Arab and Muslim world. Even the servile Western press which has always sung the praises of these stooges of imperialist-racism, is rather naively exposing their profligacy, the brutality and meanness of their dictatorships, their moral and spiritual bankruptcy, their ill-treatment of the Palestinians, their exploitation of Filipinos, Pakistanis and Indians and their abject and craven subservience to their Western masters. Most surprising of all is that even in the heart of the infidel empire, some voices are being raised against the criminal alliance of Bush-Fahd-Gorbachov. One analyst was devastatingly accurate when he said of the hated and repulsive American military presence in Arabia and some neighbouring countries, that America was now trying to make the world safe for feudalism. And despite the boastful noises emanating from the sewers of Britain’s comic press about their boys going in, there is fear, unease and anxiety about the outcome of the war. They know that this time they are not tangling with the incompetent and inefficient ‘Argies’ but with one of the most powerful, highly experienced and extremely well equipped armies in the world.

Despite Thatcher’s hyena-like bellicosity, this woman knows full well that her irresponsible intervention in the internal affairs of the Arab world may yet prove to be the most costly and humiliating blunder in the history of Britain. Churchill presided, much to his distaste, over disintegration of Britain’s criminally acquired Empire, and Thatcher will probably have to leave Downing Street through the backdoor to escape the ire of a populace driven to distraction by a calamitous loss of human life.
As for the bullet-headed Bush, he too will probably be immortalised as the trigger-happy psychopath who led hundreds of thousands of young Americans to the new killing fields of Arabia.

What of the Fahds and the other feudal remnants of the dustbins of the Arab world? We predicted that their heads will roll in the blood-drenched sands of that notorious square where so many opponents of a crooked, immoral and treacherously anti-Islamic regime were slaughtered on the orders of the Al Saud family. We have no reason to change our mind.

The only true heroes of this pernicious saga will be the noble Iraqi people who are sacrificing so much, and will continue to make even greater sacrifices for the greater good and glory of Islam and the Arab world. It will be the foulest, the most ignoble and the most offensive crime if in this terrible hour we forsake Iraqi people, for Iraq’s burden is our burden. America has bullied and blackmailed most of the weaker nations of the world, including even some Arab states who ought to know better, into imposing an economic blockade against Iraq.

But there are encouraging signs that there are some honourable nations which will not be an accomplice to this monstrous crime. Even the United Nations which has thoughtlessly allowed itself to be used as an instrument of American policy has ruled that force must not be used in the enforcement of the blockade. The United Nations has never sanctioned a ban on food and medical supplies to Iraq. Yet not only America, Britain and the rest of the white racist world, but some Arab nations utterly devoid of scruples, of racial pride and self-respect are determined to starve little Iraqi children and deprive them of urgently needed medicines.

But the masters of hypocrisy and double standards, the very men who have the utmost contempt for international law, are describing the Iraqi people as ‘barbaric.’ But we all know who the real brutes and savages are, they are the ones who arrogantly claim to be ‘civilised.’ They are no more civilised than the unclean pigs which they devour with such fiendish relish.

However history is moving inexorably against the Zionist-imperialist-stooge-Arab axis as the unprecedented wave of support for Saddam Hussein in every Arab country, including American-occupied ‘Saudi Arabia’ so gloriously shows. Only three weeks ago the Arab nation was leaderless, confused, thoroughly demoralised, divided and powerless. Western political commentators gloated over the fact that the Zionist state will last a thousand years and that the Arab world will forever be in thrall to the west. They also smugly assumed that the Fahds, and the other barrow-
• BRIGHT JEWELS FOR THE BRITISH CROWN: The Emir of Kuwait will no longer be able to make fabulous jewellery gifts like priceless necklace to the Queen of England.

• EX CROWN PRINCE AND DIANA: Diana’s in but he is out.
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Now even these media-whores are singing a different tune. The Iraqi decision to restore the wrongs of history by championing the cause of the Palestinian people seems almost divinely inspired. It has not only restored the morale of the Arab world, with the next logical step the unification and cleansing of the Arab world and seeking the complete removal of the thieves and hoodlums of 'Saudi Arabia', but the avenging of the Children of the Intifada and the heroic mothers and fathers of the Palestinian Revolution.

But there is another dimension to the Iraqi stand which we would be foolish to ignore. There is today no more popular and better respected people in almost every country in the Third World than the Iraqis. The Iraqi people are public heroes with whom the wretched of the earth and the exploited can identify. They are perhaps some of the few people of our generation to challenge the might of rampant and hitherto triumphant imperialism.

Imperialism is racism. We must not forget that almost all the poor of the world are black. We must not forget that the richest people on earth are white. With few exceptions, they are all parasites living off the blood of Arab, African, Asian and Latin American peoples. Without our gold, our diamonds, our uranium, our copper and silver our oil and the indecently large sums their banks extort from us in interests on their loans to us, where would they be? JANNATI KAUN?

The peoples of the Third World are at this very moment rapturously cheering Iraqi people and praying for their victory over the Satanic and savage Western hordes now tempting fate in the waters of the Gulf. A victory for Iraq will be a victory for the Third World. For over 500 years black, brown and yellow peoples have been enslaved, humiliated, degraded, robbed, pillaged and plundered by the white racist monsters of imperialism. Entire races have almost been wiped out in the vilest genocidal campaigns in history. Now even the once rushed Indian peoples of North America are rising to demand their basic human rights. All over the world the poor are rising up against their domestic and foreign enslavers and demanding the same standard of living presently enjoyed by the white races of the world. They also cry out for revenge so that those who are responsible for some of the most dastardly crimes in history are made to pay for them.

Whatever the outcome of the conflict in the Gulf, the oppressed the world over know, that it will signal the beginning of the end of the vilest, the most hated, the most despised ideology ever inflicted on mankind, racist imperialism.

That is why the Iraqi people will go down in history as the people who taught imperialism its most painful lesson.
PLAYBOY FAHD SQUANDERS ISLAMIC FORTUNE ON GAMBLING WHILE BABIES DIE OF HUNGER

PLEASURE-LOVING FAHD bin Abdul Aziz of 'Saudia' Arabia, one of the greatest playboys of the Holy Land and a notorious gambler - he gambled away 7 million pounds in a Monte Carlo casino in two nights in 1974 - is in bad odour with Muslims in many parts of the world both for his decadent life-style and his treacherous alliance with the Zionists, United States and South Africa.

Inside the Holy Land there is growing opposition, often expressing itself in violence, against the corrupt and parasitical Fahd family which has appropriated the country and run it as if it were its private estate. Of the antics of one particular member of the Fahd family, British journalist Martin Dunn wrote in the Sun newspaper of October 24, 1984,

'A Millionaire prince bathed a dozen beautiful prostitutes in champagne before taking them to bed, it was revealed yesterday. The wild party cost the royal Saudi Arabian playboy more than £100,000 for the night.'

While millions of dollars have been squandered in Zionist-owned casinos, and the flesh pots of Europe, Fahd and his indecently opulent family, remain supremely indifferent to the fate of starving children in neighbouring Sudan who are dying like flies because of a shortage of water and food.

It is calculated that if only a small fraction of the money wasted on Zionist casinos by Fahd were diverted to helping the victims of drought and famine, millions of lives could have been saved.

Fahd has not only collared the entire oil revenues of the Holy Land, but has also misappropriated the revenue brought into the country by rich and poor pilgrims from all over the Third World. Many of the pilgrims who journey to the Holy Land come from the poorest of the poor and spend their entire savings on what they regard as the journey of their lives. They are taxed heavily at the airport, have to live in filthy conditions while
in Makka, and are contemptuously referred to as 'goats' who would have done better to stay at home.

But even so, the total wealth generated by rich and poor pilgrims is so huge that the Fahd family allows no records or accounts to be kept of this revenue. Neither the information section of the Saudi Embassy, nor the Muslim League has any record of this figure. It is estimated, however, that Fahd and his family bag a profit of several million dollars annually from the pilgrims.

The well-informed journalist, Abdullah al-Muslim, writing in the Canadian newspaper, the Crescent International of Nov 16, 1984, paints this portrait of Arab ruling class profligacy:

'During the last few years the Arab millionaires have chosen to settle in Marbella on the eastern Spanish coast. Expensive palaces and villas have been built there by hundreds of Arabs. Last year 300 Arab princes 'celebrated' their Eid-ul-Fitr on the Marbella coast! Spain's Imperial Falcons Club, which boasts membership of more than 2,000 Arab millionaires. The wealth of these Arabs was estimated at 20 thousand million dollars.'

The 'Saudi King', Fahd bin Abdul Aziz, is one of the best known of all these petro-dollar noveaux riches. He has been hitting headlines in the west ever since his first scandal was publicized by a Fleet Street newspaper after he gambled away £7 million in a Monte Carlo casino in two nights in 1974. A few years ago Fahd bought a 100-room palace in Spain for £10 million, where he spends only a few weeks each year. He has a similar palace in Spain given to him by Akram Ijiah, a Saudi millionaire and beneficiary of the oil boom. Last winter Fahd's grocery bill for a few weeks was more than £200,000. In 1983 he acquired a palace in the London suburb of Kingston for £24 million. This palace has 5 bars, 21 reception rooms and 130 bedrooms.

The Crescent International writer gives this account of Fahd's anti-Quranic lifestyle and that of his kith and kin:

'A French company was hired to redecorate it for a mere $10 million. He bought a palace at Collong-Beller-ville on the Lake Geneva for £60 million. In January it was reported that he had spent more than £1 million on increasing the security of this palace.'

Last May Fahd acquired a yacht, for over £60 million. This is a floating armoured palace of six storeys, with 60 suits for guests, equipped with
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all imaginable luxuries including a hospital and anti-aircraft Stinger rocket batteries. Fahd travels in a specially converted boeing Jumbo accompanied by five other boeing 727 aircraft for his luggage, including six bullet-proof cars and entourage. Even before becoming 'King' he spent £326 million on an internal telephone system in his Riyadh palace with 2,500 lines manned by 500 male and female staff.

Last month a Saudi prince, Al-Moataz bin Saud bin Abdul Aziz, was caught driving while drunk. His claim of diplomatic immunity was rejected because he is not listed as a Saudi diplomat in London.

GREED OF THE FAHDS

Early in September President Bourguiba personally ordered a Saudi prince, who was an official guest of the Tunisian government, to leave the country within 24 hours with his entourage, after his drunken parties, abuses and beating of hosts.'

The greed of the Fahds seems to know no bounds - the people of the Holy Land are bitterly resentful at the way in which Fahd’s sons have been encouraged to engage in profitable graft - they get huge government contracts. Fahd’s son, Muhammad has the richest prize of all, the so called Presidency of Youth Welfare which is regarded as a gold mine of corruption.

Fahd is also a great friend of the criminal arms merchants of the West. He has spent billions of dollars on expensive equipment ostensibly for external defence, but really for the suppression of the patriotic forces in the Holy Land.

Fahd and his family are extremely unpopular and although Western press propaganda, heavily subsidised by bribes to selected journalists, portrays the Holy Land as an oasis of peace, there is a strong resistance movement in the country. Hundreds of patriots have been arrested and tortured.

Fahd is so neurotic that he does not trust the ordinary people of the Holy Land. He is reported to have appointed a member of his family as chief of intelligence, his palace guards are foreigners and his entire army is officered and commanded by foreigners hostile to the Islamic cause.

The B52 bombers purchased from America, together with the latest and most expensive missiles, will be used against the Holy Land resistance when the expected show-down takes place. The weapons will be used against any Muslim country which comes to the assistance of the resistance.

Fahd has been indifferent to the fate of the Palestinians, in particular, and the Muslims in general. Fahd refuses to help Muslim students who have to wash dishes in restaurants in the cities of Europe and work as mini cab drivers to pay for their university fees. Fahd the custodian of Holy Makka and Madina, seems to have no money to repair Mosques.
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It is reported that Muslims who write to him or his embassies regarding
such matters never get an answer.

SERVILE PRO-AMERICANISM

Fahd's servile pro-Americanism is of great concern to Muslim patriots
all over the world. Despite his shoe-shine boy role towards the Americans,
he lavishes gifts with money stolen from Makka and Madina and the Ho-
ly Land Treasury on the blood thirsty war maniac Ronald Reagan and
his equally vicious wife Nancy.

Arab patriots are also incensed by Fahd's secret relationship with the
Zionist state, an artificial creation, with the highest inflation rate in the
world, and on a par with South Africa as the murderer of defenceless
women and children. The Zionist state will not exist for a day without
the vast and limitless charity of the United States. American guns, rockets
and bombs supplied to the Zionists free of charge are responsible for un-
paralleled death and destruction in the Lebanon with the so-called 'war'
claiming scores of lives with each passing day. One would have thought,
therefore, that Fahd will have nothing to do with this Satanic Superpower
and its Zionist ally, but like a rabies-infested lap dog cringing for its piece
of maggot-infested meat, Fahd carries millions of pounds of gold in
presents to Reagan and Nancy as The Seattle Post-Intelligence of
Washington reported on February 12, 1983:

'The king arrived yesterday for a five-day visit. He came bearing lavish
gifts including a woven gold evening bag with Nancy Reagan's initials in
diamonds; a gold pitcher and tray set with tumblers partially studded with
amethysts; and a small enameled egg on a stand. The egg opens to reveal
a small clock behind two hinged doors. On either door are a Saudi seal
and the U.S. presidential seal surrounded with diamonds.'

At a reception given, which included Fahd, his American bosses and
the chief of the C.I.A., whisky and wine flowed like water and included
Cakebread Chardonnay, 1981, Saintsbury Pinot Noir, 1982, Schamsberg
Blanc de Blancs, 1981.

Time is running out for his regime and that it is only a matter of time
before the Holy Land returns to patriotic Islamic rule, he is determined
to delay that process. Hence his alliance with the enemies of the Arab and
Muslim world. He believes that with America and the Zionists at his side.
Fahd has only to read the history of Europe and Iran to understand
that the equivalent of the guillotine is ominously close - both Louis XVI
and his haughty Austrian wife Marie Antoinette lived a life style identical
to that of the Fahd family and paid dearly for treating the ordinary peo-
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FAHD: The self-styled guardian of Holy Makka and Madina, tries to hide from the camera, obviously he does not want to be exposed. He is alien to Muslim compassion, and callously allows Black children to perish.
FAHD'S MONSTROSITY CASTS SHADOW OVER ALMIGHTY ALLAH'S HOLY PRESENCE

AMERICAN AWACS WATCHING OVER YOU

BY

ATAWOOLLAH A.S.K. JOOMMAL
(South African)

Someone asked Imam e' A'zam Aboo Haneefah (R.A.) what was the greatest Ibaadat. Unhesitantly he answered: 'HAJJ'. Of all the pillars of Islam, this pillar is one which is of the greatest strength to the Ummah - because, when the followers of beloved Rasoolullah (S) meet in Makka, they are ONE, in spite of the diversity of colours, geographical differences and station in life. Prince and pauper, potentate and his poorest subject, rub shoulders as is done in the daily Salaat - only this social aspect of our Deen attains even a GREATER significance in Makkah Mu'azzamah - birth-place of Islam.

Ka'batullah Shariff, the House of Allah, the foundation laid down by Hazrat Ibraheem (A.S.) is the apple of the Muslims eye and devotion. It is the heartfelt ambition of all those who say LAA ILAAHA ILLAL LAAHU MU-HAMMADUR RASOOLULLAH (S), to undertake this journey of journeys to Makka, to behold the Cube draped in black, which symbolizes the oneness of all Muslims and which epitomizes the Unity of the Creator.

With pure thoughts, and hearts overflowing with love for the Divine Being, Muslims assemble on the holy ground... that sacred ground on which our Beloved Nabee (S) walked.

While no Muslims can see Allah, Muslims must yet believe taht Allah sees them, which indeed makes the Holy Presence of Allah Subhaanahoo Wa Ta’aala felt, especially at times of prayer and more so within the confines of the House of Allah at Makka during Hajj, when Muslims devote their sole attention to Allah Subhaanahoo Wa Ta’aala.

But how unfortunate are they that all their attention, dedication and thoughts are ruined by a monstrosity - King Fahd's gigantic palace.
KING FAHD PALACE (right): On Jabal al Qubais tower as a gargantuan over the Grand Mosque building containing Kaaba (The House of Allah Soobhanahoo wa Taallah).

In and around the great city of Calcutta in India some Hindustani families give the name of their male Hindi speaking children as (Parmatik), which means (above God) While King Fahd’s palace on Jabal al Qubais is built above God’s House, the KAABA, it is hoped that ‘King’ Fahd does think himself above God.

This structure which is a perpetual reminder to Muslims of the gaping chasm between opulence and poverty, of the constitutionalized evil of monarchy forbidden by Islam, overhangs the very House of Allah!

Situated on the Jabal al-Qubais, next to Kabaa itself, this superstructure ‘steals the show’ and dwarfs the Holy Ka’batullah.

Prayerful thoughts of Muslims are diverted from their Ibaadat - because this new ‘presence’ constructed with the most sophisticated material, has now succeeded in DIVIDING the Muslims’ attention between Allah Subhaanahoo Wa Ta’laa and ‘King’ Fahd! It almost introduces a partner in a Muslim’s worship of Allah Subhaanahoo Wa Ta’laa, and which amounts to nothing but SHIR-K.

It has been reported by pilgrims returning from the Holy Land that this giant of a palace is fitted with electronic 'eyes' that keep a constant watch over the activities and movements of the Hujjaj.

A poet had bewailed this kind of evil when he said:

When Kufr rises from Makka itself, what is left of Islam?
FAHID'S MONSTROSITY CASTS SHADOW
OVER ALMIGHTY ALLAH'S HOLY PRESENCE

Very recently it was reported in the local press that 'King' Fahd had purchased extravagant, sophisticated weapons from the Americans, the latest in missiles called stinger missiles - to be used for, apart from any other threat to the country, to expressly defend the SAUDI PALACES!

While the Saudis take great pride in building their unseemly palace even in Makka itself, as shown in the picture Jabal al Qubais, next to the Kaaba, even dwarfing Allah's House, the historical birthplace building of our Nabee (S) was razed to the ground by King Fahd's father, the Wahhabi King Abdul Aziz Al Saud after the conquest of Makka in 1924, on the grounds that it is Bid-at (innovation) to revere the birthplace of Prophet Muhammad (S). Is it no Bid-at to build palaces and that, too, right in front of the House of Allah, since kingship itself is Bid-at in Islam?

Can the Saudis then rightly claim to be the Custodians of the Holy Places - or their UNHOLY PALACES? And can they then really blame concerned Muslims of the world for wanting the Holy Cities of Islam to be under international Muslim control?

JANNATI KAUN?
ever mind Patten's 'double whammies' which at least earned him the undeserved governorship of Hong Kong, even more monstrous are Fahd's and Bush's claims that they are defenders of democracy in the Middle East.

What are the facts about the greatest farce ever? - the events leading to the war to 'liberate' Kuwait and to democratise 'Saudi Arabia', Kuwait and also to secure justice for the Palestinians.

What follows might be described as the king-sized whoppers sold to a gullible Western public by Fahd and his boss, George bin Abdul Aziz Al Bushit. Fahd, contrary to the omnipotent image of 'kings' is not the monarch of all he surveys. Bush is his boss, thus Fahd has got into the habit of saying 'Bush Milla Hir Rahmaur Rahim'. Fahd does as Bush says. Not because it pleases him to do so, but if he displeases his slavemaster Bush, Fahd and his so called 'Royal family' will bite the dust pronto.

It's American military help and advice, purchased with billions of not just oil-dollars, but the enormous revenue that the 'Saudi' treasury extorts from the poor pilgrims to the Haj in Makka, that actually keeps Fahd's head on his shoulders.

And that goes for the rest of the sex maniacs, rogues, gamblers and parasites in the so called 'Saudi Royal' family.

Before we proceed any further let us look at the whole question of the legitimacy of kingship in Islam, or more correctly, Quranic theology. This argument is best illustrated by the experience of a 'Saudi' dissident who upheld a famous tenet in the Quran which reads thus:

'WHENEVER KINGS ENTER A CITY, THEY CAUSE IT TO BE CORRUPT, TURNING ITS HONOURABLE PEOPLE INTO A HUMILIATED PEOPLE. VERILY THEY DO JUST THAT.' (CHAPTER 27 VERSE 34).

Who are the kings, and which city have they caused to be corrupt. The Quran was revealed to the Holy Prophet of Islam (PBOH) in the Holy Land HIGHJACKED by King Kong Fahd. Yet with amazing prescience of which only the Almighty is capable, we are warned of the
terrible calamity that will be visited on Muslims by the ‘Kings’ who defile the teachings of the Prophet (PBOH).

This particular verse from the Holy Quran in the Land of its creation, in the land of the Prophet (PBOH) is actually banned in Fahd’s ‘kingdom’.

But Rushdie is a fly on the body of an elephant, in comparison with Fahd in terms of blasphemy, against the Islamic faith, against the Prophet (PBOH) and against Almighty God. Yet a small insignificant figure like Rushdie earns the distinction of the Fatwah. Surely the fellow who should be the first, the most urgent and the most wanted victim of Quranic justice, the fatwah in fact, should be applied to Fahd. Why is the Muslim World so tolerant of the butcher Fahd, when he has supported and financed a war unleashed by Zionism and Imperialism in which the Ummah has suffered loss of life running into thousands? As his first instalment he gave away £12 billions to Zionism, just before the Gulf war started not only for the cold blooded murder of Arab babies but in order to trample on the dignity, honour and self respect of the Ummah. He even brought in an American Rabbi to the Holy Land of Islam, during the gulf crisis to pray for him.

We should be thoroughly ashamed of the fact that despite the most inhuman crimes against the Muslim peoples, Fahd and his spineless cronies should today be still walking with their heads on their shoulders. The pronouncements of the Fatwah by the guardians of the Islamic faith should be put into effect.

This is, of course, what Fahd dreads most. Memories, especially on the part of Muslims treacherous to our faith, tend to be most unreliable. But who can forget one of the greatest of all defenders of the Quranic faith, the man the Western press refers to as a ‘Saudi’ dissident, Nasser al-Said. In 1979, Nasser al-Said published a masterpiece titled, Tarigh al Saud. Nasser published the book in Beirut where he was living at the time. He mistakenly believed that he was safe in that war torn and strife ridden part of the Middle East from the terrorist conspiracies and intrigues of Fahd. But King Kong Fahd put out a contract on the Islamic loyalist, said to be worth over £10m.

It is understood that agents of C.I.A. with the help of some Arab traitors discovered the whereabouts of Nasser.

This noble, this pure and incorruptible son of the Islamic faith was kidnapped and put in a dungeon in ‘Saudi Arabia’ where he was starved and tortured.

Fahd is so terrified of his own fellow Muslim, that in the very land of the Prophet and the Quran, he has decreed that no Mullahs should ever refer to those passages in the Quran which advocates
egalitarianism. The press and the propaganda instruments of Fahd do not need to be so told – they are slavishly obedient.

But nearly 60 heroic Mullahs, who defied and preached the True Quran, have been banned by the ‘Saudi Royals’. These men can no longer speak in their own mosques and have been threatened with assassination if they ever utter the truism that the Prophet is mightier than Fahd himself.

King Kong Fahd. Khadimal Harameeewah who allowed the frying of pigs on the sacred soil of Islam and the uncontrolled drinking of alcohol by the Kaffirs of Bush’s army accompanied by regular strip-tease performances followed by all the usual sexual orgies and perversion by Bush’s white women soldiers has humiliated Islam even more brazenly. The American ‘advisers’ still in the Holy Land of Islam have intensified their ‘social’ activity, which as everyone knows in ‘Saudi Arabia’ is wild with lascivious parties with champagne, caviare and whiskey hosted by some of the dirtiest members of King Kong Fahd’s family. The most glamorous of Europe’s blonde prostitutes are flown in by specially chartered planes costing scores of thousands of dollars for the members of Fahd’s family. Also flown in, of course, are especially made condoms with the ‘Royal Saudi’ seal for the Sheikhs, the princes and the ponces of the ‘so called Royal’ family.

While most people, for fear of being beheaded, turn their gaze away from this inferno of prostitution, lust and lechery, some courageous opponents of the regime have made daring strikes, disrupting the parties, and frightening the whores of Europe, many of whom feared that they would not be able to leave the country with their wages of sin, and that they would be beheaded for their venality.

The Independent newspaper of Britain, 13th March 1992, reported thus on the clergy, who had challenged the illegitimate regime: ‘For instance, the reported detention over the last few days of Sheikh Abdul Mohsin al Obeykan, formerly a preacher at the ‘Jawahara Mosque’ in Riyadh, is said by one ‘Saudi’ source to have followed a clash between followers of Sheikh Obeykan and ‘Prince’ Mishal bin Abdul Aziz formerly governor of Makka and a close relation of King Kong Fahd. Two weeks ago, according to the source, Sheikh Obeykan’s followers disrupted a party by the prince, abducting some women guests and, as a result, were arrested and sentenced to 80 strokes of a whip.’

These men have done what their conscience, in obedience to the teachings of the Quran dictated that they do. Yet the ‘Saudi Royals’ have now decreed that the ‘Royals’ are above the Shariah (Islamic Law). They sneeringly claim that they are the law makers and law breakers at the same time, and that they are therefore not beholden...
the supreme Laws of the Quran – or so their outrageous behaviour implies. We say against that, the crime of Salman Rushdie, appalling though it may be, is but a flea-bite in comparison with the monstrous anti-Islamic outrages of King Kong Fahd and his cronies. But who will pronounce the Fatwah on the hated, despised and thieving Fahd and give Islam new hope?

The House of Al Saud, of course, enjoys powerful Western media backing, and Western political and diplomatic, military support. Some of the members of the House of Al Saud squander billions on weapons to suppress the true teachings of Islam which are persistently reflected by the heroic anti-Fahd movements in ‘Saudi’ Arabia itself. But there are now signs – the infamous contradictions of capitalism – that some sections of Western public opinion are becoming increasingly uneasy about the fact that America supposedly fought Saddam to foster democracy in the Middle East. America is terrified that the forces of Islam would put an end to its massive theft of Islamic wealth and crush and humiliate their surrogates in the process. Imagine Britain selling billions pounds of Tornadoes to put down rebellion inside the Hijaz and the Najd.

Let us again quote from the Independent article of March 13, 1991: ‘Antagonism towards the life-style of the ‘Royal’ family as less than ascetic produced continual criticism and petty disputes. But, trivial though these often are, they are a sign of a much deeper and inescapable dilemma for ‘Saudi’ Arabia’s rulers’. So Muhammad Heikal, a well known Arab commentator said in an interview with the Independent ....

“The one strength of ‘Saudi Arabia’ is money, but vast wealth in itself is anti-Islamic.”

The writer of the Independent article, Patrick Cockburn, is one of the few honest Western hacks, most of whom are in the pay packet of the ‘Saudi’ regime, not journalists, but public relations prostitutes. Cockburn is on very firm ground when he points out:

“The dichotomy between Saudi Islamic pretensions and the realities of the Royal family’s riches, and its alliance with the infidel US, is continually harped on by preaches in illicit cassettes sold throughout the country.” Illicit not at all, just the ‘Saudi’ illicit term for them!

Coburn adds: “More extreme Salafi speakers accuse the family of Saud of being anti-Islamic. This is the main burden of the so called ‘supergun tape’, which the authorities have been endeavouring to suppress. According to Mr. Shaikh, the tape is by Abu al-Bara al-Najdi, the nom de plume of a former judge, who is now the head of Ikhwan, the brotherhood – the most extreme Salafi group based in Pakistan. Mr. Najdi had earlier written a book, illegally reprinted in ‘Saudi Arabia’,
arguing that the ‘Saudi’ state is non-Islamic and it is the duty of the faithful to remove it.”

That latter statement is beyond dispute – the ‘Saudi’ state is non-Islamic and must be removed. Of course Fahd is as clever as he is corrupt. At one time he put all his faith in military force, violence and the beheading of opponents. He has not changed those tactics, but now he has developed new cunning, realising that even if you chop off a thousand heads, you cannot kill, or permanently suppress the ideas of freedom, of liberty and of the purity of the Islamic faith.

So the House of Al Saud are now engaged in a massive and hugely expensive – but by their standard inexpensive- exercise to control, manipulate and enslave the minds of Muslims everywhere. They realise that this can be best achieved by ‘buying off’ or ‘corrupting’ or subsidising Muslim writers and Muslim publishing houses. They, of course, have done this with unrivalled success with the Western media, most of which, with a few solitary, honourable exceptions is financed by ‘Saudi’, Kuwaiti and other criminally crooked money from the middle East. This ancient art of brainwashing, or anti-Muslim cultural and intellectual imperialism, is now being master-minded by the House of Al Saud. They are spending money like water or bribing and corrupting, journalists, writers, historians and other intellectuals. They are blackmailing publishing houses. While reaping some benefits for the ‘Saudis’, these tactics are not altogether paying off, for there are many Muslim writers and publishers who refuse to be corrupted.

The ‘Saudis’, acting on the advice of their American masters, who are the most accomplished experts at brainwashing their own people and others abroad, have urged some of the members of the House of Al Saud to spend a fortune on the seduction of publishing houses. They want Muslims all over the world to reject the teaching of their own Islamic faith and to worship at the feet of those sinful infidels – some decadent ‘Saudi Princes’. The Lebanon is one of the biggest Arabic publishing centres. Last year, the Lebanon’s printing presses, churned out books, and periodicals despite the violence and bloodshed in their country, amounting to over $40m. Of this total, $33m, representing something like 82.5 per cent of the output went to ‘Saudi Arabia’. No need to guess why. All these books sang the praises of the Chief infidel, Fahd and his surrogates in the ‘Royal family’.

The House of Al Saud will, in turn, distribute these books all over the world in their anti-Islamic campaign to win the hearts and minds of the international Muslim community.

Islam has always prided itself on its indestructibility. That cannot be disputed. Islam will triumph in the end. It will humiliate the so called
Western civilisation and give the world a genuine new world order based on moral and spiritual principles.

But Fahd has the great advantage at the moment. With his billions of dollars he is corrupting Islam and the wretched of the Muslim earth are falling for his perfidy.

What if he were to win? A victory for Fahd will mean a victory for America and the death of Islam. Can we stand by and let such a state of affairs come to pass?
THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD:
SPECIALIST IN THE ACT OF TORTURE AND MASSACRE

THE YOUNG MUSLIM MARTYRS BORN IN THE HOLY LAND AND PICTURED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE COMMITTED SERIOUS CRIMES IN THE EYES OF THE AL SAUD.

ALL THEY WANTED WAS A DEMOCRATIC STATE INSTEAD OF THE FEUDAL DICTATORSHIP OF THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD. THEY WANTED A STATE FREE OF VICE, CORRUPTION, DECADENCE AND FAVOURITISM OF THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD. THEY WANTED FREEDOM, JUSTICE AND EQUALITY WHICH IS THE INALIENABLE RIGHTS OF ALL MEN IRRESPECTIVE OF CASTE, COLOUR, CREED RELIGION OR NATIONALITY.

THEIR DEMANDS HAVE BEEN MET WITH TORTURE, MURDER AND MASSACRE. PICTURES NEVER LIE AND THE PICTURES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES TELL THEIR OWN MURDEROUS AND GRUESOME STORY.

THIS IS THE KIND OF MONSTROUS REGIME THE SO CALLED DEMOCRATIC WEST NOT ONLY HOLDS IN HIGHEST ESTEEM, BUT IS PREPARED TO SEND ITS SONS AND DAUGHTERS TO DIE FOR.
MARTYR ALI AL-HAMMAD: He had undergone bloodcurdling torture at the hands of the Nazi Saudi Regime at Dammam prison. He died for a great cause.
THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD: SPECIALIST IN THE ACT OF TORTURE AND MASSACRE

● MARTYR MAKKI AL DAKHEEL: Being carried by his staunch brothers with gaping bloody wounds, a few days before his death. Another victim in the continuous catalogue of Saudi crimes against our young blood.

● MARTYR ALI AL-MUALLEM: Sacrificed his life for the sake of Islam. Saudi American Islam is a threat.
MARTYR ALI MUHAMMAD AL BAHRANI: One of the two high powered bullets aimed at his heart took the life of the noble martyr. Such calculated killers pose as the guardian of our Holy Makka and Madina.
SAEED ISSA MADANI: The first Martyr from the city of Safwa.
MALIK ABD AL-RAZZAQ AND MAKEI ALI DAKHEEL:
Two sons of Islam murdered in cold blood by the
Saudi Nazi regime. All they wanted was justice.
- This young Muslim was shot by the butchers of Riyadh while he was shouting Allahoo Akbar.

- Muslims showing their disgust of Saudi American Islam.
MARTYR ALI AL RAHINE: How many more young Muslims will the Al Saud murder?
ANOTHER OF SAUDI MONSTROSITY: While people starve in the Muslim world and Palestinians rot in their refugee camps, Fahd is busy building castles in Switzerland, Versaille and Spain - to name just a few countries. Fahd has now built himself an ugly castle in Marbella which is the replica of the White House and as closely guarded as Fort Knox.
FAHD THE DOUBLE CROSSER: Not only has this tyrant allowed his American masters to slaughter pigs and fry their flesh in our Holy Land but he has also allowed alien faith to be practised on our holiest soil. Long before the occupation of our Holy Land by the forces of Shaitan, Fahd displayed his treachery to our faith by wearing a Christian Cross with pride.
THE GULF WAR HERO
'A HERO FROM ZERO'

Brigitte and Gulf War Hero,' proclaimed the headline in the NEWS OF THE WORLD, the British newspaper aptly referred to as the News of The Screws, for its obsession with certain parts of the human anatomy and their connection with a variety of people. These range from rapists to sex-starved soldiers and sailors, frustrated English nannies and adulterous husbands to over-sexed Sultans and Sheikhs and nymphomaniacs working for American and some Saudi airlines as 'hostesses', with mercenary ambitions of sharing the couches of perversion with billionaire Saudi, Kuwaiti and Bahraini princes.

Whenever King Kong Fahd, who is said to have an insatiable sexual appetite, but now totally out of action from the waist down, wanted a change from local women, he ordered his pimps in his court, usually highly paid bodyguards, to bring in the busty blondes. These have an equally ferocious sexual hunger, well versed in all the many perversions that not even the most comprehensive pornographic manuals on the art of heavy breathing, whipping and other forms of sado-masochism can match for imagination, versatility and downright brutality.

The blonde stewardesses from a certain well known national airline are some of the most accomplished and polished experts in this kind of sexual athleticism. That well known airline, one of the most efficient in the world, is not just known for the excellence of its cuisine, the beauty of its stewardesses and the skills of its pilots, it is also an unofficial brothel for the billionaires, so called princes of the Saudi desert. Money, as they would say in Germany, and other parts of Europe, makes the world go round.

And money is in super-abundance in the Saudi oil-gushing Kingdom. It literally grows on the trees and there's nothing more desirable in the eyes of the Saudi princes than the lascivious, lustful blonde whores of certain parts of Europe. These are not your everyday prostitutes that you see tastelessly displayed in zoo-like glass cages of the Riperbahn in
that city of original sin, Hamburg, not just famous for its statue of Bismarck, the fellow who helped to unify Germany, but for its most famous exports, the well packed, meaty, muscular curvaceous and hot, sweating, tempting bodies, overwhelmingly blonde, of Northern women. This is a very selecte trade and has no relationship whatsoever with the old white slave trade. Then poverty of the most degrading kind in Germany and elsewhere, only three or four decades ago, resulted in many of their women selling themselves to the white modern slavers who in turn sold them for quite hefty prices to Africa, and to the poorer parts of Arabia, Sicily, Spain and Italy.

The new trade, worth billions, and said to be run by American movie-moguls, industrialists and even politicians, is specifically directed at ‘Saudi Arabia’, Kuwait and other oil-saturated nations, where there is no bargaining and the feeble, greedy and lecherous old men and the hungry, virile young Saudi princes of the House of Al Saud, pay monstrous prices cheerfully for a week or more of the most undreamed of Kama Sutra style pleasure. Their oft-stated preferences are for women with pouting lips, hot Saharan breath, oversized breasts and noises that can be heard across many oceans to announce the arrival of sometimes feigned, sometime genuine female orgasms. Some of the Saudi ‘Princes’ of course, just go weak, limp and fall off to sleep, leaving the maidens wondering whether they will ever rise again!

But back to the News of The Screws – whatever can it mean when it refers to the Gulf War Hero? Not Stormin’ Norman surely, for Saudi Arabia turns out to be the country with the thinnest book of war heroes, more correctly fiction about native war heroes. In ‘Saudi Arabia’ the only fighting Fahd’s warriors are engaged in is chopping off the heads of commoners who dare make love to their ‘princesses’, and to amputate the hands of those unlucky enough to be caught stealing a few bananas, apples or the odd pieces of lamb. If proper Sharia were to be applied to the Holy land, thousands of princes would lose not only their hands but other parts of their anatomy as well. The first one line will be King Kong Fahd himself.

But there is another sort of war in Saudi Arabia, a hopelessly one-sided war which never seems to end. It is the war against the people of the Hijaz and Najd, by the infamous House of Al Saud which has stolen the entire treasury of the country, and which contrary to the teachings of the Islamic faith, proclaims its rulers kings, princes and princesses.

The brave heroes of the people rise up frequently in a futile but heroic rebellion against the House of Al Saud. They are simply butchered, their heads clumsily severed from their twitching bodies by the well armed and brutal soldiery of the House of Al Saud, supervised by their
white American masters, so called advisers without whom and their expensively purchased arms and planes the Royal Saudi households would long ago have vanished without a trace.

So who is the Saudi war hero and who discovered him? The war hero, a fellow who probably won’t be able to tell the difference between a Maxim gun and a Kalashnikov Ak 47, is named by the *NEWS OF THE SCREWS* as ‘Prince’ Khaled Bin Sultan bin Abdul Aziz of Saudi Arabia, none other than the nephew of the whiskey addicted, casino haunting invalid, ‘King’ Fahd, who it is said is not long for this world.

What did the ‘Prince’ do, or more accurately, did not do to deserve ‘Gulf War Hero status’? Where was he in the few brief days of fighting, done mostly by the black uniformed oppressed of America with the Anglo Saxon protestants safely in their planes, or a convenient and comfortable distance from the action? ‘Prince’ Khaled was probably in his harem sound asleep for the duration of the war after some heavy breathing exercises with the favourites of his harem.

But he is suddenly a war hero by courtesy of British government and the Royal Family in grateful and the cringing appreciation of the extravagant generosity of the Saudis who threw a hefty 10 billion dollars or so into poverty stricken British coffers as their ‘gratuity’ for the British contribution to the genocidal destruction of Iraqi babies.

Besides, the Saudis also placed many billions of dollars worth of orders for British goods and arms to show how very much they valued British support. And so the British being good sports and thorough hypocrites to boot, felt it would not be a bad thing to treat ‘Prince’ Khaled in the way they had treated Stormin’ Norman, that is conferred a knighthood on him. If the ‘Prince’ so chooses, he can, as the Knight of Bath, actually refer to himself or allow others to refer to him as ‘Sir’.

But that’s going a bit too far isn’t it? Whatever one may have thought of the bullish Germanic Norman he, at least, was in charge of actual military operations under his massa, the Black General Powell. Norman could at least read maps and that’s more than we can say for ‘Prince’ ‘Sir’ Khaled, Commander of the Muslim forces. Khaled was classed as educationally sub-normal by his Sudanese and Palestinian school masters, with others saying that he was in fact mentally retarded. It is said of him that he had great difficulty locating Kuwait on the map, probably believing it to be closer to Kashmir than his own ‘kingdom’.

So what is the first thing our war hero does? He picks up ‘sex bomb’ Brigitte who had just ditched husband number 3. In the Arab world and in many other parts of the Muslim world, they refer to the non-virgins of Europe as ‘second hand, and shop soiled’ commodities preferring that rare thing, a virgin.
But fortunately for our Saudi war hero, second hand or no second hand, he wanted them big, busty, broad and bold. The shapely and amazonian Brigitte appeared to fit the bill perfectly. We know little about this pleasant young lady except that she was married to Rambo star, Sylvester Stallone. She was said ambitious, hard working, cultured and intelligent.

In 1989, when he hitched up with Brigitte, believed to be a Swede or a Norwegian, Brigitte was having problems with her very desirable residence in Chelsea. According to Britain gutter press, the Saudi ‘war hero’ effortlessly produced a cheque for one-year lease on a Chelsea flat at a bargain £3,000.00 a week.

When it comes to Sudanese, Ethiopian, Somalese babies who starve to death everyday, Khaled seems to have no foulous. While Brigitte was apparently having a hard and anxious time, she was still very much in control of her circumstances for she appeared to be an actress of some ability in her chosen field of glamour.

The 45-year old Khaled reputed to be worth many millions and whose father, ‘Prince’ Sultan, the Minister of Defence who does not distinguish between his own bank account and that of the Saudi Defence Treasury, has a mansion in London’s Regent Park, where he spends a few weeks of the English summer, or whenever he feels the urge for blondes. He flew Brigitte to ‘Saudi Arabia’ on a ten day trip. Khaled’s religion, of course, does not permit thrice married blondes from setting foot in the Holy Land. Brigitte, no doubt, thought that this both preposterous and laughable but who could blame her because she was totally alien to the Islamic way of life.

But this did not bother Khaled, ‘commander’ of the Muslim forces in the Gulf war. Whilst, Brigitte can be honourably excused for she is not fully acquainted with the Islamic way of life, Khaled, like his uncle King Kong Fahd, has nothing but contempt for Islam.

Brigitte, give her due, was not greatly impressed by the war hero. Whatever he may or may not have done on the battlefield, it seems that the Saudi lad failed her standards of behaviour. So Brigitte, an independent and intelligent woman cast him aside for pony-tailed pop video producer, Sebastian Copeland. Khaled crept and crawled like a worm at the feet of the Blonde young lady, but to no avail. The nephew of Khadimal Haramain Sharifain was spurned in the way of servants who get above their stations! Despite entreaties, Brigitte stood her ground and rejected further advances by Khaled. Many Arabs are saying that Khaled’s sexual prowess is more suspect than his war hero credentials.

The ‘Prince’, who once put his private jet at Bridgitte’s disposal so
THE WONDERS OF MODERN SURGERY: Brigitte Nielsen, former girlfriend of the Saudi Prince Khaled, 'Commander' of the Muslim forces in the Gulf. Brigitte is very talented, cultured and intelligent. She deserted him.

THE NEPHEW OF KHADIMAL HARAMAIN AND SHARIFAIN WORSHIPS BLONDE NUDES: This is the Khaled's bedroom in the heart of Chelsea, London. The Commander of the Muslim forces in the Gulf who calls himself a 'devout' Muslim demonstrates his loyalty to our Great Faith.
KHALED'S ARABIAN DELIGHT: The War Hero flew her to the Holy Land but she was not there on a pilgrimage. Khaled cannot tell the difference between a violin and a Sten gun. Not unsurprisingly he survived the 'war' for the simple reason that he spent his time in Kama Sutra activities with companions with the right qualifications. He must be the only commander in military history to conduct a war from the bedrooms of a top hotel in Riyadh.

JANNATI KAUN?
that she could fly from Switzerland to Los Angeles to claim the 18-month son of a short-lived affair with an American white footballer, has now become reconciled to his rejection by the 6 foot blonde with a boy’s haircut and breasts that have been boosted by surgeons with silicon implants.

Khaled, like his uncle King Kong Fahd now dysfunctional from the waist down, is happier with the gigantic picture of a nude on the walls of his London flat, for the ideal beauty of black mental slaves like Khaled, himself a descendant of former slaves captured by the semitic Arabs, is a white woman. News of the picture so outraged Muslims in Britain and Arab countries that they protested in the strongest terms that the nephew of Khadimal Haramieah was this time going a bit too far.

But the last laugh was had by one of the impudent white butlers of Khaled. The fellow, who received over £18,000, said he was bored with his job. But before he quit he made a laughing stock of his boss-prince by inviting the British paparazzi to take pictures of him posing under the ‘Prince’s’ nude painting.

Even when you have all the money in the world, but are taken for a ride and made a monkey of by your inferiors, you are nothing more than a contemptible apology for a human being — and that’s exactly what our war hero is — a hero from zero.

* Indeed this lowly opinion of Khaled’s military prowess was sardonically confirmed thus by General H. Norman Schwarzkopf, in his autobiography, (It does nor take a hero, written by Peter Petre, Bantam Press):

“Lieutenant General Prince Khalid bin Sultan al-Saud, commander of the kingdom’s air-defense forces and the man King Fahd had appointed as my counterpart..........He was as big as me.

His military credentials were nowhere near as important as his princely blood..........”

No one can accuse General Schawrzkopf of malice or envy, with regard to General Khalid. The U.S. so called war effort was subsidised by the profligate Al Saudis and Al Sabahs to the obscene tune of $65 bn which in effect means that the U.S. showed an immediate profit of billions in terms of the reconstruction of Kuwait and parts of Saudi Arabia. This, of course, does not include arms deals said to be in the region of more than $50 billions of Arab Muslim money largely from the Family plundered exchequers of Kuwait and Saudi Arabia.
THE HOUSE OF AL SAUD
AND THE PROPAGANDA WAR

The House of Al Saud has learned quickly from their American and Zionist masters. Where before, these hare-brained slaves of the white western world, never really understood the concept, "THE MEDIUM IS THE MESSAGE," they are now masters at the art of misinformation, disinformation, news management, censorship and brain-washing. Thus, a barrage of mass media propaganda is being disseminated on the hour to the Arab and Muslim World on behalf of their Satanic masters.

It took them a long time to learn, but they have now learned so well that they have become extremely adept at the art of white propaganda. They saw the benefits for international Zionism in controlling the press of the world. They got in touch with their Zionist collaborators, who in turn plotted out the master media plan for King Kong Fahd of the House of Al Saud.

The Zionist advisers told him it was silly to bribe individual journalists and newspapers. They should buy and bribe the lot of them, that way King Kong Fahd could eliminate any form of dissent against their pro-American, pro-Zionist and anti-Islamic policies.

They could even make their treachery, their debauchery and their blasphemy against Islam ultra-patriotic, presenting themselves not as the sinners, thieves, and Western slaves they are, but as men of virtue, saviours of Islam and benefactors, not enemies of the Muslim world. They now have one of the most powerful international media empires, not quite on a par with the Zionists who control everything the world over, but on a scale more than enough to achieve their political, military and economic objectives.

Every Muslim in the world knows that some members of the House of Al Saud are the sworn enemies of Islam and that they worship at the feet of the Western infidels. It is they who were directly responsible for the genocide of Iraqi babies and children. They were responsible, too for the slaughter of thousands upon thousands of innocent Arabs who
were forced into a fratricidal war. Of course, the House of Al Saud have other massive crimes for which they may still be called to account, especially their theft of the Ummah's wealth from the treasury of Makka, extorted from poor Muslim pilgrims all over the world. But for the moment the destruction of their fellow Arabs and the moral basis of Islam preoccupies them the most.

The result of the most sophisticated propaganda assault by the al Sauds is that they now own 14 publications widely distributed in America, Europe and the Middle East.

King Kong Fahd also owns two powerful radio stations in Europe and America with the most advanced techniques and some of the best radio journalists in the Arab world. He also owns two satellite television networks, costing millions of pounds, which beam pro-Saudi propaganda with light entertainment from California to the Arabian Gulf. Four of the leading Arab international newspapers have also been swallowed up by the Al Sauds, making the con-man, Robert Maxwell, look like small time hustlers. The Al Saud family also controls the Middle East Broadcasting Centre in London which has such powerful transmitters that it can be heard all over Europe, North Africa and the Arab Homeland. Soon their catchment areas will include former communist-controlled Muslim republics of the old USSR and the US.

Naturally, the whole idea is to prevent a serious discussion of Al Saud treachery, blackmail and blasphemy, the situation of Iraqi babies, the one family police states of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait etc., the issue of gender in Islam and the U.S. and Israeli domination of the Middle East in alliance with Fahd and the other master-thief in Kuwait.

*Al Nahar* is one of the oldest newspapers in Arabia. If the owners ever become careless, the Al Sauds will walk in and take over. Perhaps conscious of this serious danger and the negative effects this will have on what little remains of a free press in Arabia, Al-Nahar's editor, Ghassan Tueni, told a journalist: "The Saudi backed media feed you a lot of information, but if they become the only source of news the public will be deprived of a balance of opinions."

Equally important, from the Saudi point of view, is that control of such an unacceptably huge chunk of the Arab media, which is being increased every month with new British style take overs (in Britain 99.5 per cent of the media, including television and radio is either controlled by the Tory Party or elements sympathetic to it, and violently the anti-Labour party), is that they can also exercise a censorship over their immoral, un-Islamic life-styles.

Time and again, the members of the Al Saud and their close friends
have been caught by the investigative Arab press in a great many embarrassing situations, with illustrated reports exposing in the most sordid detail their sex-mania, alcoholism, addiction to prostitution, gambling etc. They are the laughing stock of the Islamic world for Muslims are aware of their excessive love for blonde American prostitutes, their squandering of vast sums of money on casinos and racing turfs of Europe.

This press exposure and ridicule hurt the Fahd family greatly, and even though they cannot completely suppress exposures of their brothel and bottle activities, they are confident that in the next few years they would, as a result of their ownership of every single Arab newspaper, be able to behave as outrageously as they care without danger of having their debaucheries and their unofficial harems of white harlots exposed to the fascinated gaze of the rest of the Islamic world.

Arab journalists, even those who once prided themselves on their independence and their incorruptibility, have now been corrupted by the Al Sauds. That’s not difficult to explain considering that these journalists now get ten times as much as the salary they once earned working for poor but honest publishing houses.

Those few honest journalists who have refused to prostitute themselves to Saudi Gold are deeply concerned that the new monopoly will mean that the media in the Middle East will be nothing more than an apology and public relations conduit for Al Saud and U.S. policies. Tallal Salman, founder and editor of the respected radical newspaper, Al-Safir, paints this gloomy picture: “Their plan is to replace Arab journalism with Saudi journalism. From the Maghreb to the Gulf, it is hard to find an intellectual or a good Arab writer who is not working for them.”

Fahd’s pimps and ponces recruit journalists the way the brothel keepers of America, France and Holland lure prostitutes for their own trade, with promises of huge salaries and expenses.

Two of the principal villains in the Saudi enslavement of the media are ‘Prince’ Salman, Fahd’s brother and so called governor of Riyadh, and ‘Prince’ Khaled bin Sultan, the so-called commander of Muslim forces in the Gulf War. Khalid is probably the only ‘commander’ in military history who cannot tell the difference between a Kalashnikov and a Cuban cigar!

Fahd, when he is not drinking, gambling or wandering through his populous harem in search of yet another virgin for breakfast, is very well informed about the media activity, even directing policy from time to time, depending on what his American masters dictate. One of Fahd’s many editors told the press: “Fahd knows that the media are the
most important tool for promoting his beliefs and policies."

And we all know what those policies are, for they have brought the
greatest humiliation and tragedy to the Arab and Muslim peoples. A
former unemployed journalist, who reluctantly joined Fahd's media
empire takes this very perceptive view:

"The Fahd-controlled media gives a false impression of what the Arab
intelligentsia thinks. Many Arab journalists are against the blockade of
food to Iraqi babies and many are against the Middle East peace
conference. But they dare not write what they think."

This kills debate. Would a reporter write the truth about the results
of the Gulf War in a newspaper owned by Norman Schwarzkopf?
Precisely.

*KING KONG FAHD: A fraction of the wealth Fahd and
his ilk has stolen from Islamic treasury which works
out at ($315 Million daily!), according to Robert Lacey's
book - THE KINGDOM page 5), scientific method far
superior to those of the Christians could be used for the
spread of Islam. Even a tiny fraction of what Brother
King Kong spends on gambling, palaces, yachts,
custom made planes, prostitution, perversion, gifts,
horses, could work wonders for Islam. But King KONG
is the enemy within. His greed knows no bounds.
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THE ART OF TORTURE AS PERFECTED BY KING KONG FAHD

"SHAME IN THE HOUSE OF SAUD – CONTEMPT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA"

The sub-heading on this chapter is the title of a report by the Minnesota Lawyers International Human Rights Committee. Unlike most of the C.I.A. funded whitewashing of the bestial human rights violations in ‘Saudi Arabia’, this report presents a truthful, accurate and horrific picture of the ‘abusive Criminal Justice and a Judiciary subservient to the King, slave-like treatment of Foreign Workers’, ‘institutionalised discrimination against Women’, ‘Religious Intolerance and Discrimination’ and a searing appraisal of the Al Saud legal system.

Totalitarian regimes, subjected to the most rigorous and exhaustive investigation and the meanest vilification by the western press, would appear to be angels compared with the Al Saudis. But, as the Al Saudis are the shoe-shine boys of the West, the so called investigative journalists of the Western media, have turned a blind eye to their massive human rights and financial crimes. The report cannot be more timely, appearing as it did shortly after the end of the Cold war, in which the West presented itself as the saviour of democracy, and the Soviet Union and anti-imperialist nations in the Third Worlds as the enemies of democracy.

In contrast to the lying, thieving, scrounging and mendacious public relations outfits of America, who in the face of the most damning evidence of human rights violations in ‘Saudi Arabia’, on a scale that would surely have even embarrassed Hitler and Himmler, the Minnesota Lawyers International Human Rights Committee, charge that Saudi Arabia’s ‘human rights practices have largely evaded international scrutiny’.
We all know why the Al Saud are the servants of American imperialism, stopping at nothing to serve their masters who, in turn, protect them against the wrath of the people of the Muslim world. Without their Zionist, American and British friends, the Al Saud would be eliminated overnight, executed for treachery in a caricature of a trial that they and their supporters would find extremely difficult to criticise, given their own unashamed fascist totalitarian beliefs.

The Soviet Union and its allies have been hysterically and violently criticised for their alleged human rights violations, but at least they were not a perniciously closed society like ‘Saudi Arabia’. In an introduction to their report the Minnesota Committee are unsparring in their castigation. The Minnesota lawyers write:

“Notwithstanding the high profile of Saudi Arabia in the news during 1990-91, there is limited published information about the country’s culture, legal system, and human rights record. Access to the country is quite limited, making research difficult. Prospective foreign visitors to Saudi Arabia may obtain a visa only with the sponsorship of a Saudi citizen or institution. Because of the risks Saudi citizens would run in sponsoring a human rights investigation, the committee did not visit Saudi Arabia during its study.

Many extremely brave Saudi men and women gave evidence of Saudi atrocities to the Commission but their identities had to be kept secret. According to the Minnesota Committee: ‘With rare exceptions, all the interviewees are cited anonymously, when cited at all, for their personal protection.’

Given a choice, who would you like to have a conversation with Fahd of ‘Saudi Arabia’ or the ghost of Adolph Hitler. On the grounds of niceness alone, we believe you would unhesitatingly choose the Fuehrer! We are sorry to have pushed you in such a difficult corner, but given the choice between two of the most disgusting and loathsome criminals of the 20th century, it is reassuring that most people would choose the lesser of two evil men, in this case Adolph Hitler. The summary of the Committee report would appear to confirm the fact that Fahd is the most evil criminal of our times:

“Saudi Arabia maintains security forces which exercise virtually unchecked discretion to arrest and detain Saudi citizens and foreigners. Arbitrary arrest is common and those most affected are foreign workers from developing countries and suspected Shi’a political dissidents. Once arrested, the detainees regularly will be held incommunicado. Beatings and physical and psychological torture are common and widespread. Police and prosecutors routinely use such techniques to coerce confessions out of suspected criminals or political dissidents.
Female domestic servants from developing countries often are obliged to endure truly slave-like conditions. Their employers often do not permit them to leave the house. Many are malnourished, forced to work up to 18 hours per day – everyday – and subject to physical and sexual abuse. Domestic servants who escape abusive employers are detained by the government and may be held in jail up to several months before being deported. Some are obliged to return to their abusive employers.”

Although the House of Al Saud is the only family to be represented at the United Nations with not even the omnipotent Mafia coming anywhere near, they have failed to adopt all the important human rights treaties and conventions in the world. The Al Saud family, the embodiment of the Saudi state – are bound by the U.N. Charter to uphold human rights, but this criminal gangster-state more deadly, destructive and depraved than the Mafia, is openly contemptuous of such commitments. The Al Saud, the Minnesota Committee says, have tried to present themselves as the promoters and defenders of human rights. The Committee records:

Despite considerable economic development during the past 30 years, Saudi Arabia maintains a deplorable human rights situation for its citizens and foreign residents. Saudi Arabia today remains an absolute monarchy with no penal code, no political parties, no freedom of religion, no trade unions and no free press. Political and cultural dissent is harshly repressed. Even the thousands of refugees who fled from neighbouring Iraq during the gulf crisis continue to be detained in desert camps surrounded by barbed wire.”

Saudi Arabia has failed to adopt nearly all of the important human rights treaties and conventions in the world. Through membership in the United Nations, however, Saudi Arabia is bound by the obligations of the United Nations Charter, including a commitment to uphold rights, which are authoritatively defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Even though Saudi Arabia has set itself apart from the majority of nations by its failure to join in the adoption of important human rights instruments, and by its disregard of the rights and guarantees those instruments provide, it has attempted to present itself in many international fora as a promoter and defender of human rights. Its voting record in the General Assembly of the United Nations, its participation in the United Nations Commission on Human Rights, its support of various Islamic declarations on human rights, and its frequent criticism of the human rights of other nations belie its own reprehensible conduct at home – conduct which runs afoul not only of international standards,
but also of Islamic law and its own domestic law (our italics). ‘Saudi Arabia’ depends on the service of a lot of white and black people, that is to say people of African and Asian descent, mainly Muslims, to keep its economy going. But while the whites are idolised, the blacks are treated like scum. Again the Minnesota Lawyers are spot on with their vivid description and penetrating analysis: “Despite the need for an estimated 4 to 5 million foreign workers in Saudi Arabia, their Saudi employers and the government itself routinely subject these workers to serious ill-treatment. Workers from developing nations in Africa and Asia suffer the worst treatment. After arriving in Saudi Arabia, these workers frequently must accept substitute contracts for lower wages and more work, and must relinquish their passports to their employers. The employers also hold the workers’ residency permits, and decide if and when a worker will obtain a visa to leave the country. Many foreign workers also must suffer offensive living conditions and excessively-long working hours, and are afforded little, if any, redress for their grievances by the Saudi Government.”

As for ‘Saudi women’ they are chattels and slaves, despite the many sayings of the Prophet (PBOH) which urges recognition of the dignity and intelligence of Muslim women.

The Al Saud ‘government’, the only privatised state in the world created long before that paragon of privatisation, Thatcher, came on the scene, is a cancerous growth on the body of Islam which requires urgent surgical attention. Even if you are a good Muslim on Saudi soil you suffer indignities, torture, imprisonment, beatings, deportation and possible death. However, if you are part of the American occupying force indulging in sexual orgies every night as revealed by the Pentagon, you are allowed unlimited and unrestricted privileges, strictly for the WASPS (White Anglo Saxon Protestants) including consumption of alcohol, crack and cocaine and cooking and eating of pigs. The Americans, not far away from the holiest places in the Muslim world, Makka and Madina, violated every single law of Islam with the certain assurance that even if they actually defiled the Kaab’aa itself they would enjoy the unqualified support of the Al Saud family who needs a more ringing endorsement of the Western worlds’ well known hatred for Islam than that!

It takes non-Muslims, like the Minnesota Lawyers Committee, to draw attention to the the al Saud family’s atrocities against Muslims. We should not be fighting each other but the imperialist enemy. But the very people who were such gracious hosts to the pig-frying Americans in the so-called Gulf War, persecute Muslims, the sons and daughters of the Prophet (PBOH) himself, for no other crime than that
they are peaceful, law abiding citizens and above all excellent and most virtuous of Muslims.

According to the Minnesota Lawyers’ Report, the Philippine Government is fully aware of the savage ill-treatment of its citizens in the employ of Saudis as domestic servants. A report compiles by the Philippine Embassy in ‘Saudi Arabia’ states:

“It was our experience that female domestic helpers are helpless and subject to abuses because of the culture being practised in the Kingdom. The Kingdom concept of domestic helpers is still that of slave, having no rights whatsoever. They can be given away as presents. They can be maltreated and when they complain they are under the police jurisdiction and immediately land in jail even if they are the complainants........”

The Minnesota Lawyers’ Report states that in addition to complaints of sexual harassment there is ample evidence of sexual abuse of domestic servants. In one recently reported case, a Filipino woman was repatriated after abuse by her employer. The woman stated:

“My employer always punched me in the ears until they became infected and changed form. They also hit me until I bleed with the rope they tie around their head... When they were hitting me with the cord of the flat iron, it hit my left pointed finger which got infected and had to be cut off by a doctor. They also burned my face with a flat iron, including my arms and legs.

“They would pull my hair, they would not feed me until I lost all energy in my body. Even my teeth had fallen off because of the constant beating I got.”

How do the al-Mahadith carry out their torture with the blessings of ‘King’ Fahd, Khadimal Haramain and Sharifain? They confine you to a three by four foot room, you are forced to remain standing, and not allowed to sleep for three consecutive days and nights, you are starved and served humus and water, you are subjected to beatings on the sole of your feet, you are hung upside down and beaten, you are submerged in icy water. This technique is used to open pores of your skin so that various peppers and spices could be inserted. Sometimes prisoners’ heads are submerged in toilets and unspecied drugs administered.

The Minnesota Lawyers shed light on a widely publicised case which ended in the death of Zahra al Nasser. “She was arrested with her husband at Hudaitha checkpoint on the Saudi-Jordanian border on July 15, 1989 (Amnesty International Report 1990). Upon searching al Nasser, police found a picture of the Late Ayatoollah Khomeini and a Shi’a prayer book. She was held at the Hudaitha checkpoint detention centre where she was tortured. Zahra al Nasser died on July 18, 1989, and her tortured body was returned to her family.
Another incident involved a woman who publicly advocated greater rights for women. First her husband was imprisoned and tortured, and then later the woman herself was arrested and tortured. She was forced to remain in a tiny cell and stand for long periods on a broken leg. In addition to receiving beatings on her face, she was given approximately twenty injections of an unknown substance. The woman's cousin was also imprisoned, along with her one-year-old baby. During the incarceration, the child suffered an injury which left it with a permanent mental injury. Similarly the Committee learned of a pregnant woman who miscarried in prison because of her ill-treatment."

According to the Report "Members of the royal family, and other powerful families, are not subject to the same legal constraints as other Saudis." (U.S. Department of State, Country reports on Human Rights practices for 1990.)

The Minnesota Lawyers' Report adds: "Princes and other influential persons, for example, may not be subject to inspection on entering the country. Further, the royal family can use the police and the judiciary to their personal advantage. Because a segment of society can use the law to its personal advantage, 'personalities are much more important than institutions or rule and regulations...' Who one knows in Saudi Arabia is far more important than knowledge of basic legal rights or guarantees.

Likewise, legal remedies are of little value when used against persons of power or position. One person interviewed by the Committee described how his refusal to forego a debt owed to him by a prince led to his imprisonment and torture. In another interview, it was reported that the sons of a certain prince holidaying in Taif abducted girls off the street, raped them, and later dumped them elsewhere on the street. Even though the identity of the aggressors was known, the families of the victims were unable to prosecute because the aggressors were members of the royal family."

Copies of the Minnesota Lawyers Report from which I have quoted in this chapter are available from: Minnesota Lawyers, International Human Rights Committee, 400 Second Avenue South, Suite 1050, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401, U.S.A.
THE DESECRATION OF BAAQEE
BY THE HOUSE OF AL-SAUDA

Baqee, the ‘Garden of Trees’, also known as ‘Jannat-ul-Baquee’ is the burial place of our Prophet’s (PBOH) close relatives and companions, people like the great Uthman b. Madhoon. In this very garden, the Prophet (PBOH) buried his son, Ibrahim, whose death was a bitter blow to him. The Prophet (PBOH) visited his son’s tomb in the small hours of the night and greeted the people of Madina who also used Baquee as their burial ground: “Peace be upon you, O abode of the faithful. God willing, we should soon join you. O, Allah, forgive the inmates of Baquee.”

Nearly 7,000 of the Prophet’s companions are buried at Baquee. Imam Hassan was buried in Baqueee after the Ummayyads decreed that he should not be interred near his grandfather. His mother Fatima (PBOH) was also buried in Baquee. The sacred soil of Baqueen also contains the remains of Imam Zainul Abideen (A.S.), Imam Muhammad Baqir (A.S.) and Imam Ja’fer Sadiq (A.S.)

Other close relatives of the Prophet (PBOH) whose remains were interred in Baquee are his aunt Saffiyya, and Fatima, daughter of Asad [the mother of Imam Ali (A.S.)]. Distinguished Islamic scholars like Imam Malik b. Anas and Nafe’ also came to rest in this most tranquil, beautiful and historically sacred cemetery.

As the years passed Baqueee became a centre of pilgrimage where Muslims gathered to pay homage to the spiritual heroes and heroines of Islam. Among the illustrious names of Baqueen are those of Abdurrehman, son of Umar b. Khattab, Aqeel b. Abi Talib and Abdullah b. Ja’fer Tayyar, Abbas b. Abdul Mutallib. Baquee also contains shrines to the memory of the Prophet’s (PBOH) wives.

Behind the Abbas shrine in Baquee is a house called Baytul Huz, (the House of Sorrow) which was used by the Prophet’s (PBOH) daughter, Fatima, during her long mourning for her father. Another illustrious scholar who was honoured with a Baquee burial is Caliph Uthman. Next to his grave is that of Fatima b. Asad, the mother of Ali b. Abi Talib (A.S.)
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Over the centuries the historic monument of Baqueeq was preserved and protected with the most devoted care. Large sums of money donated by the faithful everywhere were used to renovate the shrines against time and the weather had taken its toll.

With the advent of the Wahabis in the early Christian era, the desecration of Baqueeq had started, because they believed that visiting graves of the illustrious daughters and sons of Islam were un-Islamic, a form of idolatry. They killed and confiscated the property of Muslims who dared disagree with their beliefs.

Their long arms of murder reached across Iraq, Syria and the Red Sea into the Gulf States.

The Muslims over the centuries, have given these graves pride of place. The two illustrious Capilphs Abu Bakr and Umar expressed their deep longing to be buried near the Prophet’s (PBOH) grave.

In the unholy war of 1217 A.H. after much spilling of Muslim blood, a practice the Wahhabis continue to this day, they emerged victorious in Taif. In 1218 A.H. Makkah without any significant resistance was laid to waste including most sacred places, shrines and domes and the canopy over the well of Zam Zam.

In 1221 the rampaging Wahabis in an orgy of destruction, entered Madina and desecrated the Baqueeq and every Mosque that stood in their way. An attempt was even made to demolish the Prophet’s (PBOH) tomb, but probably out of fear, this insane plan was abandoned. Baqueeq was flattened and reduced to rubble. Not a single shrine was left such was the thoroughness of Wahabi anti-Islamic vandalism.

The Saudi King even refused entry to Haj pilgrims from Iraq, Iran, Syria, and Egypt unless they satisfied the pre-condition of becoming Wahabis, otherwise they were branded non-Muslims.

The Al-Sauds did not stop there. They pressured the three black custodians of the Prophet’s (PBOH) Shrine into disclosing the whereabouts of valuable gifts. The Al-Sauds, not for the first time, appropriated these treasures for their own personal use.

Because of the persecution and barbaric acts of vandalism by the Al-Sauds, thousands of Muslims fled Makka and Madina. They asked the Caliphate of the Ottoman Empire to save the sacred shrine of Islam from total decimation by the Al-Sauds. Muhammad Ali Pasha, then attacked Hijaz, dislodging the Al-Sauds, and restoring Law and Order in Makka and Madina. The Muslim world celebrated this victory with great enthusiasm, for the Haj pilgrims can now move without let or hindrance into Makka and Madina and above all the sacred shrines were saved from destruction.

In 1818 A.D. the Ottoman Caliph, Abdul Majid, and his successors,
Abdul Hamid and Mahmood, embarked on a massive programme of reconstruction, restoration and modernisation followed by further renovations of all the sacred places funded mainly from the offerings of the Prophet’s (PBOH) tomb. The Ottoman Empire, thus enhanced the majesty, beauty and splendour of Makka and Madina. Madina alone had 55 Mosques.

Enter the Wahabis in 1924 A.D. with massacres and plunder. People in the streets were savagely put to sword, houses were raided, blood flowed in the streets. Men, women and children were murdered on sight. Aun b. Hashim, one of the Ashraf of Makka says: “Before me was a valley which appeared to have been paved with corpses, with dried blood all round. There was hardly a tree which did not have one or two dead bodies near its roots.”

Madina also fell to the Wahabis in December 1925. They vindictively desecrated all the vestiges of the Islamic heritage. The only shrine which was left untouched was the one belonging to the Prophet of Islam (PBOH). Even then, Ibn Jabhan says: “We know that the tomb standing on the Prophet’s grave is against our principles, and to have his grave in a Mosque is an abominable sin.”

One of the first objects of desecration were the tombs of Hamza. The domes of the Prophet’s mosques were bombarded. Further destruction was only prevented by strong protests by Iranian, Indian and Egyptian Muslims. Delegations from Iran and India discussed the demolition of the sacred shrines of Islam with Ibn Saud who promised restoration. But so far nothing has been done. It was all a lie.

In 1925, Ibn Saud, made a sacred pledge to convene a conference to put Hijaz under Muslims internationally. When Madina fell, his pledges fell with it. At a later conference, International Muslim rule of the Holy places was not even discussed. On the agenda, however, were the problems faced by Muslim pilgrims during Haj.

In Makka, one of the most revered graveyards was destroyed, together with the House which was the birth place of our Prophet (PBOH). This savage desecration began on the 8th of Shawwal 1344 Hijra, a very sad day indeed for the entire Muslim World.

The role of India, in this matter is of vital importance. The enormous crimes of Ibn Saud were condemned all over India, with meeting all over the sub-continent demanding the restoration of the sacred shrines of Islam. A group, Riza Kare Jannatul Baquee, was formed to pressurise the Saudis into restoring the sacred shrines and to enable the Muslim faithful to practise their faith freely in the Holy Land.

The following statements encapsulates the crimes of the Wahabis in Hijaz:
The domes of the tombs before demolition

The graves of the four Imams (a.s.)

فِي حُبِّ الأئمَّةِ الأَرَبَعَةِ (ع) مَعَ الْعَبَاسِ عَمَّ النَّبِيّ (ص)
A view of Baqie'
(a) The destruction and desecration of the holy places, e.g. the birth place of the Prophet (SAW), the graves of Banu Hashim in Makka and the Jannatul Baqee, the refusal of Wahabis to allow Muslims to recite Suratul Fateha at those graves.

(b) Destruction of the places of worship; e.g. Musjeed Hamza, Musjeed Abu Rasheed and other tombs of Aimmah and Sahabah.

(c) Interference with the Hajees in their permoformance of all the Haj rituals.

(d) Forcing Muslims to give up the guidance and teachings of their Imams to follow a brand of Wahabism unknown in to the Islamic faith.

(e) The total destruction of Aimmah's grave causing distress to other deeply religious Muslims.

Iran, Iraq, Egypt, Indonesia and Turkey joined the protests in indicting the Al-Sauds for their abominable bestiality. Scholars of other Islamic schools of thought registered their protests and accused the Al-Sauds of an unholy alliance with Zionists in a systematic annihilation of the last vestiges of our Muslim inheritance. The object was to deny Muslims any contact with their historical, spiritual and cultural roots.

Even to this day the Al-Sauds are still busy desecrating, destroying and eliminating Islamic monuments and works of of Islamic art based on religious inspiration which Islamic artists have painstakingly created over many years. The Al-Sauds are substituting Islamic works of art with American concrete jungles. If Al-Sauds continue defiling the Holy places, Makka and Madina will have lost its Islamic character and will resemble any old American town. The Al-Sauds spend millions preserving and protecting the personal possessions of 'King' Abdul Aziz, even his toilet bowl and combs, but desecrates the sacred monuments of Islam. Baqee is still in ruin, apart from a few stones, a sad reminder of a once great Islamic monument.
ANOTHER CANDIDATE FOR FAHD'S ANTI-ISLAMIC VANDALISM: This pillar situated in the Southern side of Makka also contains an inscription dated 167 Hijri by Al Mahdi, the third Caliph of the Abbasite state. The Saudis are pulling down the Birth Place of the Prophet (PBOH). They have converted the House of Khatija where Fatima Zahra was born into a public toilet. The Fahd led destruction of Islamic heritage continues without regret or remorse. Simultaneously with the unparalleled act of vandalism we have the obscene and repugnant spectacle of glorifying their own history and tacky heritage. The Fahds spent millions reverentially maintaining Al Musamack castle and Hakkam castle. They even preserve the doors, windows, eye glasses and pen used by King Abdul Aziz, whilst the house containing the marble stone where the Prophet's mother, Amena bint Wahb used to relax and rest has been destroyed, although its foundation stone is still intact. The house has become derelict and a refuge for stray dogs. The Mayor of Makka, Abbas Kattan, during the days of King Abdul Aziz sought permission to build a school on the remains of Fatima's birthplace. This was destroyed in 1991.
ON FAHD’S ANTI-ISLAMIC HIT LIST: The historic pillar with inscriptions dated 167 Hijri by the Abbasite Caliph, Al Mahdi 158 - 168 Hijri. When the Saudi forces occupied Makka in 1924, they vandalised graves in the cemetery of Al Muallah including those of Khatija, Ali Twaleb Abdul Mutallib. They destroyed the House of Khatija, the House of Abu Bakr al Siddiq, the Houses of Bani Hashem’s family, houses of the Prophet (PBOH) and his companions, and the house of Fatima Zahra. Mosques such as Al Zanya, Al Manarastain, Al Maida, Al Hamza, Al Ijaba were all destroyed. The Saudis burnt the most popular library in Makka. They would have destroyed the grave of the Prophet (PBOH) and the dome on top of his grave, had it not been for angry and powerful protests from all over the Islamic world.
THE GOVERNOR OF RIYADH WITH BRITISH ROYALTY: Salman Bin Sultan bin Abdul Aziz, brother of King Khalid Fahd, seen here at the opening of an exhibition, Riyadh yesterday, Riyadh today glorifying some members of the 'the Saudi Royal' family, and portraying them not as the thieves of Riyadh they are, but saviours of the Holy Land of Islam. This exhibition cost over £50 million pounds. Similar exhibitions were held in Belgium, Paris, Egypt and America. The total cost was in the region of £400 million, at a time when starvation was rampant in Sudan, Ethiopia and Somalia. A former British ambassador to the Holy Land, who was extremely close to Salman found him nasty, brutish and sub-human. The ambassador said Salman enjoyed nothing more than the sight of blood, the screams of the tortured and the damned, and the murders of those patriots determined to free their country from anti-Islamic Saudi dictatorship. Salman instructed the ferociously bloodthirsty Saudi Secret Service to inform him of 'star tortures', so that he could get a 'ring side seat'. The Governor it is reported, behaved as if he were at a hopelessly one-sided bull fight. He screamed with delight as the electric prods roughed up the genitals of the victim, at the same time as the victims screamed in agony. Even the Western diplomats who are such grovelling admirers of the Al Sauds refer privately to Salman as the Vampire of Riyadh.
KING KONG FAHD: Who is he praying to?
FRAUDULENT FAHD: This King of anti-Islamic clowns has the gall to claim that he is the guardian of all three Holy Shrines, Makka, Madina, and Al Quds, despite the fact that Al Quds is maintained by the House of Hashem. We need to remind ourselves that the shrine is in Zionist-occupied territory and that Fahd left to himself would cheerfully have abandoned the Shrine to the mercy of the Zionists. So who in your view is the real guardian or protector of our Holy Shrines?
THE NEW WORLD ORDER

The old order was based on Western white supremacy. The so-called New World Order is also based on the same crude principle. The difference between the old order and the new order is that the West in the old order knew its place because of the formidable presence of the USSR.

That concentrated the minds of the West wonderfully for it had to proceed with some caution in the Third World, particularly the Middle East. In the 70s when the United States, under the crooked Richard Milhaus Nixon, began to flex its muscles over the Lebanon and Syria, with which the Soviet Union was on close terms, President Brezhnev bluntly told Nixon that if he wanted war he would get it. The USSR warned that it was ready to go to war with the United States to defend the integrity of Syria. Even Nixon, a brutal mass-murderer who could claim equality with Hitler on the genocide scale, was taken aback when he learned through a panic-stricken CIA that Soviet troops were on the alert to take on American imperialism in the Middle East and to protect the sovereignty of Syria.

Nixon later admitted that Brezhnev was uncompromising. According to Nixon, Brezhnev told him: "If you want war we will give it to you." Of course, Nixon like all racist thugs, confronted by constructive violence, very quickly saw reason and pulled back from the brink.

Two years ago the Iraqi government of Saddam Hussein had a treaty of friendship with the USSR, and that treaty made it abundantly clear that in the event of an unprovoked militaristic aggression from an imperialist power, the USSR would go to the aid of the Republic of Iraq. But, unfortunately for Saddam, the Soviet Union was then under the control of the pro-Western imperialist traitor, Mihail Gorbachev, who refused to honour his obligations.

How could he? Gorbachev was an enemy of socialism with its idealistic view of the brotherhood of man in accordance with whose lofty principles, the Soviet Union had, with manly obstinacy and a most efficient and well armed and intelligently led military machine, supported the freedom and independence of many of the newly
emancipated countries of Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. Not only did Gorbachev back the American diktat, disguised as a United Nations ultimatum and based on blackmail and threats, but his foreign minister, Edward Shevardnadze, actually gave an undertaking to the American Foreign Secretary, Baker, that Soviet troops would join imperialist troops in their proposed genocide of Arab babies and children in Iraq.

What a far cry from the Brezhnev era, an era inspired by the founding fathers of the Socialist state, who had sworn to frustrate, defeat and ultimately annihilate Western imperialism which continues to this day to suck the blood of the peoples of the Third World.

At that time there still were people in powerful positions in the Soviet Union, who despite the machinations and scheming of Gorbachev and his side kick Shevardnadze, who still believed in the anti-imperialist principle. These men and women of courage and decency objected strongly to the despatch of Soviet troops in support of an imperialist war against a Third World country, and told Gorbachev firmly that he would have to go if he supported his treacherous foreign minister.

Little did these Soviet deputies know that Gorbachev was in league with Shevardnadze, or else they would have sent him packing too. Gorbachev bided his time and admitted defeat. With typical cowardice and treachery, he repudiated his foreign minister. But he still had many duplicitous aces up his sleeve.

The rot set in when Gorbachev first undermined and betrayed the USSR. The irony was that the United States, the leader of the so-called 'Free World', together with its allies had spent billions of dollars in an effort to destroy the Soviet Union through sabotage and other measures.

But along came Gorbachev and carried out their wishes for free. But was it really for free or was Gorbachev an agent of the C.I.A.? That is a matter we will have to leave for another occasion, but in the meanwhile let us consider the consequences of his 'historic betrayal' of socialism.

First, the Americans got a free hand to smash Iraq to smithereens. They bombed that home of civilisation into the stone ages, killing and maiming hundreds of thousands of defenceless men, women and children and reducing proud and ancient cities like Baghdad and Basra to rubble. The Westerners fought their usual cowardly war against Arabs, slaughtering fleeing Iraqi soldiers who clearly indicated surrender.

The Vietnam war with its napalms and its carpet bombing was insane enough. But the destruction of Iraq was an even greater act of criminal barbarity of which only the white Western world, with its expertise in
genocide is the undisputed master. Let’s remember the war was fought under the United Nations’ banner but what did that mean? It was no secret that it was the United States and her slavish ally, the United Kingdom, that have now taken over the United Nations. So what do we have? The former imperialist power, Britain, which had enslaved most of the brown and black world, and a junior partner to U.S. imperialism, France, which plundered and destroyed West Africa and Algeria who are now the bosses of the Security Council. They have the powers of Veto, excluding all Third World countries.

Let us turn to a very famous American dissident who will probably be bumped off by the F.B.I. or the C.I.A. for his fearless and well documented exposures of American imperialist savagery in Latin America, Africa and Asia. Professor Noam Chomsky, in his masterly book DETERRING DEMOCRACY, says that the US is a declining economic power but is militarily supreme.

It is the sole Superpower, thanks to Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Shevardnadze. Chomsky cites the Gulf War as an example of American contempt for diplomacy and international law. The US, he says, was actually worried that in the uncertain days leading to the savage bombing of Baghdad and Basra and the decimation of innocent civilians, mainly women and children, someone would actually come up with a peaceful solution. Chomsky writes: “Despite the very hazardous possible consequences of military conflict, the virtually instinctive US government reaction was to direct the confrontation to the arena of force, undercutting possible diplomatic opportunities, even expressing deep concern that others might be tempted to seek to ‘defuse the crisis’ by diplomatic means, achieving the goals sought generally by the international community but without a decisive demonstration of the effectiveness of US military power and resolve.”

The Americans, who have always been crudely blunt, inhibited only in their dealings with the USSR in the knowledge that if they took Moscow (that is not in the duplicitous Gorbachev era but in the more honourable era of Brezhnev and Kruschev), the Soviet Union would have taken New York in addition to a few more over-populated American cities. The U.S. used Iraq to reinforce the Satanic message that henceforth Uncle Sam was boss, and that anybody who stepped out of line would get similar treatment.

Saddam Hussein, in the U.S. view, was a menace. The question arises as to who supported Saddam Hussein against the late Ayatoollah Roohoollah Khomeini? Who armed Saddam Hussein to the teeth? What was April Gillespie, the U.S. Ambassador doing with Saddam on the eve of the Iraqi invasion? U.S. policy has been to keep Israel
stronger than any Arab country, either individually or collectively. Suddenly Saddam became a ‘Frankenstein’ the USA had created but could not control. Therefore he had to be destroyed. The pattern had to be completed. The Shah of Iran, Noriega, Marcos, Duvalier and now Saddam – they all had to be destroyed.

If he got away with the act of incorporating Kuwait, historically an integral part of Iraq, he would be in control of Arab oil, something that the Americans insolently rejected as not belonging to the Arabs.

It was, they insisted, also their property, and with the Soviet Union now reduced to impotence, no one could challenge the U.S. on that thieving score. Similarly, they argue that the Panama Canal is an American canal which happens to be in Panama.

Diego Garcia, whose inhabitants have been expelled to Mauritius, are now living in tenements and in abject poverty in Mauritius without any form of reparation because Americans have built a base on that island. Uncle Sam got that island cheap – very cheap indeed. Not a single penny was paid to the Diego Garcians thrown out of their own country. Contrast the U.S. and Western attitude to Diego Garcia and Kuwait. International pressure should be brought to bear on the United States to dismantle its base in Diego Garcia, and the island returned to its rightful inhabitants without any let or hindrance.

Saddam was dangerous because he had promised, as many other Arab leaders have done, to finish off the Zionist state, thus fatally endangering the hegemony of the US in the region, for is not Israel the principal Western destabilising instrument in the Middle East. Why was it founded in the first place? Many naively believe it was a Jewish haven from the lunacy of European fascism with its sick promise of a Final Solution.

But the Zionist founders of Israel, agents of imperialism and enemies of their own people, allowed themselves to be used in a senseless war against the Arabs, not to provide an asylum for the persecuted of Nazi and currently fascist Europe, but to safeguard the interests of Western imperialism in which the Zionists also had a mighty stake as bankers and money lenders. The Zionist states’ other function was to protect the Suez Canal, stolen by the French and the British, and to frustrate the anti-British imperialist ambitions of patriotic elements in Egypt, Jordan and even Cyprus.

Karl Marx, himself a pure racial Jew, was contemptuous of Jewish capitalism, largely because it ignored the interests of the poorer Jews, sacrificing them on the altar of imperialist profit from which Zionist capitalists enjoyed huge returns despite the paucity of their numbers. Their massive share, some would say a disproportionately massive
share, was of course primarily due to their unrivalled financial genius. The Aryans bitterly resented this but to destroy them, as many foolishly sought to do, would in effect be killing the goose that lays the golden eggs.

Why is it that the Jewish moneylenders, while fanatically singing the praises of the Zionist state, remain permanent citizens of the vampire money markets of New York, London and Frankfurt?

Why has there been such a close relationship between Nazi South Africa and the Zionist State? Why were the Israeli soldiers co-operating with South Africa in counter insurgency operations? Why has Israel become the third largest diamond polishing industry in the world? It is the diamond mines of South Africa that provide the raw materials. The Black people of Mother Africa, over-exploited, under-nourished and under-paid do not exist as far as Oppenheimer and De Beers are concerned.

But let us return to the treachery of Yeltsin, Gorbachev and Shevardnadze in the context of undisputed American military and political superiority, nay at the moment, invincibility, Russia is now to become a part of NATO – imagine that, a member of NATO, a military bloc ostensibly set up to smash Soviet socialism, but in actual practice used to strengthen Western neo-imperialism, especially in Africa. It is a well known fact that NATO, too scared of taking on the Soviet union, consistently intervened on behalf of the Portuguese, Belgian, Rhodesian and South African fascists to keep the black peoples of Southern Africa in permanent slavery.

When Ian Smith of Rhodesia, like Hitler, said that white fascism in 'Rhodesia' would last a thousand years he said so with the full backing of NATO which gave him and his fellow white Nazis, Vorster of South Africa, and Salazar of Portugal, all the military and financial help they needed in their filthy wars of genocide against the peoples of Africa. South Africa, of course, was blessed with plenty of gold, diamond and uranium.

It is worth recalling that the House of Al Saud with its secretive association with Moshe Dayan and his fellow Zionists not only broke the oil and weapons boycott against the apartheid state, but actually maintained normal trading relations with Vorster despite backing a U.N. authorised boycott.

Now NATO with the support of Yeltsin's Russia, Pavel's Czechoslovakia and Walesa's Poland has helped to turn the former USSR's most powerful nuclear weaponry on the Islamic World, some parts of which are now only a small step away from becoming nuclear powers themselves, though on a relatively small in scale. But even this is enough
to fill the hearts of Western leaders, with anxiety and fear.

America may be militarily supreme but she is an economic inferior to Japan which continues to knock the stuffings out of the US in free and fair market conditions.

But that doesn’t worry Uncle Sam too much for the Gulf war was primarily financed by the Saudis and Kuwaitis. The Germans contributed derisory sums under duress. The Japanese, to their credit, said they did not want to know, although they were blackmailed into parting with a few billions for George Bush’s cowardly and insane war against a small Arab nation.

The Arab traitors like Fahd of the House of Al Saud and the Emir of the House of Al Sabah who were reputed to have coughed up something in the region of 50bn dollars directly or indirectly to the USA and the other bloodsucking vampire, Britain, are not going to escape the American financial trap. They will have to set aside a special multi-billion dollar fund to supply the cash for future wars, mostly, against the Third World but specifically against proud and patriotic Arab and other Muslim nations which rightly feel that Arabian and Islamic territory belong to the Arab and Islamic peoples and that foreigners have no business to plunder the huge wealth that Allah Soobhanahoo wa Tallah, has conferred on our peoples for the social, moral and religious upliftment of our peoples not for the enrichment of the infidels of British and American imperialism.

The American blackmailers will be making persistent demands on Arabs oil states, for as Chomsky points out, "the public welfare is to be identified with the welfare of Western industrial powers, and particularly their domestic elites.''

So the stage is set for the return of the days when the white man was boss. Let’s not forget that American white power has always been used either directly or indirectly against Arabs, Africans, Asians and the indigenous peoples of Latin America.

The slaughter of the children and women of the Intifada, the prolonged internment of young Palestinian blood by foreigners on Palestinian land, the continuing massacre by Zionist occupation troops of Palestinian Arab babies and children, the Korean slaughter, the holocaust of the children of Soweto, Sharpeville and the Western Cape districts, the mass murder of the Vietnamese people, the liquidation of Lumumba, and the unlawful bombing of Libya and the death squads of Latin America paid for and supervised by the American government through its C.I.A., and the conversion of Iraq into the world’s biggest graveyard in 1989 and the murder and rape in Sarajevo tell their own wretched story.
THE MAKHTOUMS OF THE BRITISH TURF
– THE DUSKY SLAVES OF DUBAI

The Queen of England is the tenth richest woman in the world. She pays no taxes either on her enormous investments nor on her huge salary. According to Philip Beresford, an authority on Royal finances, she is worth £7,000 million as Head of State and £1,000 million personally. But the Queen has a restrained and modest lifestyle. Now something like 84% of the British people, mainly Tory supporters, are demanding that the Queen pay up. But however austere the Queen of England may be, she is a highly intelligent woman who chooses her guests with discernment, that is to say they must have some political or financial value, not to herself personally, but to her country. She may not like some of the distasteful personal habits of Sheikh Zayed, whose toilet habits and lack of hygiene is said to be the subject of scabrous jokes in Buckingham Palace circles, but she is a born diplomat who puts the interests of her country first. The interests of her country, ‘the sick man of Europe’, demands that she be friendly with the ‘Wogs of the Desert,’ as one newspaper describes the rulers of the obscenely wealthy oil states. Occasionally, the Queen is even called on to make the painful sacrifice of playing host to these Oriental Sheikhs. Thus Sheikh Zayed for no other reason than that he is the ruler of one of the richest states in the world was invited to stay at Buckingham Palace. His country is an open market for British goods.

Sheikh Zayed it is said is regarded in Palace circles as a boor, a clown and a man with a low IQ and even a lower standard of hygiene. Very reluctantly, Zayed was invited to stay over at the Palace which went out of its way to provide ‘halal’ food for him and his entourage.

But the Queen put her foot down where the Makhtoums were concerned. The Queen did not invite any member of the grovelling Makhtoums to her box at Ascot. They cannot mix with British Royalty. They would be out of place, like fish out of water. To earn the Queen’s favour Hamdan Al Makhtoum paid £1.25 million for her mare called the ‘height of fashion’ but the Palace obviously felt that the Makhtoums of the British racing turf had very far to go before they could catch up with the table manners and toilet habits of Royalty.
In case you are not familiar with the Makhtoums they are not just the rulers of the British race courses, they have another role, though you would be forgiven for believing that their sole role in life was to pour lorryloads of devalued pounds into the dung-covered stables and race courses of Britain. They are, in fact, the rulers of Dubai, but it is said that they have more time for their horses than they have for their own people, let alone Sarajevo Muslims, or the starving Muslims of Sudan, Ethiopia, or Somalia. They prefer horses to Islam, but they use Islamic names.

In Britain, the Makhtoums own the cream of the stables, a total of 800 horses said to be worth over £1,000,000,000. Some powerful Britons are deliriously delighted that the Makhtoums own 10% of all the horses being trained. They have good reason to be, for the Makhtoum know very little about horses. They depend for their expertise, from maintaining the stables to buying thoroughbreds, choice of jockeys etc. on an all-white team.

Naturally, the all-white team know how to milk the gullible Makhtoums, who incidentally worship the white skin, especially the English lower-middle and working class skin. The reason why they are so enthusiastic about the upper, aristocratic white skin is that they cannot get anywhere near such types. The upper classes regard the Makhtoums, and indeed some of the ruling Arab Sheikhs as the 'scum of the Earth, filthy, dirty, thieving and un-hygienic.' Edward Said, a brilliant Palestinian Arab academic shed ample light on this fact in his book called 'Orientalism'. But deep down they have tremendous respect for Palestinians, Iraqis, Algerians, Libyans, and other Arabs – those true Muslim Arabs who do not crawl and beg for acceptance and integration.

So it is not surprising that their worship of the Europeans has cost the Makhtoums so dearly, they just cannot check the activities of their white employees. The Makhtoums have given the Arab Muslim world a very bad name. They should not be called Muhammad, or Hamdan. They should really be called Arthur or Marthur al Makhtoum. What's in a name!

The Makhtoums are greatly enamoured of their white staff, and obsequiously respectful to the lowest of the low, for that's what most whites in the racing fraternity are, despite their pretentious airs.

Imagine loading beautiful animals like horses with weights and forcing them to run at full speed, and shooting them in cold blood when they suffer injury. There should be severe punishment for those thriving on the sufferings and weaknesses of animals. Horse racing, fox hunting, bird shooting, dog fights, dog racing, bull fighting and cock fighting should have been abolished years ago. Civilised society should have
ADMINISTERING JUSTICE: Sheikh Muhammad, Dubai's Minister of Defence, a grand-standing title which actually translates into Minister of Repression Of His Own People, sits in state 'administering justice' and 'hearing petitions' from some of his 350,000 citizens. Such meetings are held twice daily at the Zaabit Palace, that is to say when the Minister of Repression is not on indefinite leave visiting his Augean Stables in Britain, genuflecting in the direction of the British lower middle class, the only people who will fraternise with him on equal social terms, and sleeping with £10,000 a night Aryan blonde prostitutes.

ENEMIES OF WILD LIFE AND THE FALCON: Minister of Defence, Sheikh Muhammad Maktoum with an expensively purchased Falcon. Men like this have a weird fascination for this bird which is taught a variety of tricks with the most cruel methods. Sheikh demands for the rare and priceless bird are so intense that European businessman go to extreme lengths to capture these birds even in protected areas. It is believed that the insatiable demands of the Sheikhs for the falcon will lead to its extinction.
nothing to do with blood sport. The English love of animals is rather perverse. Their silly habits are now being acquired by the Al Makhtoums.

The Makhtoums are so racist, a disease passed on to them by their white employees, that they have agreed not to provide employment for a single Asian or West Indian, no matter how menial the task in their racing activities. If you are a Muslim defence minister, you cannot be a racist. There is no racism in Islam.

But then there are other whites, poorer race horse-owners, who simply cannot compete with the Makhtoums. That is why their hatred of the Makhtoums is so great. In newspaper interviews they never cease to disparage and insult the Dubai rulers of their courses.

But now, the Makhtoums who claim to be businessmen, but are really what Americans call 'suckers' are beginning to develop a bit of shrewd horse-sense. They who saved the British racing industry, virtually single-handed, when the so-called white British patriots turned their backs on an industry described as Britain's fourth largest, are now beginning to have second thoughts.

There are reports that the Makhtoums who realise they have been taken for a mighty ride are about to depart for the greener pastures of France, Ireland and America where they already have very substantial racing interests. The family spokesman, Mana Al Makhtoum, told the press in August, 1992: "At the end of the day racing is a business and we cannot keep on running at a loss."

It must have been a very long day indeed for the Makhtoums, to be precise over 10 years, before they realised their monumental blunder. Even a simple fruit-stall holder will tell you that the sole object of a business is to make money, that is if you invest say £50 you should count a profit of at least 10% after all deductions, giving you a surplus of £5.

The Makhtoum, however, blindly poured, with the generous and calculating and cunning advice of their European masters, over £1000,000,000. Their return on this massive capital extorted from the Dubai Treasury, was a paltry £2m in prize money.

The truth is that the Makhtoums did not enter British racing to make money. If they had they would have pulled out a long time ago because of the punitive VAT and other charges in this country. They are social climbers who fell for racing because of its glamorous associations with the Royal Family and what is left of the English aristocracy. Racing in this country, is nothing more than a squalid gambling exercise, less honourable than the card-sharp activities of poker players in the dingy, smoke-filled basements of some of the slums of London, but it is endowed with the rather grand title of 'the sport of kings.' In Islam
there is no king, nor prince, nor sheikh. Our Prophet (PBOH) was
neither a king, nor a sheikh. He did not own horses for racing purposes
either.

No part of the gambling industry, not even the crooked casinos of
Britain and Europe, is so riddled with crimes than British horse racing.
It is full of confidence tricksters, crooked bookmakers, fixers, dope
artists and work-shy hooligans with a public school background. There
are also hired assassins, for ever alert on the fringes in case their services
are required to put away a rebellious jockey, trainer or owner, who
either won't cough up to the extortionate racketeers, or are simply
unable to do so. Sometimes the victims are jockeys, trainers or owners
who refuse to go for 'fixing' - that is arranging for an outcome desired
by some elements with a lot of money to lose, should the result be fair
and just.

The Makhtoums of course did not go in for this sort of thing. They
played by the rules, primarily because they believed that such honour-
able behaviour would win them the friendship of the Royals and other
socially influential people in this country. They even tried to ingratiate
themselves with the Queen by paying a grossly over-priced £1,000,000
for one of her mares. It is believed that on the open market, that
particular mare would not have fetched even half that sum let alone find
a buyer in the present wretched economic climate. The Makhtoums
were more British than the British themselves, their only regret being
that their faces were not as pale as that of the white upper classes and
for whom they have such slavish admiration. Enthused one of the
Makhtoum brood: "We love British racing, it is among the best in the
world." For their part the British could have said:

"We love our slaves, the Makhtoums. They have got more money than
brains and they kiss our backsides. We really don't want them over here,
but they're good for business." Some of the British are of course noted
for their hypocrisy and diplomacy, backed by lies, treachery and deceit.
They whisper such sentiments in the privacy of their homes, their
palaces and their clubs, or when at a fire side chat in their so-called
white, male-dominated clubs.

Permeated by this spirit, the highly sensible and duplicitous British
aristocrats kept the Makhtoums at arm's length. The British aristocratic
cult never rubs shoulders with the British working classes. They despise
the working classes. In their eyes the Makhtoums are no better than the
English plebeians for their 'lack of breeding.'

The Royal Family also treated the Makhtoums like social pariahs.
And their supreme insult was the banning of the Makhtoums from the
Royal Box at Ascot in 1992.
HORSE SENSE: After spending over a billion, the Maktoums are delighted to win a £5,000 prize for this 1989 Derby victory with the horse costing close to £1,000,000,000. The triumphant jockey is greeted by the bowler-hatted Sheikh Hamdan.
THE MAKHTOUMS OF THE BRITISH TURF
– THE DUSKY SLAVES OF DUBAI

It is believed that the Queen gave strict instructions that the Makhtoums should not be allowed to defile the Royal Enclosure with their presence. In fact they should not be seen anywhere near the Royal box.

The Makhtoums had high hopes of becoming a part of the Royal family cocktail and dining circuit but they were bitterly disappointed. There were even hopes that with greater familiarity some of the members of the Makhtoum family would find brides among the British Royals. But Buckingham Palace, Windsor and Sandringham drew up their bridges. The Makhtoums did not even qualify for the door used by ‘tradesmen, servants’ and other lowly orders.

There is another interesting twist to the British Royal boycott of the Makhtoum, which for the Makhtoums was most galling and humiliating. Their ‘brother’ Arab Sheikh Zyed bin Sultan al Nahyan also put the boot in. True, the Royals regarded the Makhtoums as ‘vulgar and common’, but they also had to listen to the advice of the even more powerful Sheikh Zyed, the President of the United Arab Emirates, another worshipper of white skin, who just gave away $500,000,000 to David Mellor, the outgoing Minister of Fun, for purposes not related to toe-sucking. Zyed’s stock in the world of sheikhs, which believes that the British Royal Family is the highest summit of man’s spiritual and temporal power, and which also has an exaggerated sense of the importance of the pale face, would have fallen had the Queen bestowed any honour on the Makhtoums, that is to say by inviting them to stay at Buckingham Place.

Sheikh Zyed let it be known through his advisers that he would be extremely displeased, code for ‘we will not spend billions from our treasuries on British goods, especially armaments nor would we prop up the dying pound’ if the Makhtoums were ever invited to the Palace. The Foreign Office took the warning seriously, hence the Makhtoums, despite their prodigious services to the terminally ill British Exchequer, being out in the cold where the Royal Family is concerned.

That of course is a trivial matter when weighed against the famines and poverty which daily claim the lives of thousands of Muslim children the world over. But one must not expect the Makhtoums to think of such people. However the fate of the Makhtoums will be soon decided by the revolutionary, patriotic and God-fearing Muslims.
PLAYING THE WHITE MAN: Deeply conscious of their 'inferior brown skin', the Makhtoums, it is reported, are about to ape the former black man, Michael Jackson, who in a series of plastic surgery operations costing several million pounds, now looks almost white. The Makhtoums, too, want to be white and have consulted with Jackson on the kind of experts they should go to, in order to turn white so as to be more acceptable to some of the stupidly colour-race-conscious Europeans. In the first step to gain acceptance by the whites, the Makhtoums have announced that henceforth they will no longer wear dignified and beautiful Arab robes, but will wear monkey suits and 'sinner jackets.' In their bid to become mongrelised anglophile or plastic Englishman, they now wear tweeds or topper and cravat. Here they are looking every bit the 'white men' they are hoping they will be after Michael-Jackson style plastic surgery. They have not been discouraged by the fact that Jacko's artificial white face is said to be disintegrating.
MUHAMMAD MAKHTOUM: Big money and a tiny brain.

HAMDAN: Banned from Royal Box at Ascot.

ANOTHER MAKHTOUM: Too little too late.
LIVES AND CRIMES OF

THE AL NAHYANS

JANNATI KAUN?
JANNATI KAUN?
THE 3-YEAR OLD BOY JOCKEYS MURDERED BY ABU DHABI SHEIKHS

They are aged between 3 and 10. They are supposed to be camel ‘jockeys’, but the qualifications demanded of them have nothing to do with their ability to ride camels. Never has the word ‘jockey’ been so wantonly misused.

The prime qualification demanded by the boys’ Abu Dhabi employers is that they be completely ignorant about camels. In other words they should never have set eyes on a camel. That’s not difficult considering that all the child jockeys come from India, Pakistan and Bangladesh, where camels are rarely seen. The boys must also be slim, and that’s not a tall order considering that they are from the semi-starving poor of the Indian sub-continent. All of them are underweight for their age, which of course makes them ideal. The boys must also be able to let out a piercing shriek, which is not difficult either, when they are perilously ‘saddled’ on a camel or tied to its tail, as it races at full speed.

The boys are also ideal because even though they are Muslim, they are ‘miskin’, in the eyes of the Abu Dhabi purchasers of an inferior race. Because Arab life is considered precious, no Arab child is subjected to such abuse.

The Delhi correspondent of the British newspaper, The Independent, Tim McGirk reported on the ‘jockey’ racket in his paper. In the June 27 issue of The Independent, McGirk described some of the qualifications needed by camel ‘jockeys’: “The right timbre of shriek, some Gulf Arabs have learnt, is made by a boy preferably aged between 3 and 10, tied to the saddle of a camel. It helps if the boy has never seen a camel in his life before his first and sometimes last, race across the desert.”

McGirk sardonically adds: “There are drawbacks for the owners of racing camels. Finding an Arab child in the Gulf states who has never laid eyes on a camel is rare; finding parents willing to submit their son to this barbaric practice is even more difficult. Few camels are willing to tolerate a screaming boy clinging to their hump. Ropes which bind the
child to the saddle can work loose, and he can be catapulted off, or
dragged between the camel's legs over stones and sand."

The Indian government has been forced to act against the men behind
a racket in which Indian and Bangladeshi children are sold to Abu
Dhabi Sheikhs and other wealthy Arabs from the UAE, who wager
huge fortunes on races. There have been massive protests in Delhi, with
marchers being deflected by police from the object of their wrath, the
embassy of the United Arab Emirates.

The exposure by Indian investigative journalists detailing the full
horrors of these crimes against innocent little children, often sold by
poverty-stricken parents unaware of the fate that awaits their offspring,
has also angered Indian public opinion. The daily newspaper, the Indian
Express, denounced the brutality of the Arabs: "The perversions born
out of wealth know no moral barriers .... The manner in which the oil-
rich citizens of the Sheikhdoms behave within their own countries and
outside has demonstrated this time and again."

Such criticism is pretty mild considering the true nature of the ruling
class of Abu Dhabi's beasts in human form. Normally, some Pakistanis,
in the interests of Muslim solidarity, try to hush up such matters, but
in this instance their press also turned its wrath on the perverted Sheikhs.
The ghastly details of the treatment of the "jockeys" was reported more
meticulously and with greater indignation than in the Indian media. The
newspapers which described camel racing as a 'barbaric sport', revealed
that young children are placed without much support on the camel's
back or left on the ground tied to the camel's tail. The children some
as young as 3 and the oldest about 10, are then whipped with an insane
fury. Their howls of agony unbalance the camel which gallops at furious
speed to the finishing line dragging what looks like a bundle of rags with
it. The bundle of rags is often a mutilated child's corpse.

The beasts with the whips are the Sheikhs of Abu Dhabi, owners of
the camels who take particular care not to harm their beloved camels
in any way. Most of the children are killed or seriously injured, some
are trampled to death by other panic stricken camels.

More than 200 Pakistani children are said to have been abducted by
the ruling class of Abu Dhabi and their agents over a period of a few
months, 'star jockeys' for the grandiosely named 'UAE Winter Camel
Races'.

The Pakistani press, outraged by the murder and mutilation of infants
from its country, is demanding that its government end this 'horrific'
sport and bring back the child 'jockeys'. Pakistani papers have also
demanded that all those involved in the jockey racket must be made to
pay for their crimes. But the real criminals, the Sheikhs of Abu Dhabi,
© THE LUCKY ONES: These boys, aged between 3 and 10, have been spared a horrendous fate. They were about to be flown from India to the UAE, whose President is Sheikh Zayed bin Sultan Al Nahyan. This would result in almost certain death as 'camel jockeys', but were spotted by immigration officials who rescued them. The victims were innocent Bangladeshis.
who derive an animal-like pleasure from the sight of screaming children being dragged to their deaths by galloping camels, or crushed to death by a camel stampede, and make millions out of such ‘races’, will no doubt escape the gallows. This is the just form of punishment for all the beasts involved in an activity no less heinous than that of the hated Savak under the Shah of Iran.
SHEIKH ZYED’S BCCI – BANK OF CROOKS AND CRIMINALS INTERNATIONAL

The Bank of Credit and Commerce International, or BCCI, was one of the world’s largest privately owned banks, with £20 billion in assets in 400 branches spread across seventy-three countries. This was at the height of its much envied and much admired phenomenal success. But disaster struck with the Bank of England’s spiteful decision to close down BCCI. Almost as if they were members of an orchestra under a single baton, 70 countries simultaneously followed the British – American lead against BCCI.

In Abu Dhabi, however, nothing had changed – the BCCI branch behaved as if it were a law unto itself. Indeed it was a law unto itself. On the Sunday, after the Bank of England’s putsch, the branch opened with the ‘business as usual’ slogan. It appeared totally oblivious of the catastrophe elsewhere. Censorship was the order of the day, and even the newspapers from abroad with reports of the Bank of England’s coup d’état against BCCI closure were suitably trimmed. All reports of the BCCI shambles and the Bank of England’s duplicity were cut out of the newspapers.

This is not unusual in a country with a totalitarian regime, only slightly superior to the old Stalin’s Soviet Union in a way it arranged and presented its highly selective and perverse version of the truth.

Sheikh Zayed, the real boss of BCCI, moved quickly in a damage limitation exercise which even the British the masters of this kind of balancing act envied. The Sheikh arranged for innocent Pakistanis to be the fall guys in Tampa, Florida, in connection with a money laundering exercise related to cocaine smuggling. Cocaine was the money spinner. The Sheikh of Abu Dhabi had authorised the operations knowing full well that as an Arab Sheikh who oiled the Western economy, he was safe. But the overworked and underpaid Pakistani ‘executives’ who had to take the rap were expendable. BCCI paid a trifling £15m in fines or
rather the ruler of Abu Dhabi did. For him it was petty cash but the five Pakistanis who naively believed in the Muslim Arab Sheikh and his fictitious version of Muslim solidarity had to go to jail. The executives are now serving 12 years in an American Gulag.

America, whose very foundations rest on Himalayan-high corpses of Indians, the rape of African slaves and the economic enslavement of Latin America, now feels it is the master of the world. You only have to ask any Indian or an African - American about white American justice. They will most probably tell you that a Nobel Prize in state terrorism, theft and mass murder should have been awarded to white fascist America years ago.

For the next 12 years the families of the imprisoned Pakistanis will have to live without their beloved husbands and fathers in very straitened circumstances. Some will lose their savings, others will probably die of neglect.

Some would say that this is poetic justice. One should not blame the Pakistanis for they naively and genuinely believed in Arab-Muslim solidarity. The ruling Sheikh of Abu Dhabi does not share this Islamic view. As far as he is concerned the Pakistanis, Sudanese, Egyptians are inferior. These Muslim brothers are called 'miskin' to be used and discarded the moment they become a liability like the wretched Palestinians during the Satanic Gulf War.

BCCI under the leadership of some of the shareholders of the Al Nahyan family of Abu Dhabi has broken the law several times in numerous countries with regard to capital flight, money laundering, exchange regulation, black market capital and proceeds from drugs. These countries include Colombia, Kenya, Brazil, India, Sudan and even beloved little sunny Mauritius. In Kenya, the bank was fined $30m, and in Colombia $11,000 and its administrators were duly deported. The Shylockian avarice of some members of the Al-Nahyan family knows no bounds.

It is estimated that the profits from cocaine smuggling which destroys millions of lives including thousands of Arabs are in the region of $110bn annually. At one time the lives which were destroyed by drugs were those of working class African - Americans, desperate to escape the nightmare of one of the bloodiest and brutal racist states in the world.

But now such drugs, especially cocaine, kill, degrade and dehumanise not only the white working classes but also members of the American, European and Arab ruling classes. Drug abuse is a way of life in Abu Dhabi ruling circles.
Then there is another source of vast and obscene profit to Western and Abu Dhabi's imperialism, the blood money from interests on loans to the developing nations. In our eagerness to condemn the monsters of Western imperialism, we forget equally vile, loathsome and repulsive monsters within the Abu Dhabi ruling class.

True, the Sheikhs of Abu Dhabi are too stupid and ignorant and militarily inept despite the most sophisticated weapons purchased at enormous cost from their American and other racist nations, to impose their will either through conquest or neo-colonial policies, but they have huge financial reserves which are the backbone of many international banks and tottering Western economies.

The Abu Dhabi imperialists are not as powerful as the Zionists in the Big League of imperialist monopoly profit extraction, but nevertheless they have some clout.

With the U.S., Germany, France, Italy, Belgium, Holland and Britain they do extremely well from the colossal interests they extract from the poor, at the expense of the deaths of millions of non-white children. It is not exactly murder but not far removed from it. Apart from devouring the colossal interest payment of the poor, the ruling class of Abu Dhabi also give a helping hand to the infamous robbers of the Third World, the self-appointed rulers who shamelessly steal from the impoverished Treasuries of their own people.

They are the Mobutus, the Marcosses, the Duvaliers, the Bokassas the Butcherlezis, the de Klerks, the Bothas and other gangsters of the Third World, including the tax — dodging industrialist and banking thieves of India. Then there are the 'socialist' brown leaders who cringing worship at the feet of the queen for a knighthood, and drive bullet proof B.M.W's at the expense of the underfed, the sick and the underdeveloped, of their countries. Lastly, there are the lesser but no less powerful confidence tricksters with their commissions on billion pound kick-backs.

This Blood money found its way into the Manager's secret Ledger (marked M/L) of the BCCI with the full knowledge of Sheikh Zayed Bin Sultan Al Nahyan and a few highly trusted cronies. Money, according to Sheikh Zayed is neutral, with no colour or odour. Sheikh Zyeds' family motto though not emblazoned on his Arab robe is: "We do not ask questions, where big money is concerned."

And what about the C.I.A. connections? Some of the ruling Sheikhs of Abu Dhabi who are born thugs and criminals, dependent on the protection of the West, enthusiastically played ball with the C.I.A. for that organisation needed a bank which did what it was told. The C.I.A
had secret accounts to finance the genocidal activities of Uncle Toms and traitors like Izhak Shamir, Jonas Savimbi of Angola, Butcherlezi of South Africa, the fascist leaders of Renamo of Mozambique, and Mobutu of Zaire who does not really need such help for he’s worth hundreds of millions craftily slashed away in Swiss banks, but his appetite for more and more cash is insatiable.

But such was the reptilian character of Abu Dhabi’s Sheikh boss of BCCI that even the C.I.A., the last word in thuggery, deceit, murder and blackmail was nauseated by the loathsome nature of the Abu Dhabi Sheikh’s operations. Probably for the first time in history, in an unprecedented act of ingratitude to the hands that fed it, the C.I.A. bit the hands of the Sheikh. It described the BCCI as “a bank of crooks and criminals.”

Pretty rich you may think coming from an organisation of pimps, ponces, paid killers, but let’s face it, the standard of prevailing international morality spawned by the new champions of the international order is not exactly sanctioned by the prayer books.

Sheikh Zayed’s BCCI was like a harlot who always keeps a certain part of her anatomy free of any encumbrance in case of sudden emergencies. The bank never asked questions as long as you had big, big money, the BCCI’s code being ‘heavyweight.’ For that was what Sheikh Zayed prized most for how else could he compete with the other villain, King Kong Fahd, and ensure for his money-grubbing family a standard of living they had never been accustomed to, until of course the Sheikh in a palace coup, severed his brother’s head and took dictatorial charge of the sheikhdom.

C.I.A. Agent, and one of the stars of Contragate and Irangate, General Noriega, shifted $23million in drug monies to the Sheikh Zayed’s BCCI, widely believed on the Sheikh’s personal authorisation. He, in turn, got his instructions from the C.I.A.

The humble and highly efficient loyal Pakistani officials of the BCCI never acted without the instructions of the man in a safe haven in Karachi, whom they rather foolishly believed was the Bank’s real owner. The truth was very different.

Agha Hasan Abedi, well educated and highly experienced, now paralysed and brain-damaged and confined to a wheel chair in Karachi, was the bank’s creator and founder. But few knew that the real boss of BCCI was Sheikh Zayed of Abu Dhabi.

Abdi was an idealist. He had hoped that BCCI would be the bank for the developing countries. That it would replace the usurers of the West with a moral approach to loans. It would help the developing
countries to create the necessary conditions for their political, economic and cultural development on their own terms, not the terms designed to keep them permanently poor, while at the same time permanently enriching the West.

Andrew Young, the first African – American and former U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations who introduced Abedi to many Third World leaders said: “I saw them as a Third World bank, they were very free – market oriented, but non-European.” He is also reported to have said that he entered into a relationship with the BCCI thinking that he was helping the people it was serving, not necessarily the bank.

But Sheikh Zyed, ignorant, parasitical, monstrously greedy and utterly contemptuous of Asian and African brethren, wanted no part of such philanthropy. While he went along with this public image of the BCCI as a friend of the Third World, he made sure that the Bank never lifted a finger to help African and Asian countries to get out of the vice-like grip of Western monopoly capital. Sheikh Zyed simply said, let’s have some of it too!

The wretchedly poor and near bankrupt West African country, Cameroon suffered losses to the tune of $200 million as a result of Sheikh Zyed’s doomed BCCI. Its palm-oil refinery collapsed because of a lack of spare-parts. The deliveries were never made because of its frozen asset of $2 million with the BCCI. The first pharmaceutical plant in Africa had to be abandoned, because Sheikh Zyed reneged on the project. Sheikh Zyed’s BCCI, the principal banker to eighteen African countries wreaked havoc on a continent plagued by disasters unparalleled in History.

Sheikh Zyed of Abu Dhabi ordered the cultivation of brown, black and white politicians all over the Third World, the Arab World and the white Western World, both honest and corrupt. They were promised huge returns on their investments and were led to believe that they could thus extract themselves from the chain-gang victims of the Western Zionist bank cartel. To seduce Third World countries into investing, with BCCI Sheikh Zyed recruited some very influential Western politicians. High on this star cast of ‘facilitators’ were Jimmy Carter former U.S. President, and Clark Clifford, a former U.S. Presidential adviser.

Both men believed that Sheikh Zyed was an honest man. Of course they were also suitably rewarded. It was Jimmy Carter who persuaded an extremely sceptical Chinese Government to invest in the Hong Kong Branch of the BCCI. The result was that this poor nation was defrauded of £400 million in the Hong Kong branch of Sheikh Zyed’s BCCI.
Sheikh Zyed enlisted the services of some of his closest associates in a bid to defraud the poor. They hatched a ‘state of the art’ plan in money laundering. Birds of the same feather tend to flock together. Enter Sheikh Zyed’s front men and shareholders in BCCI, Kamal Adham, the Saudi intelligence chief, the Bin Mehfouz family the major shareholders in the Saudi National Commercial Bank, Gaith Pharaon, a multi-millionaire Saudi businessman, Faisal Saud Al Fulaji, the chairman of Kuwaiti Airways and an associate of Kuwaiti Royal family, Sheikh Sultan bin Zyed Sultan al-Nahyan, the crown prince of Abu Dhabi and Sheikh Zyed’s brother and Abdullah Darwaish, the ‘Royal’ family’s financial adviser who also represented Sheikh Zyed’s young son, Muhammad al-Nahyan. Darwaish, who was also held the chair of Private Affairs of the Sheikh was sacked, because he lost $96 million of Sheikh Zyed’s personal fortune, which he should have invested in gold instead of copper.

Zyed’s peculiar banking techniques have been exposed in a recent book, by James Ring Adams and Douglas Frantz. They write: “In the case of BCCI, the original investors were the Arab backers who bought the first shares in the bank. They were paid off with loans that they never had to pay back. The funds for the loans came from the deposits of customers in the Third World nations.

In their devastating exposure of the massive fraud on the poor for the benefit of the rich the authors concentrate on the shady deals of Saudi multi-millionaire businessmen, Kamal Adham, Gaith Pharaon and the Mehfouz family of Saudi Arabia. These are all close associates, loyal subjects and financial advisers of King Kong Fahd, Khadimal Haramain Sharifain, the guardian of Holy Makka and Madina. If the Head of a state is a drummer, you expect the subjects to be dancers.

The writers add: “The Price Waterhouse report of March 1990 was a stunning indictment of insider dealing and questionable practices of the BCCI. And it was an indictment with a price tag. Millions of dollars in depositors money had been loaned to bank shareholders and cronies with little prospect of repayment....

“The problems, said Price Waterhouse, centred on insider loans to shareholders and others with close affiliations to the bank. Payments had not been made on many of these loans for years. As much as $2 billion had been loaned to associates of the bank and major customers, often with little or no documentation and security. For instance, the accountants said that the bank records showed Kamal Adham owed BCCI's Luxembourg subsidiary $313 million at the end of 1989. Yet the auditors said they found no valuations for the property in Saudi Arabia that the
former Saudi intelligence chief had put up as security for some of his debts. There were no written loan agreements."

It must be pointed out that Kamal Adham, founder and former Head of Saudi Intelligence Service had worked very closely with the C.I.A. for many years. He was the eyes and the ears of King Kong Fahd. He became a major stockholder in the parent company of First American. When indicted by U.S. authorities he denied accusations of acting as a front for BCCI. The regulators sought to bar him permanently from any involvement with U.S. banking organisation.

Another shareholder was Ghaith Pharaon, a Harvard educated Saudi who amassed a fortune through his connection with King Kong Fahd. He built the Hyatt Hotel in Riyadh which became the U.S. Military Headquarters during the recent Gulf War. He owned Henry Ford's mansion in Georgia, an insurance company, a plastic factory, a fleet of Mercedes and corporate jets. According to the Federal authorities, his ownership of banks in Atlanta, Georgia, California and Encino was nothing but a front for Sheikh Zyed’s BCCI.

"Gaith Pharaon, owed the bank a total of $288 million at the end of 1989. The major collateral was an eleven per cent stake in BCCI held by his brother, Wabel Pharaon. As with the other major borrowers, Price Waterhouse found no loan agreements or correspondence to support the Pharaon loans and provide a means to recover them."

"The Mehfouz family obtained $141 million in loans from BCCI. The loans were made, outside auditors would later say, without loan agreements, promissory notes, or security documentation for collateral."

But in the end it was ordinary Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi depositors especially in Britain, France, Germany and Holland who lost their life savings in the most diabolical fraud in financial history – that fraud, of course, was master-minded by Sheikh Zyed of Abu Dhabi – the man who is now so busy financing obscenely extravagant parties and socials for his daughter, Sheikh Sharqat and building new palaces for himself in Britain.

The figures for this tragic farce are frightening, especially for the Asian community in Britain. Nearly 55,000 small Asian British businesses placed their confidence in Zyed’s BCCI. Included in this figure were nearly 60% of the once highly prosperous rag trade of London’s Whitechapel, formerly the preserve of the Jews and now the rather sad and bedraggled business ghetto of Asians from the Indian subcontinent.

The author’s say of Zyed’s massive sackings: "The layoffs were the most stunning news when the bailout agreement was announced to the
public. Hundreds of employees who signed on with a family, not a bank, suddenly found themselves out of work. Twenty branches in Britain were closed, and offices were shut down in many other countries, too....

Throughout May and June of 1991, a London community radio had appealed for funds to build a shelter for the cyclone victims of the worst flood disaster in Bangladesh’s history.

Shafick Rahman, the radio’s Asian station chief had raised, through his Bengali broadcasts, the sum of £80,000 all of which was deposited in BCCI. When BCCI bit the dust, £55,000 of these donations from the poorest Indians, Pakistanis and Bangladeshis in this country was swallowed up by the Bank of England. That was not the end of the story. BCCI in Britain had 1.3 million, mostly Indian, Pakistani, and Bangladeshi depositors and 1,400 employees. The depositors lost every single penny and the employees their jobs. And responsibility for that rests with the Sheikh Zayed of Abu Dhabi.

The British Minister of fun, David Mellor said on British T.V. recently that Sheikh Zayed had donated $500,000 to the British Gulf War effort. It seems that Sheikh Zayed is more interested in the murder of Arab babies than giving back the millions of pounds in deposits he took from Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi depositors who have been drained of their last penny. This is Arab generosity for you!

This was the largest fraud in banking history. There can be no greater indictment of the criminality of the Sheikh Zayed controlled BCCI than this observation by the New York District Attorney, Robert Morgen-thau: “This indictment spells out the largest bank fraud in world financial history, BCCI was operated as a corrupt criminal organisation throughout its entire nineteen-year history. It systematically falsified its records. It knowingly allowed itself to be used to launder the illegal income of drug sellers and other criminals. And it paid bribes and kickbacks to public officials.”

Sheikh Zayed’s BCCI, bank of Crooks and Criminals International, became such a dirty joke, that Sheikh George Bin Abdul Aziz Al Busht, the guide, friend and philosopher to Sheikh Zayed joined the fray. During a press conference he said: “This bank apparently was doing very bad things.”
BCCI DESERT RULER GOES ON SPENDING BINGE

Sheikh Zayed of Abu Dhabi spends £40 million on John Lennon's former home - and it looks as if he will pour £40 million more of BCCI depositor's money into his latest palace.

At about 1.30 p.m. on July 5, 1991, the Bank of Credit and Commerce crashed when it was invaded by the strong-armed representatives of the Bank of England, itself not a bank which could be said to enjoy either competent direction or a reputation for fair dealing. It was after all the criminal repository of the hundreds of billions looted from Asia, Africa, West Indies and America. Where do the gold bars from its vault come from? Surely not Shepherd's Bush or Epping Forest?

As a result of the Bank of England's diktat - which in effect meant the end of BCCI's world operations - the small but commercially thrusting Asian community in Britain suffered a crippling blow. Overnight they lost millions, individuals and firms were ruined, and many reduced to poverty and bankruptcy.

Many suspected a plot against the British Asian community by the British establishment, who though outwardly praising Asian thrift, drive and enterprise, privately resented the success of the 'Wogs.' There was a great deal of evidence to support this view.

Not only were most of BCCI's clients Asian, but the Bank of England, deliberately allowed the Bank to carry on trading though they claimed they had long-standing evidence of fraud by the BCCI. The Bank of England was aware that Asians were depositing their moneys at the very moment when it was planning to destroy BCCI.
BCCI’s credibility had been enhanced not only by its efficiency but by its sensitivity to Asian needs. It provided a service in Urdu, Punjabi, Hindi and Arabic, and moreover gave generous financial facilities. Most important for Asians, BCCI, unlike the other racist white banks, never discriminated against them. Since confidence is the key to any successful bank, the normally astute Asians were naturally attracted by the fact that the owner of 77% of BCCI shares was none other than Sheikh Zayed bin Sultan al-Nahyan, President of the United Arab Emirates and ruler of Abu Dhabi. This is the man who now unconvincingly claims that he wants to resuscitate this dead bank whose assets, mainly the savings of thousands of Asian depositors have in effect been plundered.

The closure of BCCI also threw hundreds of Asian employees into the street, nearly all of them unable to support their families or pay the mortgages on their homes which in many cases were seized by creditor – vultures.

We shall never know the human cost of the BCCI scandal. Many of its clients were killed by the shock of the closure and the loss of their entire savings, many are nervous wrecks, many families were destroyed and many able and clever men were driven to suicide.

But the man who came out extremely well of all this, was the ruler of Abu Dhabi. He cruelly and falsely raised the hopes of the bank’s surviving depositors and employees by telling them that he intended to re-start the bank. That he has no intention of doing so is confirmed both by his extravagant life-style and his curious building operations in Britain. In its hey-day when Hollywood built its fantasies around the hugely expensive and extravagantly expensive life-styles of American billionaires with their imitations of English castles, their designer swimming pools, their gold plated mod-cons and their glittering parties we thought we had seen it all. Nothing, many believed, could surpass either the splendour of the buildings, the parties or the stupendous wealth.

But not even Hollywood fantasies can capture the Arabian Nights of Soddom and Gommorah decadence of the new masters of debauchery, drunkenness, lasciviousness, and lewdness than the rulers of the oil sheikhdoms of the Middle East, especially the sick Sheikh of Arab high life and low life, Sheikh Zayed of Abu Dhabi.

If you thought Rockefeller with his oil wells, Hearst with his newspaper empire and Hollywood interests, were the ultimate in nouveau riche antics, you will have to think again. The Rockefellers, the Hearsts and other Americans tycoons are paupers compared with multibillionaires of Arabia, including the Clown Prince of Kuwait, Sheikh
JOHN LENNON WITH HIS JAPANESE WIFE: A cultured man, a poet, a visionary who sang about the poor and craved for social justice. Lennon would have been deeply upset that the house in which he wrote some of his finest lyrics was sold to an Abu Dhabi monster.
NOT A VILLAIN IN A HORROR FILM: This man who looks very much like your average British portrait of an oily, sly, cunning, shifty Sheikh is actually that. His name is Sheikh Zayed bin Sultan Al Nahyan, President of the United Arab Emirate and ruler of Abu Dhabi.
ZYED'S ATTEMPT TO OUTDO 'KING' FAHD: The man responsible for the camel 'races' that claim many lives of Muslim children from the Indian sub-continent annually, has tarted up John Lennon's former Tittenhurst Park residence at a cost of £40 million, but that is not the end of the story for millions more will have to be spent. Sheikh Zayed has 12 wives, 30 sons and countless concubines thoughtfully provided for him by his selfless professional pimps. Altogether the Sheikh has 6 properties in London and the provinces bought for the trifling sum of £80 million. He bought Ascot place for 19 million for his pimps, ponces, bullies who are as accomplished in the art of murder and torture as Papa Doc's Duvaliers's infamous Ton Ton Macoute.

Zyed has also bought Park Gate House at Hans Gate, Richmond for his heir apparent, Crown Prince Khalifa. He also owns 3 homes valued at over £7 million each in Kensington, London. His neighbours are Sultan of Brunei and Mark Thatcher.
Zyed of Abu Dhabi and Khadimal Harameeah of the House of Al Saud, to name just a few!

We have all heard of the English syndrome, ‘Keeping Up With the Joneses’. They have something like that among the top five Arab Sheikhdoms. King Kong Fahd, the head of the syndicate is in a class of his own. These of course represent the scum of the Muslim earth, they are anti-Islamic, slavishly pro-Western and monstrously greedy and selfish.

They are polite to each other, even brotherly, but they hate each other and behave towards each other with the bitchiness of envious women. If one buys a castle in Spain, the other will buy two castles in Scotland.

Note that Europe is the battle ground of their countless billions of dollars at the expense of the decent Arab brethren in Arab Homeland. Some cringing Europeans love it because they get plenty of crumbs from the tables of their Arab masters.

They enjoy well paid jobs at a time when employment is abnormally high, they sell their services and their bodies to the ruling class of Abu Dhabi and the Sheikhs of other Sheikhdoms. King Kong Fahd may be as cunning as a fox but he is no less treacherous than the Sheikh Zyed of Abu Dhabi.

The only thing that unites the two is a common, and very common, loyalty to their Supreme American master, Uncle Sam. Arab Uncle Toms, however powerful, worship at the feet of Uncle Sam, for without Uncle Sam their heads will be rolling in the desert sands. They would meet the fate as Sadat and the Shah of Iran.

They may inwardly despise the Americans and the Europeans but they cannot live without them — literally so, for they would be dead ducks the moment they were denied the deadly American and British weapons for which they pay indecently large sums, and the American and British military advisers for whom they pay an equally offensive sum of money.

The Al Sauds and the other Sheikhs have spent scores of millions on property in Britain, the billions which they stole from the Arab Muslim people. But it would appear that Sheikh Zyed has outsmarted everybody in this regard. He is the unofficial Arab property king in Britain.

He has a long way to go before he catches up with the Duke of Westminster who owns most of England, which of course brings us to the Sheikh’s fraudulent promise to rescue the BCCI.

Wherever John Lennon’s spirit is today, it will certainly disapprove of the sale of his house to Sheikh Zyed of Abu Dhabi, the man with one of the lowest IQs and one of the biggest wallets in the world.
THAT'S WHERE BCCI MONEY WENT: Sheikh Zyed collects houses like other people collects stamps. As one British worker puts it, the job of reconstructing John Lennon's house is so hugely expensive that there is little likelihood of any of the BCCI Asian depositors, victims of one of the greatest highway robberies of all time, ever getting their money back. Zyed continues to pour lorry loads of pounds into refurbishment of the house, which he will occupy only for a week each year during the Ascot horse race.
Lennon was a cultured man, a poet, a dreamer, a visionary who was outraged by the gluttony, stupidity and inhumanity of our world. He sang about the poor. He sang about peace. He sang about love, and craved for social and economic justice. Shakespeare describes the descent from sublimity to absurdity, thus “from Hyperion to a Satyr.” That’s what happened when Lennon’s heirs handed over the ownership of his property to Sheikh Zayed for more than a tidy sum.

Sheikh Zayed is spending £40m on its renovation. Mind you it was a pleasant enough place when he bought it, but it was without the vulgarity, the brass, the coarseness and crudity of its present philistine decor. Gold, marble etc. in the worst possible taste are being slapped onto Lennon’s once cultivated abode. There’s a swimming pool, bullet proofed as usual. The scum of Middle East royalty always build bullet-proof cars, buildings, bathrooms and even lavatories! They are very rightly concerned about people’s revolutionary justice.

They know the whole Arab world seeks their destruction in the most painful way possible. So they spend many millions on body guards, on intelligence services and on electronic gadgets to stay their inevitable hour of execution.

These people are running scared and the fact that even the swimming pool in Lennon’s former home has to have such protection is a victory for the Arab revolution which promises to do a bit of religious cleansing of its own. Islam purged of the rotten madness of the Fahds and the Sheikhs will once again be restored to its former pristine glory and purity.

One room alone in Lennon’s former residence cost the Sheikh Zayed, £200,000 to renovate. A chandelier for the room cost the ‘bargain’ price of £60,000.

But according to the well informed British press which has spies at the highest and the lowest level, from British intelligence down to the cleaners, “no one has lived in the house since it was bought by the Sheikh.”

A construction worker told a British newspaper on August 22, 1991: “The work could take another two years and cost another £20 million. The Sheikh only wants it so he can stay there for a week every year for Ascot, about one and a half miles away.”

“Since the BCCI business broke, the amount of money being spent here has been the talk of the site. The Sheikh keeps changing his mind. He visits every so often to check on it.”

The workmen who blew the whistle on the Sheikh is apparently a bright chap, a species you don’t often find among some of the under-developed English workers who have been brought up to suck up to
• SWIMMING POOL: Bullet-proof and bombed-proof as usual, because Sheikh Zayed is rightly fears the revolutionary justice of the Muslim.

• OBSCENITY AT ITS WORST: Monies from the third world are being squandered on vulgarities like these chandeliers. They cost £35,000 each. The one in the centre of the main hall costs £60,000.
their so-called social superiors. This particular worker at least was bright enough to think of the victims of the BCCI scam: "Italian workmen were flown in to carry out some of the marble work. They would fly over on Mondays and be flown back to Italy on Fridays. When you think of all the people who have lost out in the BCCI business, it really is a bit obscene how much money is being spent here."

The last word must rest with the objective English reporter, who no doubt has little time for parasites and even less for Sheikh Zayed. He is also brilliant, not generally the case in the tiny-brained, big-footed Fleet Street brigade. The reporter noted in the British Daily Mail of August 23, 1991: "While BCCI creditors around the world despair of their money ever being returned, up to 2000 workmen are still turning the Sheikh's house into a palace."

A Palace of what? Like Versaille perhaps, which at least was on native soil. The British must be rubbing their hands in glee for when Sheikh Zayed comes to a sticky end, as he must, not just Lennon's former home, but a number of others in London belonging to the Sheikh will automatically become British property, and with the British reputation for theft, forgery, fraud and plunder of Africa, India, the West Indies you can take that for granted.

**ENGLISH QUEEN WITH ZYED:** Money especially other people's money, opens all sorts of doors, even if you are ignorant, uncouth and as contemptuous of Muslim life as the Arab potentate in this smug picture. The Queen is obviously embarrassed by her greasy and villainous companion and shows it by looking the other way!
ABU DHABI FEASTS
ON 10-YEAR-OLD VIRGINS

Before the AIDS virus struck with such savage calamity, the Sheikhs of the Gulf, particularly Sheikh Zayed bin Sultan Al Nahyan, President of the United Arab Emirates and ruler of Abu Dhabi, were happy with whores, posing as stewardesses from the many European airlines promising to fly you to the kind of paradise only Sheikh Zayed can afford. Sheikh Zayed who has 14 wives and 41 children plus an assorted number of concubines is a cunning, ruthless and cruel illiterate who seized power from his brother in a coup organised by his ‘philanthropist’ British advisers. Zayed’s brother hid the government’s treasury in his mattress! After the coup, officials discovered that $2 million worth of bank notes had been eaten by rats!

Sheikh Zayed, the principal shareholder in the collapsed Bank of Credit and Commerce International, did not take the accrued interest from his investments, as a ‘good’ Muslim, but used that interest to pay harems of willing women of every hue. Some of these airlines which made such prodigious profits from prostitution – selling their stewardesses, in some cases their stewards, for there are also many homosexuals in the Sheikh’s entourage – are now running into trouble.

Some are on the brink of bankruptcy together with many established brothels, among them some famous gambling houses and hotels in Europe frequented by Al Nahyan and his ilk. A number of them have bitten the dust.

Why? Where before the perverted ruling class of Abu Dhabi felt safe with the blonde, Aryan tarts and whores of Europe, they are now so terrified of the AIDS disease that they want a change. Most Nordic blonde prostitutes, even at the highest level, among them so called film stars, public relations officers (aptly named pros) and assistants to European government who are really prostitutes with superior perfumes, fur coats and the most elegant shoes from London’s Bond Street, are freely available to Sheikhs signing billion-dollar contracts for
VICTIMS OF ABU DHABI SEX SLAVE TRADE: A young Indian girl, Asma, who has been told that her life in Abu Dhabi will be a bowl of cherries. She will soon discover that all the cherries are rotten. Horrific sexual abuse will be her lot. Girls like her are regularly being sold to the ruling class of Abu Dhabi, where they become sex slaves, playthings in the hands of rapists and child abusers. Once they leave India they will never return for their passports are in the possession of their owners.
GUARANTEED TO BE CLEAN AND VIRGIN: From left to right, Saeeda, Rashida, Parvin and Asma. In the centre is the Godmother, Anwari. She makes her fortune out of the child-bride business. These innocent girls have been led to believe that they will find good husbands.

ANWARI AT WORK: She is wheeling and dealing with a go-between.
ABU DHABI FEASTS ON 10-YEAR-OLD VIRGINS

weapons made by Europe and America. The Arabs are staying away from them for fear of being infected by AIDS. Abu Dhabi's ruling class has now turned to greener, softer and more innocent and cleaner pastures.

It is a well known fact that Asian women, especially Muslim women, are among the most virtuous, pure and chaste in the world. Barbara Cartland, who used to write about romances among the upper crust in Britain, at a time when there were still a few virgins left, now throws up her hands in utter despair and says she is finished as a romantic novelist because there are no longer any European virgins around.

According to statistics, European women usually lose their virginity at about the age of 14 or 15, and in many cases much earlier, thanks to the activities of child abusers. Cartland says that she won't write until virginity is fashionable once again. The famous romantic is now well into her 80's and if she takes this attitude she will probably be buried without adding a single novel to her impressive collection for Europe has voted with its organs for anti-virginity. European virgins are an endangered species. They are in fact extinct.

Yet the European birth rate is running wildly out of control, especially in Eastern Europe where they are breeding like rabbits. Sadly a number of European girls are either sexually abused by their parents in which case they are cruelly deflowered, or equally reprehensible, are subjected to even worse abuse from total strangers. You only have to look at the white press to see what a sexually deranged people some of the Europeans are!

Now the rapists, maniacs, psychopaths, perverts within the ruling class of Abu Dhabi may be stupid and thick, but not on sexual matters. Indeed they are bright fellows, and draw the right conclusions from the press reports of the ravages of AIDS and the havoc it is wreaking all over the world, particularly in Europe and America.

But these reports fail to disclose the number of Senior Sheikhs in Abu Dhabi who have succumbed to AIDS as a result of sleeping around with the whores of some Western airlines posing as respectable stewardesses.

But word has spread that the Sheikhs, minor and major in Abu Dhabi, are on their guard. They have turned their sadistic and brutal attention to the women of the Indian subcontinent, especially children between the ages of 10 to 12. The Muslims, Hindus and Christians of the Indian subcontinent treat the likes of Sheikh Zayed with understandable contempt and refuse to allow their young daughters to be transported into slavery and prostitution in Abu Dhabi. In the main it is our beloved, poor, innocent defenceless Muslim sisters who are orphans and
ABU DHABI FEASTS ON 10-YEAR-OLD VIRGINS

cared for by other infidels who are trapped into the new prostitution racket set in motion by the ruling class of Abu Dhabi.

And so fussy are the ruling class of Abu Dhabi that they insist on virgins. They take no chances. They breakfast of cow’s brain, sheep’s trotters, heads and eyes which are supposed to arouse them sexually, in preparation for the final obscenity with its association with the shedding of similar blood. The victim of their unbridled lust is an orphan - a Muslim child virgin as afters for breakfast.

Umar Bin Khattab taught us to be kind and generous to orphans and widows but this does not apply to the sexist Frankensteins of Abu Dhabi.

The ruling class of Abu Dhabi are busy deflowering innocent orphans from the Indian sub-continent, too poor and helpless to fight back. After all they have been bought for a few rupees. So the ruling class of Abu Dhabi and their ponces start experimenting on a grand and lascivious scale with girls aged 10 and sometimes a few years younger.

After they have deflowered the virgin children, and find that the sexual performance of these girls is not up to their ‘high’ standards, they are sent away to clean the floors of their palaces and some of them ‘disappear’.

Of course given the poverty of these defenceless orphans and the efficiency of the ponces, there is no shortage of Muslim virgins who have been orphaned at an early age. Sadly, supply is greater than demand!

One does not know who to blame - those who kidnap these children or the ruling class of Abu Dhabi’s free-market with their insatiable demands for such ‘commodities’. But it is clear that without European AIDS, the market for young female orphans in the sub-continent would not be experiencing its present boom. But Sheikha Sham’aa, Sheikh Zyed’s daughter, who is well aware of these outrages in her country has not lifted a finger to prevent the degradation of children. She feels that orphans from the sub-continent are not entitled to the rights and privileges enjoyed by children of the ruling class of Abu Dhabi.

Sheikha Sham’aa is too busy entertaining her chauffeur in her bedroom, while Muslim children are being brutally deflowered in the bedrooms of the ruling class of Abu Dhabi.

An incorruptible British journalist, Simon Kinlerley, has recorded the shameful facts of the Emirate’s sexual invasion of the sub-continent for virgins, as young as 10. This is what he had to say on the matter: “They were just children - pretty, laughing, giggling girls. There was nothing special about them, except that they were for sale. You could buy
every inch of them, their body heart and soul and do whatever you wanted with them, once you'd handed over the money. They were 10-12 years old, and in this country would have been in school. Instead they are victims of the saddest and most heart-breaking trade in the world. They were just another batch of kids caught up in a tragic child bride racket that sees thousands of young girls being sold into slavery.

Every week planes arrive in India – in Bombay, Hyderabad and now most recently, Calcutta – bringing Arabs, many in their fifties and sixties, who are there for just one reason – to buy these girls.

No one knows the scale of the operation, which the Indian authorities are desperate to stamp out. Twenty, 30, 50 a week, maybe even more, are being shipped out ...
SOME MEMBERS OF THE ABU DHABI RULING CLASS WITH BRITISH ROYALTY: On their best behaviour.
THE INNOCENT GIRLS AGED 10
ABUSED BY
THE ABU DHABI RULING CLASS

The ruling Sheikhs of Abu Dhabi, however dishonourable, violent, cruel and perverted they may be in their attitude to white women and the women of the Indian sub-continent, Philippines, Malaysia, and Singapore who come to work in their country as (Third Country National) avoid any such relations with Arab women, not out of respect for them, nor out of any feelings of tenderness for their sisters, but simply because the Arabs in the Arab Homeland without exception, have a stern moral code where their own women are concerned. Touch an Arab woman, even if you are an Arab, and you are stone dead. If you are a foreigner and dare to even look admiringly at the shapely curves of an Arab girl, let alone having an affair with her, you will have your eyes gouged out and your genitals put out of joint. Such is the high respect shown by Arabs to their women.

Of course Arabs, the richer the better, can leer at Arab women but their intentions have to be honourable – that is to say they must take them as their legal wives whom they must treat with tender care and affection according to the Sharia (Islamic Law).

Child abuse is an international phenomenon. For some unexplained reason there are maniacs, some of them look perfectly respectable and normal, with an insane desire to violate the bodies of children as young as 10 years old. The world's media has over the last 3 years given us lurid accounts of the sexual degradation of children. Children as young as two, have been sexually abused in Britain and other parts of Europe. But where Britain, largely because of massive profits for its pornographic newspapers whose sales shoot up whenever there is a major child abuse scandal, dutifully report their crimes in the goriest and most titillating detail of white domestic child abuse, they rarely expose the crimes of some of the rich Sheikhs, especially the ruling class of Abu Dhabi. The latter are able to suppress with consummate ease their even more offensive exploits as child abusers.
Known child abusers, rapists and sexually perverted people with criminal records are generally prohibited from entering Britain. But the British who will do anything for money – they are now so bankrupt and going steadily down the economic drain with every passing day, which brings news of business closures, declining industries and no sign of the much publicised economic turn around – are not fussy about the rich and powerful child abusers of Abu Dhabi.

Among the most notorious child abusers – who makes some of the worst criminals in this regard look positively angelic – are the ruling class of Abu Dhabi. British intelligence has a bulging file on the sex abuse crimes of some prominent rulers of Abu Dhabi but the British government ignores it. They ignore, too some very embarrassing disclosures by sections of the Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi anti-child abuse activists of these monsters.

Normally these perverts would be banned from this country if they were ordinary persons, but some officials of British immigration, usually piggishly racist, not only let them in but give them VIP treatment. It is believed that these wretched multi-billionaires from Abu Dhabi do not do anything as common as presenting their passports direct to British immigration. Minions do that for them.

These rapists from the ruling class of Abu Dhabi prey on mainly 10 year old girls from Bombay, Hyderabad and Calcutta. A choice of Chinese, Assamese, Tibetan girls aged from 10 upwards are also said to be available. Some of the Sheikhs run the equivalent of slave auctions in the Deep and Dark South of the U.S. during the triangular slave trade.

One of the ponces offering such girls to an investigative reporter said: “All the young ones will be virgins, we will be able to guarantee it.”

The ruling class of Abu Dhabi pay up to £2000.00 for each girl who measures up to their perverted tastes. Describing one of these nauseating transactions, a British reporter wrote: “It’s then that the true horror of this terrible business hits home. These aren’t young brides. These aren’t young women who grew up before their time. They’re just kids, innocent, carefree with not a clue to the horrors that await them.”

These innocent playthings for the vile ruling class of Abu Dhabi are shipped out of India like the slaves of Africa at the height of the Middle Passage traffic in men, women and children. This is what will happen to them: “At the final destination, they will be sexually attacked, raped and brutalised, and when they are finished with, they are either put to work scrubbing floors or divorced and thrown out. Many will simply ‘disappear’.”
The ruling class of Abu Dhabi still have a shred of honour left! They do not pretend that they want the girls for marriage - but they simply want them for the joys of deflowering, deriving sadistic pleasure from the screams of pain of the little girls, as they are ravaged and savaged by these sexist, child abusing louts. Stories abound in Abu Dhabi of the record breaking sexual appetite of the sheikhs. And there are many ribald jokes about the fire power of old men envied for their virility, energy and super-endurance by other sheikhs and ‘kings’ of the Gulf, particularly ‘King’ Kong Fahd who once joked: “You must find out for me what those sheikhs of Abu Dhabi eat. There must be a special kind of food that appears to give them their prowess.”

To be fair to the gambling, whoring and promiscuous ‘King’ Kong Fahd, he is not a child abuser. He is sexually straight, preferring grown women especially from the national airlines of Aryan Europe for his entertainment.

The ruling class of Abu Dhabi, it is said prefer brown skinned children between 10 to 12 years old, their quaint and sick theory being that such skin types are stronger and can stand up better to any kind of abuse from fellatio to the most horrific lashes of whips! This is a repulsive slander on the poor children, all of them so terrified lest they be beaten even more savagely, that they do anything to please their masters.

The child virgins are disposed of immediately after the sheikhs finish with them. In some cases they are abandoned after some of the most frenzied violence by which time the children desperately need medical care. But that is never forthcoming, their lives to Abu Dhabi’s ruling class are worth less than the price of a box of disposable tissues. It is said that the male servants of the ruling class usually tend to these children, though not out of any humanitarian concern. As soon as they have recovered from their ordeal, the girls are again raped and buggered by the servants. These girls are then turned out of the palace to fend for themselves. Most die, and many are kidnapped and turned into slaves by the rich Arabs of Abu Dhabi.

We are supposed to live in civilised times. Abu Dhabi of course cannot qualify for that description. It is an inhuman and primitive backwater with little respect for human rights of its people, and none for foreigners especially the little virgins of the Indian sub-continent exported to a dreadful fate by their own highly developed sex-export industries which flourish on a massive scale, a terrible indictment of the governments of the Indian sub-continent.

In one instance, before a dozen or so children left for Abu Dhabi in
consignments marked for the Sheikhdom's anonymous recipients, a white journalist asked these girls how they felt going and living in a faraway country. They were confused and frightened. They knew in their minds that they will never come back to the land of their birth. Sadly, one of them, a child of 10, asked if she would be able to come back to see her friends. If she had asked her rapist sheikh that question she would probably have received the most cruel beating for her impertinence.

These are the Abu Dhabi child abusers extraordinary, whom the British government fawns over as honoured guests.

It won't be long before British girls, the same age as their sisters from the Indian sub-continent, are lured through the gates of the various Abu Dhabi residences in Britain for similar mistreatment.

Though the ruling class of Abu Dhabi prefers the Indian sub-continent where orphaned 'sex products' are dirt cheap, they are, we learn, prepared to pay thousands of pounds more for blonde, blue-eyed children.

One would never know how many children have been abused by the ruling class of Abu Dhabi. But one hopes that these monsters will sooner than later be prevented from engaging in similar crimes on British soil.

One final point – the ruling class of Abu Dhabi use a small fraction of the interest on their massive investments for supplies of their child abuse harem. Both actions of course are against the Islamic code. Under no circumstances must children be abused, and under no circumstances must good Muslims live on usurious interest. But in Abu Dhabi, the ruling class is in a class of their own. But can you call these savages Muslims, let alone describe them as good Muslims? Respect for women and children is part and parcel of Islamic Law and Islam is very clear on that.
Two young victims of the insatiable, cruel and sadistic sexual appetite of the barbarous, uncivilised and uncouth Sheikh's from the Abu Dhabi's ruling Class.
THE BED-TIME SECRETS OF SHEIKH ZYED'S DAUGHTER SHEIKHA SHAM'AA

The BCCI depositors are worried to death. Some of them are already dead. Others wonder if they have any future at all. The picture is of unrelieved gloom and doom despite frequent assurances by Sheikh Zayed that he will do something for them.

The biggest repository of deposits and customers was BCCI in Britain. There were about 120,000 customers with $404 million in accounts at BCCI. Many of them Pakistanis and other Asian owners of small businesses. Sheikh Zayed's family owned 77% stake in BCCI.

But Sheikh Zayed's promises are worth as much as a dud cheque drawn on a South sea bubble style Bank.

Let us now tune our attention to Harrods, that once famous British institution where the Queen of England used to shop (we believe she no longer shops there because it is Arab-owned).

A sleek, black limousine with a smartly attired white chauffeur, with the physique of Rambo, pulls up outside the store. Immediately the car is surrounded by flunkies. It is also surrounded by the British paparazzi, the very paparazzi who continue to make things hot and inconvenient for the more irresponsible members of the Royal Family.

They have been tipped off. They have a scoop on their hands. Two scoops in fact, as we will discover soon. The tall, Rambo-like chauffeur with a strong East End accent who has made the difficult leap from poverty to plenty, ingratiatingly opens the door, winking knowingly in the direction of the all-knowing British hacks and paparazzi. They exchange knowing leering smiles unafraid of indiscretions. Familiarity most certainly breeds contempt.

One chap loudly tells his colleagues: "Our lad (the chauffeur) is screwing the Wog Sheikh's daughter. Cor blimey she is a dish isn't she? Look at her tits. I thought they said that these Sheikh's birds are shy and cover their bodies. Ain't she gorgeous mate, I'll tell you what, I'd
love to be locked up in a little room with that juicy smasher. Ain’t she hot, she’s on cremation-stove temperature I tell you.”

The cameras clicked in blinding unison, as a sexily dressed woman stepped out of the limo smiling like a satisfied tigress. There is confusion. There is chaos. There is pandemonium. The Harrods crowd, the nosy public want to feast their eyes on her luscious body. They simply want to catch a glimpse of her vital statistics. Her veil is flung to one side. Her cleavage is the most prominent part of her, and her split dress reveals a hefty pair of thighs. The reporter says: “It looks as if our mate has given her one or two nice hard ones.” He spoke as if he had been an eye-witness at a passionate morning session, just after breakfast. Our Islamic heroine smiles longingly and lovingly at our chauffeur.

She haughtily and seductively sweeps past the paparazzi, her sleek shining black hair perfectly in place. She has poise. She has money. She oozes with sex. She is on a spending spree. She is the daughter of Sheikh Zayed, the king of concubines. The grovelling white assistants bow and scrape and almost fall over each other as they vie for the attention of Sheikha Sham’aa. As far as she is concerned they don’t exist. They are in her view ‘common’ little English shopping girls. She, of course, forgets that her chauffeur with whom she is so madly in love is also a cockney boy from the East End of London. She points her finger imperiously at certain items. She says nothing. It’s an unmistakable signal that she’s buying them. Before you could say Sheikh Zayed is a thief and a Kafr, a swarm of shop assistants grab the articles that have attracted the Sheikha’s attention and despatch them to the appropriate department for packaging and air freighting to Abu Dhabi. The Sheikha knows what she wants. She is decisive. In a matter of moments she has run up a bill for a mere two million pounds – the British journalists love it. It’s the kind of cash injection their desperately sick and dying country needs to help balance the books. But the Sheikha Sham’aa, 28, married, has not finished yet. She turns with those moist, cat-like eyes to her lover-boy, the chauffeur, and says softly “Charlie Boy, the show is now yours baby, take what you like you little East End cockney.” And like some East End cockneys, or for that matter his hated superiors in the upper class, he loses all sense of proportion.

The chauffeur lover boy goes wild, grabbing everything in sight, even embarrassing the ‘common little girls of Harrods’. Sheikha Sham’aa raises her forefinger, it’s time to go. The avaricious chauffeur sighs and protests mildly. He wants more goodies. But the Sheikhah knows how to keep him in control, now she treats him like a Southern white
mistress would treat her underdog. She barks at him and orders him to prepare her entry into her sleek limousine. The paparazzi are at it again. The bulbs flash and breast, legs and pouting lips are the special centre of attraction. Un-Islamic you may say. Blasphemous you may cry. Worse than Kaffirs you may lament.

But at that very moment another drama is being played out in Muslim Africa, and in Bosnia. In Somalia hundreds of thousands of children die a horrible death because they cannot get a single grain of rice. Others go blind.

Hundreds of thousands of others are walking skeletons and soon they will join the millions in the biggest Muslim graveyard in the world.

In Bosnia, dead bodies of Muslim men women and children lie on the streets. Thousands of Muslims are forced to flee their homes in panic. Young Muslims are being cruelly tortured and starved in prisons controlled by the enemies of Islam.

Muslim hospitals and Mosques are bombed. Muslims die because of lack of medicines, while Sheikha Sham‘aa goes on a shopping spree at Harrods in the company of her cockney lover.

The Sheikha is not bothered. Like Marie Antoinette, she would like to tell the Muslim oppressed, the starving and the impoverished to eat cake. For her, far more important are the expensive perfumes of Harrods, of which the price of a bottle would buy enough food to last a month for a thousand Somali children. As for the £2m she spent in a single hour half of that money would ensure for an area the size of London some nourishing food for sometime. But the Sheikha with her mad infatuation for her cockney boyfriend has forgotten about Arab Muslim charity. Is Sheikha Sham‘aa really a Muslim? Or does she have the morals of a low grade European actress? She is a living insult to the Islamic faith.

If an ordinary Arab married sister did what Sheikha Sham‘aa is doing, she would be punished most severely in accordance with the Sharia (Islamic Law). But no such danger will befall the Sheikha Sham‘aa, she is after all the daughter of Sheikh Zyyed, one of the nastiest anti-Muslim criminals who continues to defile Islam. Imagine young girls between the ages of 9 and 12 being flown to his country at a price of £2,000.00 each for purposes other than work.

And in yet another insult to Islam, the Sheikha’s shopping expeditions and her wild parties are directly subsidised by the interests accruing from Abu Dhabi’s multi-billion investments abroad. Some naive people among the Asians of Britain believe that they will not only get their
stolen monies back but that the bankrupt BCCI will actually be resuscitated. They obviously do not know that Zyed is a con man. Many Muslims had been lured to the bank, in the hope of getting better treatment because Sheikh Zyed is a Muslim. The main shareholder of the bankrupt bank proved otherwise. He robbed the poor to give to the rich.

Sheikh Zyed may be the personification of anti-Islamic values, but in this department, he is outstripped by his daughter as we have already seen. She has openly expressed contempt for the sexual and moral rectitude we associate with Muslim women.

Muslim women are modest, gentle, humble and chaste. They do not believe in extra-marital sex. That is the monopoly of some European women in the West. Muslim women are not ostentatious. But Sheikha Sham’aa is the antithesis of all that is prudent, virtuous and moral in Islamic society.

She would appear to have a number of chaperones, who instead of guiding her and protecting her in line with the teachings of Islam, encourage her misdeeds and also shamelessly participate in such immoral activities. The moronic and mindless behaviour of these people is a terrible slight on Islam. Apart from necking, kissing and cuddling her chauffeur in full view of the public she also dined with him in a restaurant which makes no provision whatsoever for our halal custom.

Not satisfied with this outrageously un-Islamic behaviour, which if committed by an ordinary Muslim lady would result in that lady being stoned to death, this uncontrollable, wild, spendthrift went into Harrods creating a world record by spending over £2m on a variety of items, including we believe specially labelled knickers chosen by her chauffeur in a matter of a few hours. But the Sheikha’s squalid, obscene, degrading, un-Islamic behaviour is not just an isolated weakness on her part. It is common practice among the so called higher echelons of society in Abu Dhabi.

Is it any wonder that they rely so heavily on American and British armoured protection against the Islamic faithful, the virtuous, the God fearing Muslims of their own Satanic country. There is at the moment intense debate in the Western World among so called feminists, with their belief in unlimited sexual freedom for women, bordering on anarchy and chaos, and among a handful of Muslim women influenced by this unnatural and unacceptable tendency questioning the role of the veil, a potent symbol of purity and propriety that has always been and always will be the guiding stair of Islamic women’s behaviour. The veil
is not a physical concept. It is a symbolic concept emphasising the modesty, the humility and the morality of Islamic womanhood. In the case of Sheikh Zayed’s daughter, she is clearly not a Muslim feminist but a Western feminist. If she had her own way there would be anarchy and chaos in the Muslim world.

The irony is that she belongs to “Royalty” in her country but was spurned by British Royalty and had to make do with a lowly cockney from East London. British Royalty, as exemplified by the loose Fergie and her topless saga with the toe-sucking, bald headed American ‘financial adviser’ has never sunk so low.

Sheikh Zayed is supposed to be the upholder of the moral code of behaviour in Muslim society. That duty is sacred and binding both in the teaching of our faith and in our legal system. But what can we expect from Sheikh Zayed, the man who turns a blind eye to the rampant sexual abuse and torture and slavery of girls as young as 10, fraudulently imported into Abu Dhabi at a cost of £2,000.00 per head, to be used, abused and misused!
As the final pages of this book were being printed we learned of the execution of the Egyptian Speaker, Esmeet Abdel Meguid. The British government was represented at the funeral by its Foreign Secretary, Douglas Hurd.

The Uncle Toms of Egypt are living in fear, particularly the lickspeitlle Mubarak. He knows that he has been found guilty and the sentence of death has been pronounced on him by the Tribunal of the Patriotic People of Egypt. He is destined for the same end as his craven pro-Western and Zionist-loving predecessor, Anwar Sadat.

Mubarak will hasten his end by his latest show of solidarity with the pro-Zionist west. At their meeting in Cairo, Mubarak and Hurd, spat on the graves of the Palestinian martyrs by rejecting out of hand the linking of the occupation of Kuwait with the Zionist occupation of Palestinian and Arab lands. Blood is supposed to be thicker than water, but this is not the case with the traitors of Egypt, who would not hesitate to betray their own parents if it furthered the cause of the West.

However Mubarak and his band of sell-outs are still small fry in comparison with the gangsters of some members of the House of Al Saud. They, too, are very jittery, plagued by nightmares. They are not paranoiac, they have genuine reason to fear execution, for this time they have offended the entire Muslim world, which is united in its desire to rid the Holiest Shrines of those who have now made it possible for pigs to roam parts of the Holy Land, and for the whores of the western world parading as 'soldiers', to turn some areas of the country into brothels. All kinds of alcoholic drinks are now available in the camps of the American soldiers, and it is a matter of time before drugs, alcohol and Aids are introduced to the rest of the Saudi population.

British Muslims who have generally remained neutral on the conflict between Arab and Arab, are now openly taking sides, and they are bitterly antagonistic to the House of Al Saud. It is not only the vandalisation and pollution of the Holy Land by the infidels that has angered them, but also the contempt with which the Fahds treat the pilgrims to the Holy Land. The recent massacre in the Al Muaissim tunnel, was the straw that broke the camel's back. The cold, callous and animalistic dismissal by Fahd of the deaths of thousands of their loved ones as an 'act of God,' have already acquired the notoriety of a similar expression of sadistic contempt by Marie Antoinette to the starving people of Paris crying for bread: 'Give them cake!' As we all know the guillotine did not show much respect for the pretty neck of that haughty nymphomania.

It is yet another sign of the congenitally evil nature of the Al Fahds that they should not only have dismissed the victims of the massacre as so much disposable waste, but continue to refuse to pay compensation
for their families. The scoundrels who are now, with such profligacy, lavish billions on their white British, American and French friends in the phoney war in the Gulf, arrogantly turn their gaze away from the plight of the Muslim families in Britain and elsewhere deprived of their breadwinners, sons and fathers. The Fahds who buy expensive presents worth millions of dollars for British and American layabouts, whores and assassins, would no doubt have sung a different tune had the victims of the Al-Muaissem massacre been white Britons or white Americans. These slaves would have readily doled out millions in compensation to the whites who no matter how lowly their intelligence, or savage and uncouth their natures may be, are treated as a superior race. For the Al Fahds, Muslim lives are cheap, especially if it is Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, North Africans, Sudanese or Egyptians. The Al Fahds must not be able to get away with yet another monstrous anti-Muslim crime. The British Muslim community must abandon its low-key campaign to secure compensation, and adopt a higher profile. Rushdie is a minor irritant compared with the Fahds, and it must be puzzling to many that the anti-Fahd campaign in this country is so subdued. Can this have something to do with the fact that many so-called Imams in this country have been bought and bribed by the Al-Fahds?

Such Imams betray our faith and our people and those among them who shamelessly continue to act as public relations officers for the Al-Fahd gangster regime must be boycotted, or better still replaced by men of honesty, men who will not be bought for a handful of rupees. We must not forget too that scores of British Muslims are also being held in the barbaric and brutal prisons of ‘Saudi Arabia’ for fear that they will reveal the real truth behind the massacre. There has been no news of them, and many relatives still do not know whether their relatives who are missing are prisoners or part of the mountain of corpses thrown up by the massacre.

The Al Fahds have no respect for human feeling nor for the norms of international law. Yet they are the only Family in the world - not even the powerful Mafia enjoys such a status - that actually has a seat in the United Nations. This is of course made possible by America and its fawning allies, the same people who demanded and got democracy for white Romanians, white Germans and white Poles. Evidently such democracy is not for people of colour, especially Muslims who are expected meekly to put up with tyrants, bullies, blackmailers, mass-murderers and thieves.

The time has come for an end to all that. The Middle East will never be the same again, war or no war. The Al-Fahds and the other rogues, cut-throats and card-sharps will be taken dead or alive, preferably alive for we must have the satisfaction of seeing every single one of them in leg irons, band-cuffs and convict uniforms in the dock. Their end on the people’s guillotine will be celebrated with a week-long holiday and rejoicing in every single Muslim country in the world.
The Umrah, as indeed Allah Subhanahu wa Ta'ala, has enough oil and gas to create a new Saudi Arabia. The wealth of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has been used to support the military and the oil industry. The government has invested heavily in education and healthcare, which have contributed to the country's economic growth. However, there are also reports of human rights abuses, including the treatment of women and children. The government has been criticized for its treatment of the Shia minority, who make up a significant portion of the population. Despite these issues, Saudi Arabia remains a significant player in the global economy and continues to play a role in regional politics.